First Division

DOCKET NUMBER

82 CM

V.11314

STATE OF GEORGIA

VS.

LEO M. FRANK.

EXTRAORDINARY MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL

CONVICTION OF MURDER

FULTON SUPERIOR COURT

MAL OF EXCEPTIONS

The fire of the gis a.m.

Rosser & Brandon Reuben B. Armoid Herbert J. Bras Leonard Hass, Attorneys for Plaintiff in Error. STATE OF GEORGIA

LEO M. FRANK.

EXTRAORDINARY MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL FROM CONVICTION OF MURDER IN FULTON SUPERIOR COURT.

BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.

Be it remembered that at the March Term, 1914, of Fulton Superior Court there came on to be heard the case of the State of Georgia, vs. Leo M. Frank, the same being an extraordinary motion for new trial on behalf of said Frank from conviction of murder rendered at the July Term, 1913 of Fulton Superior Court.

A motion for new trial had been duly made during the July Term, 1913, and had been thereafter everruled. To the judgment of the Court overruling said motion for new trial exceptions were duly taken to the Supreme Court of Georgia, and on the 17th day of February, 1914, the judgment of the Court below was affirmed by said Supreme Court.

On the 27th day of March, 1914, said Leo M. Frank gave to the opposite party, to-wit: the State of Georgia, through the Solicitor General of the Atlanta Circuit, the notice provided in Section 6092 of the Civil Code of the State of Georgia, and Section 1091 of the Criminal Code of the State of Georgia, approved on August 15,1910, which notice was in the following words:

"To Hugh M.Dorsey, Esq., Solicitor General:

Please take notice that twenty days from the date hereof, an extraordinary motion for new trial will be filed with His Honor, Ben J.Hill, Esq., Judge of the Superior Courts of the Atlanta Circuit, Griminal Division, or with one of the other Judges of said Court in behalf of the defendant in the above stated case, Leo M.Frank, Esq., convicted of murder; this notice being given you, as required by the laws of the State of Georgia, in such cases made and provided "Civil Code of 1910, sec.6902, Griminal Code, sec.1091.

Please take notice of the grounds of said extraordinary motion hereto attached pages 1 to 37, both inclusive; all of said grounds being made a part of this notice. Other grounds, including newly discovered evidence, will be added by amendment.

This March 26,1914."

Attached to this notice was a copy of the original extraordinary motion for new trial, which is specified as a part of the record in

Twenty days after giving the notice aforesaid, the extraordinary motion for new trial was submitted to the Judge of the Atlanta Circuit, who ordered the same filed, and on the 16th day of April, 1914, said judge issued a rule nisi thereon returnable on the

IN POOR Condition

22nd day of April, 1914, which was during the March Term, of the said Atlanta Circuit.

Upon the hearing of said extraordinary motion for new trial, movent filed certain amendments which were duly allowed and which are of record in the case.

Upon the hearing of said extraordinary motion, the following evidence was introduced:

をないことからないべれないとればならしかけっとい

GROUND 1.

J.P.FYFFE. Sworn for the Movant. On April 2,1914, I had a conversation with Dr.H.F. Harris at the latter's office, in Atlanta, Fulton County, Georgia, concerning an affidavit from the aforesaid Dr. Harris touching his knowledge of certain facts in connection with this case, and I presented to the said Dr. Harris a typewritten copy containing what I understood to be a statement which Dr. Harris would make in connection with the aforesaid facts. The said Dr. Harris, after reading the matter prepared for his signature, declined to sign the same, but with a lead pencil, made certain changes in the phraseology of said statement in order to make it conform to his own ideas, same being Exhibit B hereto attached, and then stated that if the document was recopied in conformity with the alterations made in pencil by him, same being Exhibit A hereto attached, that he would sign the same under oath and that the statements contained therein were true. After making the above declaration to me, the said Dr. Harris read the altered copy aloud to me and at the conclusion added in pencil an additional paragraph stating in connection therewith in substance as follows: "It would not be necessary to add my conclusion from the experiments made in this case under ordinary circumstances, or if I was dealing with gentlemen.

Accordingly I had the affidavit prepared in exact accordance with the pencil memorandum made by Dr. Harris, with the exception of the paragraph last above referred to, which was omitted, and upon April 3rd, 1914, presented the same to Dr. Harris for his signature. Dr. Harris, after reading the copy, declined tomsign the same because of the omitted paragraph. He stated that the facts centained in the affidavit which he was asked to

sign were correctly set forth and that the same were true in every particular, but that he would not sign the same without the addition of the paragraph above referred to because the matter was going into the hands of counsel for the defense in this case.

The affidavit presented to the above mentioned Dr. Harris and which he was requested to sign under oath, and which had been prepared in accordance with the penciled memorandum made by the said Dr. Harris and the truth of which he admitted is hereto attached and marked Exhibit "A".

EXHIBIT "A".

"State of Georgia,) Leo M. Frank

父を在るないなるとなせる

Extraordinary Motion for New Trial From Conviction of Murder, In Fulton Superior Court, March Term, 1913.

Georgia, Fulton County.

Personally came before the undersigned,
H.F. Harris, who upon oath says that he is a practicing physician.
Affiant further says that he performed the autopsy on the body of Mary Phagan after it was exhumed and that under the directions of Solicitor Dorsey, he obtained some of the girl's hair when the body was examined, to compare with the hair said to have been found on the lathe handle and which was given affiant by one of Mr. Dorsey's assistants. Mr. Dorsey's assistants.

Mr. Dorsey's assistants.

Affiant states that the specimens of hair taken from the head of the girl, when compared with the specimens given him, appeared somewhat different in color and on microscopic examination, and his impression was that the two specimens of hair were not from the head of the same person.

Affiant states that he reported the foregoing view to the Solicitor General and that the latter told him there would be no necessity for going any further with the hair investigation.

Affiant further states that he never considered the matter in any way material and dismissed it from his mind. He further states that he does not recall that he was asked about the girl's hair when he was upon the witness stand and states further that the samples of hair, with the exception of several microscopic sections, were turned back to the aforesaid assistant of the Solicitor General.

Affiant further states that there was no purpose on

Affiant further states that there was no purpose on his part to conceal the fact that the hair given him appeared different from the hair of Mary Phagan and that he does not think anyone else had such a purpose.

Affiant states that he is quite positive in his assertions that the microscopic test was carefully made. He states that the microscopic will show the size and shape of hair and that the hair of different persons differs in these particulars.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 3rd day of April, 1914.

Notary Public, Fulton County, Ga."

"State of Georgia, Leo M. Frank.

EXHIBIT "B" Extraordinary Motion for New Trial From conviction of murder In Fulton Superior Court, March Term, 1913.

Georgia, Fulton County.

Personally came before the undersigned, H.F. Harris, who upon oath says that he is a practicing physician. Affiant further says that he performed the autopsy on the body of Mary Phagan, after it was exhumed, and that under the directions

of Solicitor Dorsey, he obtained some of the girl's hair when the body was examined, to compare with the hair said to have been found on the lathe handle and which was given affiant by one of he obtained some of the girl's hair when the Mr .Dorsey's assistants.

1841

Mr.Dorsey's assistants.

Affiant states that the specimens of hair taken from the head of the girl, when compared with the specimens given him appeared somewhat different as to color and on microscopic examination, and his impression was that the two specimens of hair were not from the same person.

Affiant states that he reported the foregoing views to the Solicitor General and that the latter told him there would be no necessity for going any further with the hair investigation.

Affiant further states that he never considered the matter in any way material and dismissed it from his mind. He further states that he does not recall that he was asked about the girl's hair when he was upon the witness stand and states further that the samples of hair, with the exception of several of the microscopic sections, were turned back to the aforesaid assistant of the Solicitor General.

Affiant further states that there was no purpose on his part to conceal the fact that the hair given him appeared different from that of Mary Phagan's and that he does not think anyone else had such a purpose.

ent from that of Mary Phagan's and that he does not think anyone else had such a purpose.

Affiant States that he is quite positive in his assertions that the microscopic test was carefully made. He states that the microscope will show the size and shape of hair, and that the hair of different persons differs in these particulars.

Affiant further says the two specimens were/much alike that it was impossible for him to form any definite and absolute

opinion as to whether they were from the head of the same person or not.

Sworn to and subscribed before methis April 2nd, 1914.

べきならればなるとなせてした

Notary Public, Fulton County, Ga. "

E. A. K. SIEVENS, Sworn for the Movant. He accompanied J. P. Fyffe to the office of Dr. H. F. Harris in the City of Atlanta, Ga. on April 2nd, 1914; that the said Fyffe presented to the said Harris a typewritten sheet containing certain statements in connection with the case; that the said Dr. Harris declined to sign the same but with a lead pencil made certain alterations at the conclusion of which he stated that if the matter was prepared in that form, he would sign the same; that he afterwards added thereto an additional paragraph in lead pencil which he said was a conclusion which he desired to add to his affidavit.

Witness was present again on April 3rd when the matter was presented to Dr. Harris, with the paragraph last above referred to pmitted; that the said Dr. Harris stated that the affidavit prepared and submitted for his signature stated the truth but that he desired that the additional paragraph referred to above be added, and refused to sign the same in its then condition. And when asked why he would not sign the affidavit in its existing

form, even though it be the truth, he stated that he would not do do because it was going into the hands of Messrs. Rosser and Arnold, counsel for Leo M. Frank.

OSCAR PAPPENHEIMER, Sworn for the Movant. He has been a stockholder in the National Peneil Company for a considerable period of time;

Sometime after witness read in the papers that Dr. Harris had exhumed the body of Mary Phagan, he called on Dr. Harris, who lives next door to witness, and with whom witness was on most friendly terms. Being interested in the Company of which Leo M. Frank was superintendent, and being friendlily inclined towards Leo M. Frank, witness was interested in the accusations made against Frank. Witness says that the conversation herein referred to, tookplace in the home of Dr. Harris, and occurred before the trial. Witness, after stating to Dr. Harris the interest he had in the matter as here inbefore referred to, asked Dr. Harris whether, if consistent with his duty, he could tell witness what he learned as a result of the examination of the body of Mary Phagan. Witness further stated to Dr. Harris that if there was any professional reason why he should not disclose the facts that witness did not want him to do so. In response thereto, Dr. Harris stated to deponent that he felt he was bound not to discuss the matter, and thereupon witness dropped the subject. Conversation on various other subject followed of a purely social nature, for ten or fifteen minutes, whereupon on his own volition, and without further inquiry on witness's part, Dr. Harris voluntarily stated to witness, substantially, as follows: 'I may say this much to you, Mr. Pappenheimer, that what I found during the examination was of no importance whatsoever, and need give you no bother."

人を持ちないなうというと

WILLIAM M. SMITH, Sworn for the Movent. His name is
William M. Smith. Several menths ago, on or about the time of the
trial in the Superior Court of Fulton County of Leo M. Frank, he
does not now recall the date, he had occasion to interview the
Selicitor General, Hon. Hugh M. Dorsey. Upon inquiry, he finally
learned that he was at the office of the State Board of Health,

をまっているとうとなっていたればいないないないというないからいろう

in the basement of the Capitol Building. He went there and found Mr. Dorsey there and taked with him for a new minutes. He also saw Dr. Harris and Mr. Dorsey there. After completing his interview of a few minutes with Mr. Dorsey, he became interested in some experiments that were being conducted, a friend and neighboy of his, Dr. Fort, being engaged with Dr. Patillo, also a friend of his, in certain experiments that were then being conducted. A portion of the time, he was engaged in eating some watermelon, his friend, Dr .Patillo had given him. Dr. Harris, Dr. Dorsey and the Solicitor General were most of the time, in a different part of this Department, in the office of Dr. Harris, which is across the entrance hall from the room in which the experiments were being conducted. He was in the office of Dr. Harris but a very short time, and most of the discussion he heard was a scientific one, relative to the digestion of cabbage, and the staying of the processes of digestion, and the causes normal and otherwise affecting digestion. He would not undertake to give the language of anyone, not even the languaget he used himself upon that occasion. He did hear some discussion as to some hair, which to the best of his recollection, was that Dr. Harris had some of the hair that had been found on the lathe at the factory, possibly all of it, he does not now recall about that however. He does not know whether or hot it was mentioned as to how much tair of the hair Dr. Harris had. As well as he can recall, Dr. Harris said he had a number of "sections". He thinks he said "sections", he might be mistaken in this however, but that is his best recollection The occasion for his making this. statement was that he spoke to Dr. Dorsey about a comparison that he (Dr. Harris) had made of the hair taken from the lathe and some hair Dr. Harris had taken from the head of Mary Phagen. He told Dr. Dorsey that he had made a large number of sections and had compared them to try and find out whether er not the two hairs came from the same head, that is the head of Mary Phagan. Dr. Harris told Dr. Dorsey that he had the sections there and that if Dr. Dorsey desired, Dr. Harris is perfectly willing for him to also examine the sections of hair and compare them for himself. Dr. Dorsey stated that he did not care to examine the sections of . hair. Dr. Harris stated that the comparison showed the hair not to

be alike, but the details of these variants were not discussed in witness's my presence. It was stated, however, that it was the opinion of Dr. Harris from this comparison of hairs that the hair found on the lathe was not Mary Phagan's. His best recollection is that Dr. Harris so expressed himself, but he could not say positively.

HARLEE BRANCH, Sworn for the Movant. I am a reporter on the Atlanta Journal. On February 20,1914, I interviewed Dr. H.F. Harris, with reference to his examination of certain hair, said to have been found on a lathe at the National Pencil Factory by one Barrett. Dr. Harris admitted having performed the autopsy on the body of Mary Phagan and stated that under the directions of Solicitor Dorsey he obtained some of the girl's hair when the body was exhumed, in order to compare it with the hair found on the lathe. He stated that the specimens of hair taken from the head, when compared with the specimens found on the lathe, were widely different and were not from the head of the same girl. He stated that he examined both samples of hair under the microscope; that the hair taken from the lathe was not of the same shade, texture or shape as that taken by him from Mary Phagan's head. He stated that he reported this fact to the Solicitor General, and the latter told him that there would be no necessity of going any further with the hair investigation. He further stated that he had never considered the matter as a very material one and dismissed it from his mind; that the samples of hair with the exception of the two microscopic sections were turned back to the Solicitor General and that he (Dr. Harris) now had the latter somewhere in his laboratory. He said that when he notified the Solicitor that the two specimens of hair were not the same, the Solicator simply remarked that he would let the matter end there. Dr. Harris was quite positive in his assertions that the microscop. ic test was an accurate one. Dr. Harris said that the microscope would show the shade, texture and shape and that the hair of different persons differed in these particulars. He explained how ! the shape was examined under the microscope showing that the end. sections of the hair were looked at and that it would show if it was circular or any other shape.

OTTO SCHWAB, C. J.ASMUS and GEORGE A. TILLANDER, Sworn for movent. They are personally acquainted with Oscar Pappenheimer; that some of his essociates are T.A.Hammond, Dr.C.E.Buchanan, R.S.Wessells, C.E.Currier, John K.Ottley; that the said Pappenheimer is a person of good moral character and credibility and they would believe him on oath

DR.H.F.HARRIS, Sworn for Movent (Before a Commissioner)

I am State Health Officer/ I made two exeminations of the body of
Mary Phagan at the request of Solicitor Dorsey. He told me he would
send some hair found on a machine in the factory, and a day or so
later it was brought to me by one of his assistants. He asked me
to compare this hair with that of Mary Phagan's. I examined the
hair under a microscope. I did not make an exhaustive examination
though it was sufficient to show that the hair given me by Mr.
Dorsey was almost certainly that of a female and from the head
of a Caucausin. The two specimens of hair resembaled each other
so much that it was impossible for me to say definitely that it
was not Mary Phagan's hair. There appeared to be a slight difference in shade and shape, but no difference in texture. The
determination of the color had to be made by the naked eye, as
the thin sections used for microscopic work are not suitable for
such determination and the amount of hair given me was not sufficiently grate greating amount for me to make an accurate estimate.
I have recently taken hair taken from the head of several persons
and have found that individual hairs from the same individual differ as much in shape as the hair given me by Mr.Dorsey's assistant
and that of Mary Phagan. Mr. Dorsey's assistant fer as much in shape as the hair given me by Mr. Dorsey's assistated that of Mary Phagan. Mr. Dorsey did not appear to attach any particular importance to this examination, nor did I at that time think it was of any importance whatever. Some days after having made an examination, the subject was casually mentioned by Mr.Dorsey in a conversation with me, and I told him at that time that it was my impression that the two specimens of hair were different, though I was careful to say that there was no certainty as to this opinion and that I would not swear that they were different. This conversation took place between Mr.Dorsey and me in my office some days after the being was given me. I made no further menority. I told Mr. ter the hair was given me. I made no further report. I told Mr. Dorsey that it was my impression that the two specimens of hair were different. I said to him that the two specimens appeared to differ slightly in shape and possibly in color. I told him that the specimen brought to me was not sufficient in amount to allow me to say very definitely as to its color. I was under the impression that the two specimens of hair were different. We were alone when I mede my report to Mr. Dorsey as to whether or impression that the two specimens of hair were different. We were alone when I made my report to Mr. Dorsey. As to whether or not I suggested that Mr.R.T.Dorsey be allowed to make a test to satisfy himself, the only time that Dr.Dorsey discussed this matter with me was a few days before the trial, Solicitor Dorsey being present and two or three others. In a general sort of way my work was talked over and I may have at that time made some reference to the matter of the hair and may have possibly said to Dr. Dorsey he could examine it if he wished to do so. I have no recollection of what was specifically said by anyone and there for an not snawer as to what Mr.Dorsey said in case the hair was mentioned. I did not say specifically to Mr.Dorsey that the two specimens of hair were dissimilar. I merely informed him that I gained the impression that they were not the same. There was no question of proceeding further with the examination, as the only method likely to reveal anything had been employed, already. I returned the hair to Mr.Dorsey's assistant. I kept that part used for the microscopic examination. I have none left that I can find. I also returned to him the hair taken from Mary Phagan's I returned the hair to Mr.Dorsey's assistant. I kept that part used for the microscopic examination. I have none left that I can find. I also returned to him the hair taken from Mary Phagan's head. There was not more than a dozen strands of hair delivered to me from Mr.Dorsey's office, if that many. I took several hundred strands of hair from Mary Phagan's head. I made examination of only about half of the total number of hairs given me by Mr.Dorsey's assistant. The hairs used were cut into short pieces. Several sections of them made of both ends and the middle portions of the hair I made similar microscopic sections from 8 or 10 hairs from Mary Phagans head. Taking both specimens together, I made somewhere



from 50 to 100 sections in all. These tests were made shortly after my exemination of Mary Phagan's body. I do not recall any conversation with Dr. Dorsey respecting the hair. It is possible these specimens of hair were returned to Mr. Dorsey after the trial.

Professor G. Bachman and Professor J.W. Papez, Sworn for

the Movant. We have made a study of the subject of scalp hair and as to whether hair from the same scalp may be identified as such; the hair is divided into two parts, the root and the shaft. The root is that part of the hair that is imbedded in the skin; the shaft is that part which projects above the surface of the skin. "In their thickness the hairs show much variation, not only in different races, individuals and regions, but also in the same person and part of the body as on the scalp where fine and coarse hairs may lie side by side. The thickest scalp hairs have a diameter of 163 micra and the finest one of ten micra with all intermediate sizes. In a general way hairs of light color \ are finer than dark ones. On attaining their full growth without mutilation, hairs do not possess a uniform thickness throughout their length, since they diminish not only towards the tip, where the shaft ends in a point, but also towards the root. . . In the case of straight hairs the follicle is unbent and the shaft is cylindrical, and therefore circular in cross section; hairs that are wavy or curly spring from follicles more or less bent and are flattened or grooved with corresponding oval, reniform or irregularly triangular outlines when transversely cut." When examined under the microscope, the shaft is seen to consist of a central core and of two layers arranged concentrically around the core. The central core is called the medulla and the consists of polygonal cells lying side by side and end to end. There are from two to four cells in the tow side by side. The medulla is absent in small hairs while in large hairs it does not extend to the free end. The medulla is uneven in outline and its appearance varies with the illumination appearing as a dark band with transmitted light and as light one with reflected light. This is due to the presence of air between the shrunken and irregular medullary cells. The layer surrounding the medulla is called the cortex. It forms the greater bulk of the hair shaft. It consists of elongated, spindle shaped cells which are so closely arranged that the individual cells are indistinguishable. Fine febrils pass between individual cells. The cortex contains the pigment that gives cel-

大きない、これははないから、かんがらは、はいかいはないし、これないかして、これのないないで

or to the hair. The pigment occurs in two forms. (1) The solution throughout the cortical substance; (2) in granules varying in size arrangement and depth of color. The granules are located within and between the cells of the cortex. The layer surrounding the cortex and forming the external covering of the hair shaft is called the cuticle. It is the thinnest of the three layers, is glassy in appearance, and free from pigment. It consists of a layer exceedingly thin, scale-like cells that overlap one another like the shingles of a roof giving the surface of the hair a serrated appearance. From the foregoing description, it is evident that in a comparative study of scalp hair, the thickness of the hair can not be used as a criterion in the answer of the quest ion, whether hair from the same scalp may be identified as such, inasmuch as hair varies very widely in thickness on the scalp of anyone individual. Hair from the same scalp may be identified as such with the aid of the microscope by the following points of identity: (1) The presence or absence generally of the medulla. Its appearance, whether it is continuous, or segmented, its relative width and the occurrence of air between the medullary cells. (2) The relative amount of the cortical pigment found in a soluble form and in granules. The arrangements, size and depth of color of the pigment granules. Their position in reference to the other layers of the hair. (3) The comparative thickness of the cutidle. That in order to make a comparative study of the scalp hair eighteen specimens of brown hair as similar to each other as can possibly be obtained were procured. Among these, three groups of two specimens were selected, the two specimens in each group appearing alike to the unaided eye. After a careful study under the microscope of the medullar, the cortex and the cuticle, scalp hair looking alike to the unaided eye showed points of difference under the microscope which enabled us to differentiate the specimens of hair from the scalp of one person from the scalp of another person. It is impossible without the aid of the microscope to determine any of the points of difference mentioned herein, and it is therefore impossible to establish with any degree of accuracy the identity of scalp hair without the aid of the mocroscope. Washing the hair with tar soap does not change the color of the

からちゃ 八大田 いちいならしとりって

hair as shown under the microscope, nor is there any change in the texture of the hair and in the amount and distribution of its pigment. The apparent lightening of the hair which occurs after washing and is visible to the naked eye is due to the removal of dust and of the oil which covers the hair and which mats it together in a more or less compact mass, but under the microscope there is no change in the color of each individual hair. Some of our associates are Dr.C.W.Strickler, Dr.W.F.Westmoreland and Dr. J.E.Paullin, Dr.L. age Hardin, Dr.J.L. Campbell and Dr.E.G. Jones.

DR. W.F. WSTMORELAND, DR. J. E. PAULLIN, Sworn for the Movant. We know Dr.G. Bachman. His character for truth and veracity is good and we would believe him on oath.

DR.L.SAGE HARDIN, DR.J.L.CAMPBELL, Sworn for the movant. We know Dr. J.W.Papez. His character for truth and veracity is good and we would believe him on oath.

からいとうからいからいん おいないじん はないしょうなかっしょうかんしょ

JOHN R. BLACK, Sworn for the Movant. I am a city detective. On Monday, April 28,1913, I was called to the National Pencil Factory on Forsyth Street, and was shown by one Barrett several strands of human hair on a lathe in the metal room in said factory. I took the hair from said lathe and together with E.A. Stephens, Assistant Solicitor General, took said hair to Dr.H.F. Harris, at the State Capitol and left the hair with said Harris.

S. N. TEITEBAUM, Sworn for the Movant. I am a court reporter, and reported the oral argument made by Solicitor General Hugh M.Dorsey, to the jury in the matter of the State vs.Leo M. Frank, in Fulton Superior Court on August 22nd, 23 and 25th; Said Solicator General, did, at four different times in his argument before the jury, refer to the hair found on the lathe in the metal. roam by Barrett, as follows:

"Barrett, Christopher Columbus Barrett, if you will, that discovered the hair that was identified, I believe, by Magnolia Kennedy, Monday morning, as soon as they began work". . "Barrett, the man who discovered the hair on his machine early in the morning". . "I say to you that this man Barrett stands an oasis in a mighty desert, standing up for truth. . that Barrett when he sworn that he found blood there at the place where Conley said he dropped the body, told the truth; and when he said he found that hair on that machine". . "that Mr. Barrett of the metal department had a laimed he had found blood spets and where he had found some had claimed he had found blood spots and where he had found some half." 10

E. E. CRUSSELLE, Sworn for the Movant. I am a court reporter and reported part of the testimony of Dr. H. F. Harris, at the trial of Leo M. Frank during the month of August, 1913, in Fulton Syperior Court; The following testimony is a portion of the testimony of Dr. Harris, which I reported, and which a pears on pages 1481 and 1682 of the stenographic record of the testimony in said cause: ..

Q.Doctor, when did Mr. Dorsey first talk with you about making this autopsy? A. I dont remember. Q. How long before you made the examination did he talk with you? A. I dont recall. Q. Do you recall when you made the first examination? A. It was on May 5th, if I remember correctly.
Q. May 5th? The child died on April 26th or 27th- that would be about nine days afterwards? A. Yes sir, if I am correct, in that

statement, it was.
Q. Did Mr. Dorsey request you not to make the examination public?

Q. Did Mr.Dorsey request you not to make the examination public:
A. He did.
Q. Did he request you not to tell it to anybody? A. He did.
Q. And you observed that request? A. Yes.
Q. Did you understand he was making the request as a Solicitor General or as an individual? A. Well, he didnt state there; he just called me up and asked me if I would make the examination. I told him that I was not well, and that I would prefer very much not having anything to do with it. He talked to me a little while, and finally I said 'If you really wish me to do it, just because I like you.' I felt that twy about it; otherwise I would not have had anything to do with it.
Q. What did he tell you to examine? What parts of the body did he tell you to examine? A. He told me he wanted me to examine the case and tell him all I could about it.
Q. What dad you in your mind - what were you seeking to determine by the autopsy? What did you understand you were seeking? A. Therewas some suggestion of posoning at the time when I went out there, but I saw at once that there was no reason for assuming that. I failed to state on my direct examination that the stomach content was tested for alkaloid poisoning, and there was none content was tested for alkaloid poisoning, and there was none present. Of course I dismissed that from my mind. As soon as I saw the girl, I saw that it was a matter of strangulation."

LEONARD HAAS, Sworn for the Movant. I have read the brief filed by Solicitor General Dorsey in the Supreme Court of Georgia in the case of Leo M. Frank vs. State of Georgia. Pages 58 and 59 of said brief contain the following language:

"FIRST: HAIR.

R.P.Barrett (p.42) was a machinist for the National Pencil Company. He says, on Monday morning, April 28th. . . between 6;30 and 7 o'clock. . . I found some hair on the handle of a bench lathe... The hair was handing on the handle, swinging down. Mell Stanford saw the hair. The hair was not there Friday. "Counsel for defendant tried to make it appear that the girls sometimes curled their hair about where Barrett found this hair, but as to this Barrett says, 'The gas jet that the girls sometimes used to curl their hair on is about ten feet from the machine where the hair was found. . I had used that machine up to quitting time, 5;30. time, 5;30

"Mary Phagen, it will be remembered was not there Friday. "Darley, at the beginning of his cross-examination, says, Barrett showed me some hair on a lever of the lathe. It was 20

11

or 30 feet from Mary Phagan's machine, on the north side of the room. They were wound around the lever. I don't think there were over six or eight at the outside. Miss Magnolia Kennedy, a witness for the defendant, corroborated Barrett when she says [p.168] 'On Monday, April 28th, Mr.Barrett called my attention to the hair which he found on the machine. It looked like Mary's hair. Mary's hair was a light brown, kind of sandy color. Mary's hair was a light brown, kind of sandy color. Mary's hair was a light brown, kind of sandy color. Mary's hair was a light brown, by Barrett early Monday morning, and before any rewards had been offered.

Whe location of the machine where the hair was found can be readily seen by reference to State's Exhibit A, No. 10, (p.371) - and in connection with this State's Exhibit A, we will remark that the photographhere is max from a newspaper cut, we presume, but certainly it is not from the exhibit which the State really had incourt. It does not correspond in several particulars to the one used in court, but the location of the machine on which the hair was found is accurate."

GROUND 2.

MISS JIMMIE MAYFIELD, Sworn for the Movant. I worked at the National Pencil Factory in Atlanta, Georgia, for about eight months and knew Mr. Frank when I saw him. I was acquainted with Mary Phagen and knew the color of her hair. I know Mr. Barrett and on Monday, April 28th, Mr. Barrett showed me the hair he said he had found on a lathe machine. It is my positive opinion that the hair was entirely too light in color to be that of Mary Phagan's.

EULA MAY FLOWERS, RUDOLPH LOEB, H.G.SCHIFF and E. F. HOLLOWAY, Sworn for the Movant. We know personally Miss Jimmie Mayfield. Some of her associates are Mary Pirk, Mrs.G.Denham, Mar jorie McCord, Mamie Stephens, Mrs.O. Johns; The said Miss Jimmie Mayfield is a person of good moral character and credibility and they would believe her on oath.

L. A. QUINN, EULA FLOWERS, H. G. SCHIFF, Sworn for the We are acquainted with Miss Jimmie Mayfield. She was well acquainted with Mary Phagan in her lifetime. She could know the color of Mary Phagan's hair and by reason of seeing the hair claimed to have been found by the witness Barrett upon the second floor of the factory, she was in a position to determine whether the hair found by Barrett Looked like Mary Phagan's hair, and was thereby enabled to form an opinion as to whether the hair of Mary Phagan and that found by Barrett were identical.



ラスマ 八大の これいちゃくともって

past. I am acquainted with Mr. Leo M. Frank, also Mr.R/P.

Barrett and knew Mary Phagan quite well and knew the color of her hair. On Monday, April 28th, I was at the National Pencil Company Factory and Magnolia Kennedy called my attention to the hair on a certain machine that R.P.Barrett was alleged to have found there. At that time I gave it as my positive opinion that the hair on the machine was not that of Mar y Phagan, as it was entirely too light in color to be the hair of said Mary Phagan. I now state that I am most positive that the hair I waw on the machine could not have possibly have been Mary Phagan's hair and that the hair on the machine was much lighter in color than was the hair of Mary Phagan.

MARY PIRK, MRS. G. DENHAM, MAY GOODMAN, MAMIE STEPHENS, Sworn for the movant. We personally know Mrs. Cora Falta/ Some of her associates are Miss Jimmie Mayfield, Mrs. O. Johns, Marjorie McCord, Mary Pirk. Mrs. Falta is a person of good moral character and credibility, and we would believe her on oath.

おおりまっておれることはいいこととなって

Sworn for the movent. We are acquainted with Mrs Cora Falta.

She was well acquainted with Mary Phagan during her lifetime and she could know the color of Mary Phagan's hair. She was in a position by reason of seeing the hair claimed to have been found by Barrett to determine whether the hair found by Barrett looked like the hair of Mary Phagan and was thereby enabled to form an opinion whether the hair of Mary Phagan and that found by Barrett was identical.

GROUND 4.

ALICE MARJORIE McCORD. Sworm for the movent. On the morning of Monday, April 28, 1913, my attention was called to some hair that was on a lathe machine on the second floor in the National Pencil Factory, and that I examined said hair very closely. I knew Mary Phagan during her time of employment at the factory and knew the color of her hair, and in my opinion, the hair found on the lathe machine was not that of Mary Phagan, as it was much too

light to be the hair of Mary Phagan. attested by Carbunke

for the movant. We are acquainted with Miss Alice Marjorie Mowas
Cord; that said Alice Marjorie McCord/well acquainted with Mary
Phagan during her lifetime. She could wak know the color of
Mary Phagan's hair and she was in a position by reason of seeing
the hair claimed to have been found by the witness Barrett upon
the second floor of the factory, to determine whether the hair
found by Barrett looked like the hair of Mary Phagan and was,
thereby, enabled to form an opinion as to whether the hair of

Mary Phagan and that found by Barrett was identical. Other Cur Burke as Notany Public

E.F. HOLLOWAY, LEONARD JACOBUS, R. P. BUTLER, EULA MAE
FLOWERS. Sworn for the Movant. We know personally Miss Alice
Marjorie McCord and some of her associates are Mary Pirk, Mrs. G.
Denham, Jimmie Mayfield, Mrs.O. Johns. We know that Miss Alice
Marjorie McCord is a person of good moral character and credibility and we would believe her on oath in a court of law.

そうと、人をないといれているから

attested believe her on oath in a court of law attested by cerson Ke as nothing GROUND 5.

ALBERT McKNIGHT, Sworn for the Movant. I was a witness at the trial of the case of the State of Georgia vs. Leo M. Frank, and when placed upon the stand I testified to a story that had been prepared for me by R.L Craven, a white man, under whose direction he worked at the store of Beck & Gregg. The story prepared for me by said R.J. Craven was written by Mr. Craven and witnessed by Emmett H. Pickett, and Angus Morrison, Jr. and both of whom are white men, and also in the employ of Beck & Gregg Hardware Company at their store. These witnesses told me that I would be obliged to stick to the story prepared by Mr. Craven as they had witnessed same and that in the event I undertook to deny the story they would send me to the chain gang, and explained to me that the word of three white men would be taken in preference to that of any negro. Since the trial of said Leo M. Frank I have always been sorry for the false part I played in it and since I have had time to reflect on the great wrong that I have done I am determined to tell the truth and now say that the story prepared for me by

R.L. Craven is not the truth, and that the evidence I gave at the above named trial was not the truth. It is true that my wife, Minola was e mployed at the home of Mrs. Selig, where Mr. Leo M. Frank resided, and it is true that on Saturday, April 26th, 1913, I called at the Selig home to see my wife? Minola, but I reached the Selig home on the date in question a little before twelve o'clock noon, and I heard the twelve o'clock whistle blow at the Southern Railway shops after I reached the Selig home and also heard the twelve thirty o'clock whistle blow at the same shops while I was talking with my wife, and when I heard the twelve thirty o'clock whistle blow, I left the Selig home and walked up Georgia Avenue to Pulliam Street, then up Pulliam Street to Bass Street, and then to my own home which was at that time located in the rear of No. 51 Pulliam Street. When I reached the Selig home, on Saturday, April 26,1913, my wife, Minolan was busy preparing the noontime meal, but she had not served the meal before I left the house. I did not see Mr. Leo M.Frank at all on April 26,1913, at any time or place, and my evidence at the trial of Mr. Frank was the result of a plan perfected by said R.L. Craven to collect the reward offer ed for the arrest and conviction of the murderer of Mary Phagan, a part of which reward was promised to me by said R.L. Craven as a reward for the false testimony I gave at the trial. I told Mr. Craven that I did not want to tell any lies on Mr. Frank, but Mr. Craven would tell me that in order to collect the reward , it was necessary for me to go right ahved and do what he, Craven, told me to do, and I was weak enough to follow said Craven's instructions and do as I was told by him. Mr. Craven told me to say that my wife, Minola, had stated to me that when Mr. Frank came home on April 26,1913, that he was drunk and that she had seen Mr. Frank with a pistol in his hand and heard him threaten to shoot himself, and that while drunk that night, he, Frank, had made his (Frank's) wife sleep on the floor. All these statements were created by said R.L.Craven , and that Mr.Craven told me that it was necessary for me to swear to these facts in order to support the evidence of my wife, who had made an affidavit to the same set of alleged facts. I now say that if I am called upon to testify again regarding this statement, or at the trial of Mr.Frakk, I will testify to all stated by me in this affidavit, only because it is the truth, and I further state that I have talked with no one about the case, and my evidence in the case referred to in this affidavit, except C.W.Burke, who has plainly stated to me that he represents the firm of Resser, Brandon, Slaton & Bhillips, in the interest of Mr. Leo M. Frank, and Mr.Burke has made me no promises whatsoever, or hald out any offers of reward, and the evidence I give herein is made of my own free will and accord.

(GROUND/STRIEKEN UPON MOVANT'S MOTION)

八大 はいまいましているから

GROUND 7.

MRS. ETHEL HARRIS MILLER, Swom for the Movant. Prior to my marriage and removal to Chattanooga, in July 1912, I was a resident of Atlanta, Ga. I am personally acquainted with Leo M. Frank and have been since the year 1910, and have known him continuously since that time. I was well acquainted with Leo M. Frank on April 26,1913. On April 24,1913, I went to Atlanta to visit my parents, and on Saturday after reaching Atlanta, to-wit on Saturday, April 26,1913, Memorial Day, I went to the store of J.P.Allen Co. on Whitehall Street to meet my sister, Miss Florence Harris, who was employed at that store. I reached the store at one o'clock, at which time the store was to close for the day, it being a holiday, and at which time my sister was to leave for the day. I and my sister left the J.P.Allen store a few minutes past one o'clock and were joined in front of the store by a friend, Mr. Maier Lefkoff, and all three of us walked together down Whitehall Street to the corner of Whitehall and Alabama Streets, at which point I and my sister turned on Alabama Street, and went to Foreyth St., where we caught out car home. On turning the Jacobs Pharmacy corner at said time, which was just about 10 minutes past one at clock, on the aftermoon of April 26,1913, I saw and recognized Mr. Leo M.Frank, who was standing on the sidewalk with a bundle of package under one arm; and said beo M.Frank recognized and spoke to me tipping his hat . I did not tell this fact to Mr. Frank's attorneys, nor did I ever remind Mr. Frank of it. It was only con-

siderably after the trial and conviction of Mr. Frank that I acquainted his lawyers with these facts, and I then told either Mr. Leonard Haas, or Mr.Herbert J.Haas, I do not now recall which. The reason that I did not know the importance of my having seen Mr. Frank at this time, I did not know that it would have any bearing upon the case and when I first learned that it would have a bearing on the case I was then reluctant to tell these facts, as I desired to avoid notoriety and publicity; however, after I was made to understand that my having seen Mr. Leo M. Frank at 10 minutes past 1 o'clock in the afternoon on April 26, 1913, was an important point in this case, I communicated these facts to his attorneys, at a time which, as already stated, was considerably after his conviction, the exact date I do not recollect. Some of my associates in Atlanta, Ga. are Dr. and Mrs.B. Wildauer, Mr. J. Saul, Mr. and Mrs. J. J. Saul, and in Chattanooga, Tenn., Rabbi Julian H. Miller, Mr. and Mrs. H. M. Fine and Pr. H.S. Long. I am willing to come to Atlanta and testify as to any of the facts stated in this affidavit, and as to any other facts which may be within my knowledge, and material in the case.

MAIER LEFKOFF. Sworn for the Movant. On April 26, 1913, I accompanied Mrs. Ethel Harris Miller when she went to meet her sister at J.P. Allen's on Whitehall Street, between Alabama and Hunter Streets. We arrived at Allen's about one o'clock, perhaps five minutes after one. We walked from there down Whitehall Street, towards Alabama and turned up Alabama Street. I should say we reached the corner of Alabama and Whitehall Streets, at about ten minutes after one, as we made no steps between Allen's and that point. I do not know Mr. Frank and I do not remember either of the ladies bowing to him at that time.

S. N. TEITLEBAUM, Swom for the Movant. I am a court reporter and reported the oral argument made by Solicitor General H.M.Dorsey to the jury in the matter of the State vs. Leo M. Frank in Fulton Superior Court, on August 22, 23 and 25th; The Solicitor made the following statements:

"All right; but you prove an alibi by that little Curran girl, do you? She swore that she saw you at Alabama treat and 17 加油

Broad at 1:10, and yet here is the paper containing your admission made in the presence of your attorney, Monday morning, April 28th, that you didn't have leave the factory until 1:10. Gentlemen, talk to me about sad spectacles, but of all the sad spectacles that I have witnessed throughout this case, - I don't know who is responsible and I hope that I'll go to my grave in ignorance of who it was that brought this little Curran girl, the daughter of a man that works for Montag, into this case, to prove this alibi for this red-handed murderer, who killed that little girl to protect his reputation among the people of hiw own race and religion. Jurors are sworn, and His Honor will charge you, you have got the right to take into consideration the deportment, the manner and bearing, the reasonableness of what any witness swears to, and if any man in this courthouse, any honest man, seeking to get at the truth, looked at that little girl, her manner, her beating, her attitude, her actions, her connections with Montag, and they not know that she, like that little Bauer boy, had been riding in Montag's automobile, Iam at a loss to understand your mental operations. But if Frank locked the factory door at ten minutes past one, if that be true, how in the name of goodness did she ever see him at Alabama and Broad at 1:10?

Mark you, she had never seen him but one time; had never seen him but one time, and with the people up there on thee street, to see the parade, waiting for her companions, this daughter of an employe of Montag comes into this presence and tells you the unreasonable absurd story, the story that's in contradiction to the story made by Frank, which has been introduced in evidence and will be out with you. She saw that fellow up there at Jacobs'

MRS. MAX MEYER, Sworn for the Movant. I am a sister of Mrs. Ethel Harris Miller, formerly Ethel Harris. On April 26, 1913, Mrs. Miller, together with Maier Lefkoff met me at the department store of J.P. Allen Company, where I worked, in front of said store, in the middle of the block of Whitehall Street between Alabama and Hunter Streets, in the City of Atlanta, at about one o'clock of said day. After meeting in front of said store, Mrs. Miller, Lefkoff and I walked down Whitehall Street until we reached the corner of Elabama Street: We turned up Alabama Street and walked to the corner of Forsyth and Alabama Streets, where we caught the Magnolia Street car for our home. In my opinion we reached the corner of Alabama and Whitehall Streets between one o'clock and 1;10. I am not acquainted with Leo M. Frank and do not recall whether my sister bowed to anyone at that corner or not.

FREDERICK J. PAXON, JAKE E. NEWMAN, Sworn for the Movant. We are personally acquainted with Maier Lefkoff, of Atlanta, Fulton County, Georgia, and said Maier Lefkoff is a person of good moral character and credibility and we would believe him on oath in a court of law.

F. J. PAXON MISS MAY EVENS, Sworn for the Movent.

We know personally Mrs. Ethel Harris Miller, of Chattoonga, Tenn.

and some of her associates are Miss Dellie Evans, Atlanta, Ga., Miss Hattie Phillips, Atlanta, Ga. Mrs. Ethel Harris Miller is a person of good moral character and credibility, and we would believe her on oath.

B. W LLDAUER. Sworn for the Movant. I am personally acquainted with Majer Lefkoff and have known him since his birth. His character for truth and veracity is good. Said Lefkoff's associates are, among others, Joe Weinberg, Ike Eplan, Sam Hirsowitz, Ike Hirsowitz, Joe Hermann, Sam Smullyan and S. B. Edison.

MRS. M. MEYER, Sworn for the Movant. I and my sister, Mrs .Ethel Harris Miller were both residents of Atlanta, Georgia, until recently, and hat lived in Atlanta all our lives until I moved to Chicago some two or three months prior to making this affidavit and until my sister, Mrs. Miller moved to Chattanooga about July, 1912. Our associates in Atlanta were to a great extent he same, and I name as some of our associates the following, towit: Dr. and Mrs.B. Wildauer, Dr. and Mrs.L.C. Rouglin, Mrs. and Mr. H. Sayl, Mrs .J.J.Saul.

JOS. HERMAN, Sworn for the Movant. I am acquainted with Maier Lefkoff and have known him for fifteen years. His character for truth and veracity is good. I know the said Lefkoff was in the City of Atlanta, State of Georgia on the 26th day of April, 1913, because I was in the company of said Lefkoff sometime during the afternoon of that day.

GROUND 8.

DEWEY HEWELL, Sworn for the Movant. I was an employee of the National Pencil Company. I worked for said Company for only a few days, and during the time of my employment there I never met Leo M. Frank to know who he was and never in my life did I meet Mary Phagan, nor did I ever see Mary Phagan, and I have never seen the defendant and Mary Phagan together. At the time of the original trial of the defendant, I was a resident of the Home of the Good Shepherd, at Cincinnati, Ohio, and M Mrs. Bonnifield, the police matron representing the City Police Department of Atlanta, Georgia, came to Cincinnati and returned me to Atlanta, where I was used as a witness in the above case, after which I was again returned to the Home of the Good Shepherd at Cincinnati. During my confinement in a large room adjoining the office of Solici tor General Dorsey, I met some 12 or 15 other girls, who, like myself, were to be witnesses against the defendant, among whom was a girl named Maggie Griffin, who was very enthusiantic about going on the stand herself and testifying against the defendant. The said Maggie Griffin, coached me and told me how to testify and what to say when I went on the me and told me how to testify and what to say when I went on the

stand to testify. Before I went on the stand, Solicitor Dorsey came into the room-where the girls were confined and gave is all a lecture and told us th t when we went on the stand to go right ahead and tell everything we knew and answer his questions right off sharp and quick. While the girls before mentioned were crowded in said room, a there was a great deal of talk and gossip going on among them, and many of them said they were afraid to go on the stand and testify to an untruth, and they were also afraid to go into the court room and testify at all. The said Maggie Griffin stated several times how she was going to tell everything that the Solicitor wanted to know when she went on the stand, and then I made the statement that I was efraid to go on the stand and that I knew nothing about the defendant and knew nothing about Mary Phagan, the said Maggie Griffin volunterred with enthusiasm to tell me what I should say, and the said Maggie Griffin thereupon rehearsed me many times in regard to the testimony I should give, and Maggie Griffin told me that I must that I was acquainted with the defendant and that I knew his character to be very bad, and that I had seen defendant whispering with Mary Phagan, with his face very close to her, and further, that I had seen defendant place his hand upon the person of Mary Phagan. I thereupon told Maggie Griffin that it would be impossible for me to testify to all that the said Maggie Griffin had instructed me to say, and Maggie Griff in said: "We will go over it again so that you wont forget it" and repeated it several times. I did not even know where Mary Phagan worked in the factory, but I was made to say that I knew her by said Maggie Griffin, and whatever I testified to regarding either the defendant or Mary Phagan was the result of coashing given to me by the said Maggie Griffin. During the time of my employment at the National Pencil Factory, I never heard any employee, male or female, say that defendant was a man of bad

こうちゃ いんればれるというないことをなって

this officerch was witnessed by C. W. Barke

GROUND 9.

RUTH ROBERTSON, Sworn for the Movant. I was a witness in the case of the People against Leo M. Frank, and on the morning of the day that I testified in the case a police detective whose name I believe to be Bass Rosser, came to my house and conducted me to the office of Solicitor Dorsey. This was my first meeting with Mr. Dorsey. The meeting was in a room in a building that I believe is opposite, or in the vicinity of the building in which the trial was conducted. After being introduced to Mr. Dorsey by the detective, Mr. Dorsey greeted me effusively; he said he was glad to make that I had come down to seehim, and that he was sure I would, make a good witness and would help him out in the Frank case. He questioned me and talked to me in the room alone for about am half an hour, beginning at about eight thirty o'clock. As I remember it, there was no proceedings in court on that day until later in the forenoon, at nine o'clock, I believe; it was on Wednesday, but the date I do not resall. In the beginning of the conversation with Mr. Dorsey, he asked me to go ahead and tell him all I knew about Mr. Frank and Mary Phagan. I told him I knew nothing, except against or about Mr. Frank, except that I worked for him, and, so far as I knew, he was a gentleman in every respect, or words to that effect. He asked me if I knew Mary Phogan, and I told him I did. He insisted that as I had worked at the National Pencil Company for a considerable time, that I must know something against the character of Mr. Frank and asserted that he was a very bad man. I told him that I knew absolutely nothing against Mr. Frank's character. Mr. Dorsey insisted that I did, and persisted in the statement that he was of bad character. He asked if I had ever been in Mr.Frank's office. I told him that I had on several occasions, always on business errands; that is, errands connected with the work I was performing in the factory. He then asserted that I had been in Mr.Frank's office, with him alone, to keep dates for purposes other than business, to which I replied that it was not true. He finally openly insulted me by affirming that I had had sexual intercourse with Mr. Frank in his office, or some other room or place in the factory-which Mr. Frank kept for the purpose of meeting girls, and he insisted that I knew the

1 M. 12

23

location of such room or place in the factory, and that I knew of other girls that had been to this room with Mr. Frank. I was shocked by the broad insinuation and affirmative statement of Mr. Dorsey, and I told him that all such statements and allusions were lies, and that I had never heard of any such thing ever occurring in the factory, or elsewhere, in which Mr. Frank and any girl employee of the factory were parties to; and I state now after mature deliberation and thought, that I have never heard such insulting language by direct speech and innuendo, by any of the commonest laborers in and about the National Pencil Factory, as was used to me by Solicitor Dorsey when in his private office. He being the Solicitor, and I, being in his office, believed at the time that he possessed some sort of right to thus accuse me and insult me; and, under this belief, I was obliged to take his insults and listen to his scandalous statements, by direct speech and innuendo, without openly resenting them further than to deny every single one of them. I wish to refer to my evidence, as given on the stand at the trial of Mr. Frank, as to my answers to questions of Mr. Dorsey, where in I was made to say that I had heard Mr. Frank call Mary Phagan by her first name, "Mary". Upon reflection, I wish to explain that my answer, as above repeated, was due entirely to my nervousness because of the badgering that I had been subjected to by Mr. Dorsey; and, as a matter of fact, I cannot recall one single instance wherein I ever heard Mr. Frank address Mary Phagan by any name, and this is the veriest truth. I would not recall, or cannot recall now, under calm deliberation, that I ever heard Mr.Frank address Mary Phagan by any name, as I have never seen him speak with her at any time or place, except when instructing her how to perform her work better and more rapidly while at her work in the factory. Referring back to my first call on Mr. Dorsey, and where he had questioned and talked to me for about a half an hour, at the conclusion of which I was directed to another large room, adjoining I believe where Mr. Dorsey had talked to me, in which there were twelve or fifteen other girls and women- all witnesses in the Frank case, and called by Mr. Dorsey, according to my understanding. Among these girls, I remember one Carrie Smith. Myrtie Cato, Maggie Griff in and Dewey Hewell. I remained there

until about twelve o'clock when I went to the courthouse and took the witness stand. Before Mr. Dorsey went over to the courthouse, he came into the room where the girls above described and I, myself, were, and gave us a lecture and told us all that when we went on the stand to go right ahead and tell everything we knew and answer his questions right off sharp. After the lecture I didn't see Mr. Dorsey again until I went on the witness stand at the courthouse. While remaining in the large room with the twelve or fifteen girls, before I was called tomgo to the courthouse, there was a great deal of talk and gossip among the girls there, some of them said they knew nothing against Mr. Frank and that they were timid and were afraid that they would be scared when they went into court. Maggie Griffin, however, appeared to welcome and relish the idea of going on the witness stand and told several times how she was going just to tell everything Mr. Dorsey wanted to know, when she went on the stand. Dewey Hewell said she did not know anything about Mr. Frank or Mary Phaga, or anything concerning the case, and Maggie Griffin volunterred, with enthusiasm to tell her what to say and did tell her and rehearsed hera tonce side of the room. I heard Maggie Griffin tell Dewey Hewell that she must say she hark Knew Mary Phagan, and that she knew Mr. Frank and knew that he was of bad character, and that she should tell everything bad she could think of about him, and to say that she had seen Mr. Frank with his hands on Mary Phegal, and that she had seen him whisper to her or talk to her with his face close to hers. Maggie Griffin and Dewey Hewell left the large room described two or three times together, and returned together and I heard Dewey Hewell say repeatedly that she was afraid she would forget all Maggie had told her to say when she went into the court house, and Maggie said, "We will go over it again, so you wont forget it." This was repeated several times. I recall hearing Dewey Hewell say pointedly that she did not know where Mary Phagan worked and that she did not know her by name; but she was rehearsed to know her by Maggie Griffin, in that room; and to say whatever she did say on the witness stand. I have seen the evidence as reported as being given

大方を下入れ前によいだらしならう

Dewey Hewell, and recognize in her answers precisally what I

heard Magg e Griffin tell her to say. I don't believe either one of these girls appreciated what it was to swear falsely, as they were gigzling and laughing over the evidence they were to give when they went on the witness stand.

JACOBUS, Sworn for the Movant. We are acquainted with Miss Ruth Robertson, and her residence is in the City of Atlanta. She could have knowledge of the facts testified to by her by reason of the fact that she had been employee at the factory, was acquainted with Leo M. Frank and the employees of the pacil factory. Othersted by Company Carolina (CROUND 10.

MRS. MAMIE EDMONDS, Sworn for the Movant. I first went to work at the National Pencil Factory in October, 1911, and left there about March 1,1913. I accepted re-employement with them about two weeks before the trial of Leo M. Frank. I never at any time witnessed any acts in Mr. Frank's office that would lead me to think that he was acting in any way unbecoming to a gentleman. I have never seen any woman in Mr. Frank's office except a stenographer and I never saw Mr.Frank familiar with her. Mr.Frank when passing through the factory was at all times businesslike in his actions and dealings with the employees. I was a witness for the State at the trial-of Leo M. Frank and testified that on a certain occasion side was in a ladies dressing room on the fourth floor, in company with one Ethel Stewart and Irene Jacks on. Miss Stewart was in the toom only part of the time while size I and Miss Jackson were there. The Solicitor asked me if I was ever in the dressing room in company with Miss Mayfield and Miss Jackson when they were partially dressed, whon Mr. Frank came to the dressing room and looked in. I replied that I was not in the dressing room with Miss Mayfield, but was there with Miss Jackson when she was in a partially dressed conditions and that Mr. Frank did look in the dressing room at that time. The Solicitor asked me what Mr.Frank said when he looked in the dressing room and I replied that he said "What's the matter girls, haven't you got any work?" and Miss Jackson replied, and then added "We are dressing, blame it"

and at this point, I said that Mr. Frank shut the down ande disappeared. When I was on the witness stand I only answered such questions as were put to me by the Solicitor General or by counsel for Frank. If I had been permitted to tell the facts in my own way I would have told them exactly as I am telling them now. When Mr. Frank opened the dressing room door and looked in and asked the girls if they did not have any work to do, none of them were in an exposed condition. I had removed my outside street skirt, but my person was fully protected by my underskirt and while Miss Jackson had removed a part of her clothes, her person was not in any way exposed. Detective Bass Rosser called at my home during the trial of Mr.Frank and interviewed me and asked me a great many embarrass ing questions as to what I knew against the character of Mr.Frank. I told him that I knew nothing that would reflect on Mr. Frank, and further told him that so far as my knowledge went and so far as I had mineral observed, Mr. Frank always conducted himself as a gentleman. I do not think Mr. Frank showed me the proper respect in coming into the dressing room as he did.

DEBERT JONES, TULLIE CALDWELL, OZIE LEADFORD, H. G.

SCHIFF, Sworn for the Movant. We know Mamie Kitchens Edmonds, and she could have knowledge of the facts testified by her by reason of the fact that she had been an employee of the factory and was acquainted with Leo M. Frank as an employee there.

Ottological Ly Cliptonics as working.

GROUND 11.

MARIE KARST. Sworn for the Movant. I worked at the National Pencil Company about eighteen months prior to January, 1912, and knew Leo M.Frank. I was a witness for the State at the trial of Leo M.Frank and testified that his character was bad, and I was not cross examined. If I had been asked as to how I knew Mr.Frank's character was bad, I could only have said because I had heard girls says that they were afraid to have him catch them loafing. No girl or woman ever told me that Mr.Frank had ever in any way insulted them, I never saw any woman in Mr.Frank's office and never heard any other girl or woman say that they never saw any woman in Mr.Frank's office drinking or acting in any way

unbecoming to a lady. I was brought into thecase against Mr. Frank by detective Bass Rosser and was subpoensed to the office of Mr.Dorsey twice before the trial and questioned very closely by Mr.Dorsey. He told me to say that Mr.Frank's general character was bad and as illustrating to me how he desired me to state that Mr. Frank's character was bad, he said, "I want you to state that his character is bad just as you would state that Mayor Woodward's character was bad, and in the same manner you had heard that Mr. Frank's character was bad. That is the way I want you to testify and I want you to answer my questions right off sharp and quick." When Mr. Dorsey was prompting me and questioning me in his office, . he did not at any time use the word "lasciviousness", but when I went on the witness stand he did use the word and asked me if Mr. Frank's character for lascivisusness was good or bad, and I as nwere ed "bad" m in the face of the fact that I did not know the meaning of the word lasciviousness, and never had it explained to me until today. I deny most emphatically that Mr. Frank's character or reputation is bad for lasciviousness. He always made the girls at the factory attend strictly to business and he was not generally liked by them on account of his strictness with them in his dealings regarding their work.

H. G. SCHIFF, JOS. STELKER, LEONARD JACOBUS, AND L. A. QUINN. Sworn for the movant. We are acquainted with Miss Marie Karst. She could have knowledge of the facts testified to by her by reason of the fact that she had been an employee of the factory and had been acquainted with Leo M. Frank as an employee of the factory, attested by CurburtCl.

(GROUND 12 STRICKEN UPON MOTION OF MOVANT.)

GROUND 13.

MARY RICH, Sworn for the Movant. I know Jim Conley and at about 2:15 o'clock P. M. Saturday, April 26,1913, Jim Conley come out of alley in rear of the National Pencil Factory and bought a 20¢ dinner of me, and after getting the dinner in his hand, he went back to the aforesaid alley and I did not see him any more that day. This off dank in pancel was attack in the factor of the as Malan Pale

MARY RICH, SWORN (before Commissioner D.O. Smith) I knew Jim Conley on April 26,1913. I don't know whether I would know him now or not. Jim said it was Memorial Day, I saw him after the noon hour, after two o'clock. I asked a man who come along and he said it was 2:30. Jim had gone that that time. I saw himbetween Madison Ave. and Forsyth St. on Hunter St. where I have been selling lunches for 3 or 4 years. He bought a 20% dinner from me and has not paid me yet. I was busy when he left and I don't know where he went. I don't know what direction he came from or went to when he left me. I have not seen him since. Mr. Dorsey is the only man I have talked to about my testimony. No one carried me there to see him. I went by myself.

Mf. Dorsey did not try to get me to say it was Labor day instead of Memorial Day. I told him Jim said "It was our Holiday."

D. O. SMITH, Sworn for the Movant. I acted as Commissioner under the court order in the case to take the depositions of Mary Rich. This woman Mary Rich was very loath to begin answering any of the questions asked and it was with great difficulty she could be made to begin answering any of the questions. She stated she only wanted to give her evidence before Solicitor General Dorsey, or in Court, and repeatedly insisted that she did not want to testify except in Mr. Dorsey's presence or in court, as she did not know me or whether I had any right to question her.

GROUND 16

G. BURTIS DALTON. Sworn for the Movant. The newspaper accounts of said forder was the topic of general conversation at the boarding house where I was living, and during one of the sever al conversations that daily took place there wegarding the very sad murder, I made the remark that I had been to the National Pencil factory several times, and this I confided to a fellow boarder named R.L. Mann, also that I had had immoral relations with a girl in the basement of the said National Pencil factory, and I thought no more of by remark until one day when city detectives Campbell and Starnes called at my boarding house and told me that Mr.R.L.Mann had reported to them that I knew some bad things against Leo M. Frank. I at once became indignant and promptly told the detectives that if R.L.Mann or any other person had reported to them that I knew anything bad against Leo M. Frank or against his character, that said informer or informers were

telling a falsehood and I them and there told detectives Campbell and Starnes that so far as my knowledge of Leo M.Frank went or was concerned that the said Leo M.Frank was a gentleman in every respect and way. Detectives Starnes and Campbell laughed at the declaration I made in defense of Leo M. Frank and treated my statement as a joke, and further that they would and did insist that I admit that I knew Mr. Frank to bea man of bad character, and that I had seen Mr. Frank go into closets and dressing rooms with various women and girls at various times at the National Pencil Letory, and that I had joined Mr. Frank on several occasions in acts of immoral conduct with women and girls, and that I had on various occasions joined Mr. Frank and women in the office of Mr Frank and that on these occasions we would all drink beer and have a so called good time, and that I had seen Jim Conley and Leo M.Frank on various occasions talking earnestly together and that women and girls had told me that Mr. Frank had committed both natural and unnatural acts of intercourse with them, and that I had at various times taken women to the basement of the pencil factory for immoral purposes, with the knowledge and consent of Mr. Frank and that detectives Campbell and Starnes plainly told me that they had made called on me to see if I would not support the statements of Jim Conley. The suggestions as outlined above and as made to me by detectives Campbell and Starnes caused me to feel indignant and insulted, and I so expressed myself at the time 4. and in forcible language to detectives Starms and Campbell, and I promptly told the detectives referred to that every suggestion they had made was untrue, and I proceeded to deny spparately and collectively every suggestion made in me by detectives Stame and Campbell as outlined above in this statement. I told the detectives referred to at that time and now that I do not know Leo M. Frank; I know nothing against or about the character of Me. Frank, and that I never saw Mr. Frank go into any closets, dressing rooms or other places with any woman or girl at any time or place, and that I never joined Mr. Frank at any time or place in acts immoral with women or girls; and that I never at any time or place saw Mr. Frank in conversation with Jim Conley, and no women ever told me that hr. Frank had committed either natural or unnatur-

al immoral acts with them or attempted to do so or asked to do so. I did tell detectives Campbell and Starnes that I had been in the basement of the National Pencil Factory with one Daisy Hopkins for immoral purposes, but I told the detectives then and I now say that that I never went to the factory with Daisy Hopkins with the knowledge or consent of Leo M. Frank, but I dolt the detectives Campbell and Starnes at the time of the conversation referred to in this statement and I now state that I went to the basement of the factory with the consent and knowledge of Jim Conley only, and said Jim Conley always received a tip of twenty five cents from . me for such privilege, and said Jim Conley would permit me and Daisy Hopkins to go in the basement without being seen by anyone, and the said Jim Conley would remain on guard for me while I was in the basement with the understanding thoroughly understood between me and Jim Conley that said Conley would warm me if Mr. Frank or anyone else should happen to come along and possibly disturb me while I was in the basement and the said Jim Conley would assist me and Daisy Hopkins to get out of the factory without being seen by anyone. On one occasion I looked into Mr. Frank's office, but Mr. Frank did not see me, as Mr. Frank was busy at the time talking with Daisy Hopkins, who had gone to the factory in company with me for the purpose of drawing three dollars on her salary account at the factory, and I saw in Mr. Frank's office at the time referred to a lady whom he I have since learned to be Miss Eula Mae Flowers, and another woman who dressed like and looked like a factory employee, but there was nothing wrong going on in the office on the occasion referred to, and there was no evidence that there was or had been any beer drinking or drinking of any kind, and Mr. Frank was sitting at his desk apparently attending to his business, and dependents as soon as Daisy Hopkins had drawn the money from her salary account as referred to above I and the Hopkins woman at once left the factory together and I never saw Mr. Frank any more. If anyone gained the impression from the evidence I gave at the trial that I knew or knew anything against the character of Leo M. Frank, I now want to disabuse their mind of any such false impression, and I want everyone and everybody to know that I know absolutely nothing about or against the furrector of the Loo M. Fr

GROUND 141

H. F. BECKER, Swom for the Movant. I was master mechanic at the National Pencil Factory in September, 1908, until the last Saturday in December, 1912. During that entire time I signed factory orders for all goods and supplies. I had authority to sign all orders for all goods and supplies I needed. The only other person who had authority to sign orders was Leo M. Frank. The method adopted by me and Mr. Frank in connection with the purchase of supplies was as follows: The orders or requisitions were made on pads and these pads were made so as to contain duplicate sheets . One of the sheets was the original made by me in behalf of the factory and which order would be sent to the concern which would furnish the supplies. A carbon sheet was placed between this original sheet and the second sheet which constituted a carbon copy and which was in all respects a duplicate of the original order so sent out. To all such orders or requisitions that I sent out I was required to affix my name and my name would accordingly appear on the carbon sheet whenever the same was used in connection with the original sheet, carbon sheet was invariably used by me and my signature was invariably affixed. Each order made out by me was given a number and this number appeared both on the original sheet which went to the supplier of the material and the number also appeared on the carbon copy which was kept. When the supplies so ordered were furnished by the house to whom the order was given, the number of the order was required to appear on the invoice or bill covering said supplies so that the bill would be identified by the This was the system which Mr Frank and I put into order number. force in the purchase of supplies and was carried out during the entire time I was at the Pencil Company factory. I have examined the exhibit attached to this affidavit. It is a reproduction of the carbon copy used by me while in the employ of the pencil factory. The blurred signature which appears about the center is my genuine signature. The signature however is very much blurred, only the last letters "ower" are fairly legible, as well as the characteristic loop at the end of the name. In my opinion

was shown me I could be more certain. At the time I left Atlanta the entire supply of blanks, containing the figure "190" on the date line had been exhausted and a new stock of order blanks containing the figures "191" had already been put in use. Shortly before leaving Atlanta I personally packed up all of the duplicate orders on carbon copies which im I had written and which had already been filled and sent them down to the cellar of the factory to be burned and destroyed. Whether the order to burn or destroy was fully carried out I do not know. In my opinion the exhibit annexed to this affidavit is a photographic reproduction of a copy of an order made out by me prior to my leaving the simploy of the National Pencil Factory.

(The Exhibit attached to said affidavit constists of a photographic copy of one of the notes found by the body of Mary Phagan, the same having been designated on the original trial as State's Exhibit Z.)

1 1

H. C. SCHIFF, F. ZIGANKE, Sworm for the Movant. We are personally acquainted with H.F. Becker, who severed his connection with the National Pencil Company about December, 1912. We are familiar with the signature of the said Becker. We have examined the photographic copy attached to the affidavit of said Becker and have carefully examined what purpots to be a signature across the face of said photograph. The signature appears on said photograph. The said order blank was not in use in the factory in April,1913. It has long since been out of use. The particular order blank in question, towart 1018 was used long prior to April 1913. At the time Becker severed his connection with the National Pencil Company Schiff gave directions that all of the papers left in Becker's department be carried into the basement of the factory and left there, and it was done as ordered.

HARRY E. MORGAN, HENRY SCHNETDER, G. GARBLEMAN, GUSTAVUS AZZARA, Swom for the Movant. We are acquainted with Henry F. Becker. Some of his associates are Wm.Reibold, Richard Zest, Frederick Simpson. His character and reputation are good and he is worthy of belief in any court.

H. G. SCHIFF, R. W. LOEB, EULA FLOWERS, Sworm for the movent. We are personally acquainted with H.F. Becker. He is a

man of good moral character and credibility and we would believe him on oath in a court of law.

H. G. SCHIEF, N. V. DARLEY, Sworn for the Movant. On April 26,1913, we were onnected with the National Pencil Company as assistant superintendent and head foreman respectively. We now act as superintendent and head foreman respectively. We are familiar with the order blanks in said factory and make this affidavit with respect to the four books attached, marked 1, 2 3 and 4. Book #1 is an ordinary pad such as is in use at the present time at the factory, and was in use on April 26 1913, -It consists of alternate white and yellow sheets, the white sheet being the original and the other sheet being carbon copies, the practice being to insert a piece of carbon sheet between the white and yellow sheet at the time of writing the order. Books 2,3 and 4 are order pad books similar to book 1 with the exception that they have already been used, whereas book 1 is new and unused, that is to sayd books 2, 3 and 4 have had orders written in them and torn out. The figures on the date line, commencing "Atlanta, Ga. "are figures representing the date of the orders as made. The figures on the line commencing with the "order number" represent the order of the number as made and the writing below is, in each case, the order itself, that is to say a specification of the goodse ordered. The initials found on the yellow sheets are those of the persons making the order to the National Pencil Company. The books 2, 3 and 4 represent a period extending from March 12, 1913 to April 29,1913.

(Accompanying this affidavit were four books, marked 1,2,3,4, No.1 being a new, unused book of order blanks, with white and yellow sheets alternating. The date line on said order blanks are as follows: "Atlanta, Ga. 191 "Books Nos.2,3 and 4 are used books containing yellow sheets on which are written in carbon, the order number, the name of the concern from whom the goods are ordered, the date, and also the goods ordered. In book the orders numbers are from 1446 to 1492 inclusive, the dates of these orders being March 3,1913 to April 3,1913. Book No.2 contains order numbers 1493 to 1541 inclusive, the dates of said orders being from April 4, to April 29th,1913. Book No.3 contains inder numbers 1542 to 1587 inclusive, the dates being from April 2019113, to May 27,1913. Each order blank has the following initial on it: "L.M.F." Below is a duplicate of one of the orders contained in the above books.

GROUND 15.

left my work at 1;30 P.M. and went at once to the corner of Forsyth and Hunter Street into a saloon at that corner, where I had a glass of beer. While in the saloon I did not meet anyone that I knew.

I remained there only long enough to drink my glass of beer. I then left the saloon, walked up Forsyth to Mitchell Street, out Mitchell Street to Davis. I was not joined by anyone and did not meet anyone until I reached Davis Street, where I met Budd Perry. I did not meet anyone else except Bud Perry and we walked to my home

No. Selectric Avenue. We then went to a ball game, but not together. I did not meet any other men I knew while on my way with

Bud Perry, and I did not meet anyone at home that day that I knew other than Bud Perry. attested by CW Bruske as notary.

HELEN FERGUSON, Sworn for the Movant. I was employed at the National Pencil Company. I knew Mr . Frank very well when I saw him. I also knew Mary Phagan forabout a year. I ne ver heard Mr.Frank address Mary Phagan by her name, and I never saw him talking to her in any friendly manner. During my employment at the factory. I never saw Mr. Frank drinking with women in his office. On the Saturday preceding the murder, I was on the second floor of the factory and Jim Conley said to me "Help yourself, take all the boxes you want". I was stooping over at the time Conley addressed me and he kept getting closer to me and made a motion as though he intended to grab hold of me, I was very much frightened, and rag away as fast as possible. I will always believe that the said Jim Conley intended to grab me and I was very much

afraid of him.

MARKETEREXIC MRS. CORA L. LEFFEW, Sworn for the Movant. I was present in the metal room at the National Pencil Company's factory on Monday, April 28th, 1913, when some strands of hair were found on a certain lathe and which were sought to be identified as the hair of Mery Phagan. I was well acquainted with Mary Phagan and with the color of her haar. The hair before mentioned was not the hair of Mary Phagan. It was entirely too light in color. Among those present at the time were Mrs.Georgia Denham, R.P. Barrett, Cora Falta, Marjorie McCord and Jimmie Mayfield.

MARUORIE McCORD . MARY PIRK, Sworn for the Movant. ware well acquaintedm with Cora Lavander Leffew. Her character for truth and veracity is good and we would believe her on oath in a court of law.

GROUND 17.

J. E. DUFFY. Sworn for the Movant. I was a witness in the trial of the case of the State of Georgia vs. Leo M.Frank, charged with the murder of Mary Phagan. I was an employee at the factory of the National Penoil Company during a part of the year

1912, and while at work there I was

injured on the index finger of my left hand, being at work at a machine on the second floor of the building in the metal department. When I received this injury there was a vast amount of blood ran on the floor at the end of the machine upon which depended was at work and the machine referred to was directly opposite the one upon which Mary Phagan was employed. I knew Mary Phagan when I saw her and during my employment at the National Pencil Company's factory, I have at various times seen bloody guards such as are used by women during their menstrual periods, discarded in a trash can which was sitting between the machine used by Mary Phagan and the dressing room on the second floor and right at the corner of the polishing room. At Newt Garner's request I called at Mr.Darsey's office. I did wery little talking to Mr. Dorsey, except to enswer his questions, and Mr.Dorsey finally told me that Lemmie Quinn and a boy named Charlie had testified in the case to the effect that at the time I hurt my hand that I stopped in front of the dressing room with my hand extended, allowing the blood to drip upon the floor, and Mr. Dorsey said to me, "Now, Mr. Duffy, you know that is not true, and you know that you were not in front of the dressing room at all and that there was no blood that run upon the floor, and that as soon as you injured your finger you promptly staunched it with something." and Mr. Dorsey asked me what it was that I used to stop the blood and I replied that I had applied a piece of waste to the wound. For some reason I permitted Mr.Dorsey to both ask and answer all his questions for me and I could see precisely how Mr. Dorsey wanted me to testify and that I did testify as suggested by Mr. Dorsey. After mature deliberation and thought, it is quite plain to me that I was made to express myself on the witness stand in a manner that I would not have given expression to had I been permitted to have gone on the witness stand and testify to the facts as I knew and memembered them. I now say that when my hand was injured blood did run from my finger on some of the metal and tin, which surrounded the machine which I was working upon, and upon the floor to the end or to the side of the machine referred to. During my conversation with Mr. Dorsey, the Solicitor in his leading way, insisted that I had immediately gone . to the office of Mr. Frank eas soon as I had been wounded and

then went directly to the Atlanta Hospital where Dr. Ballinger waited on me. It was very possible, and quite probable and an analyzation that blood dripped from my wounded hand upon the floor as I was passing the aforesaid dressing room, and I am not willing to state that blood did not drip from his hand to the floor in front of the dressing room already referred to . I was paid \$7.60 for two days attendance in court by the Solicitor.

GROUND 18.

MRS. M. JAFFE, Swom for the Movant. I have known Leo M. Frank for about three and one half years. I knew him first at No.305 So. Pryor Street where he boarded before his marriage and where I also boarded. Since the time I first met Mr.Frank I have known him continuously and have often seen and talked to him on the streets in Atlanta, and at the place of business of Mr. Jaffe and other places, and I was well acquainted with him on April 26,1913. On April 26,1913, I left my husband's place of business at No.74 Whitehall Street, either at one o'clock P.M. or a few minutes prior thereto, and I came directly therefrom to the drug store of Brown & Allen at the corner of Whitehall and Alabama Streets. Just about five minutes past one o'clock on the afternoon of April 26,1913, I left this drug store and as I did so I recognized Mr. Leo M. Frank who was standing in front of Jacob's Pharamcy on the opposite corner of Alabama and Whitehall Streets, looking towards Whitehall Street. I did not speak to him at the time inasmuch as he was not looking directly toward me at the time I recognized him, I do not know whether he saw or recognized me or not but my belief is he did not inasmuch as I and Mr. Frank are well acquainted and he would have spoken to me had he seen me. At the time of the trial in July and August, 1913, I had no knowledge whatever of the fact that my having seen Leo M. Frank at Whitehall and Alabama Streets five minutes past one o'clock on the afternoon of April 26th, 1913, would be material in his case and for that reason I did not tell Leo M.Frank or his attomeys of the fact, and it was not until after the trial of Leo M. Frank that I told his attorneys of the fact, the exact time I told them I am unable to state. I am willing to come to Attenta and testify

to all of the facts contained in this affidavit, and to any other facts in her knowledge which may be material. Among my assciates in Atlanta, Georgia, while I resided there were Mr. and Mrs. Greenblatt, Judge and Mrs. Fred Powers, Dr. and Mrs.B. Wildauer, Mr. and Mrs.J. Saul, Mr.J. Saul, and my physicians, Dr. Manget and Dr. Sommerfield.

F. M. POWERS, J. D. MANGET, Sworn for the Movant. We are acquainted with Mrs. M. Jaffe. Her character and reputation are good and Mrs. Jaffe is worthy of belief and we would believe her on oath.

L.Z.ROSSER, R.R.ARNOLD, MORRIS BRANDON, H.J. HAAS, Sworn for the Movant. We were counsel for Leo M. Frank in the abo we stated case, in his trial at the July Term, 1913, of the Fulton Superior Court. We were his sole counsel during said trial and up to the time of the preparation of the original motion for new trial, at which time Leonard Haas was joined as counsel, but Leonard Haad had no connection with the original trial and preparation of the case, and had no connection therewith until the beginning of the preparation of the original motion for new trial. The defendant, Leo M. Frank, immediately after the crime was discovered, was placed under arrest and from said time until the date of his trial, and after his trial, was kept either in the City Police Station or in the Fulton County Jail. By reason of this incarceration the said Frank could aid his counsel in the preparation of his case only by constant consultation and advice with them. He had no opportunity, himself, to examine witnesses or to search for evidence which would sid him in his cause. By reason of his confinement, the preparation for the trial of the case, the examination and discovery of witnesses was left entirely in the hands of Frank's counsel there and then. Frank was not well known in Atlanta, except among his own people, and, without the small circle of friends from such people, he had but few friends in the city, and he, while living in the City of Atlanta, devoted himself assidupusly to his business, and he was, to all intents and purposes a stranger in the City of Atlanta, without my general influence in the community, and without my powerful friends to aid him in

seeking information with reference to his case and in looking up and discovering such witnesses as might be of advantage to him. The atrocity of the crime, immediately upon its discovery, created great excitement and intense bittersness. Upon suspicion being pointed to Frank, he immediately became the center of many sensational stories and of intense and widespread bitterness. The public press, spurred on by the wide interest in the case, published almost daily, wild sensational stories panting the atrocity of the crime? Numberless wild and incredible stories were published about Frank, linking him with crimes in Atlanta and elsewhere. Among other things, it was published broadcast in the public prints that he had been married before coming to Atlanta, that he had been a criminal in Brooklyn, and that, since his residence in Atlanta, had made a habit of corrupt practices, such as would and did visit upon him widespread contempt and hatred. So wide-spread were these rumors, not only through the public prints but by word of mouth, as to the atrocity of the crime, as to facts and circumstances claimed to connect Frank therewith and of Frank's habitual perversion, that practically the whole community absorbed the idea that Frank was guilty of this crime; and, if not guilty of this crime, that he was a pervert, for whose safety there need be no particular care.

When the trial began, it was apparent that intense prejudice against Frank was widely spread among the people, and that he had but few sympathizers or friends. False rumors as to the crime's atrocity, as to Frank's connection with it, and to his lack of character, had embittered practically the whole public against him; and he went into the trial of this case in the face of this public prejudice and bitterness. The court house was crowded with men and women, the great majority of whom were bitterly prejudiced against him and who intensely desired his conviction. During much of the trial, great crowds surrounded the court house, practically all of whom were bitterly antaganistic to Frank. So bitter and unreasonable was was this crowd, that when the verdict was rendered, they indulged in a great demonstration of delight at Frank's conviction. The conditions surrounding the trial, from

its beginning to its inception, is accurately set out in the original motion for new trial, and certified to by the Judge. At times, when the presiding Judge would decide against the defendant the crowd would make demonstrations of approval. As illustrating the conditions surrounding the trial of Frank, and of the prejudice and bias in the public mind against him, we attach hereto, as exhibit A, grounds sixty five, sixty six and seventy five of the original motion for new trial, certified to by the presiding Judge. The facts alleged in this exhibit are true as therein stated, and are here sworn to by these deponents for the purpose of illustrating and showing the public prejudice and bias against Frank before and during the trial. The public prejudice was so great against Frank that it was with the greatest difficulty that any information could be obtained with reference to any facts or circumstances surrounding the crime which would be beneificial to Frank. Witnesses who had such information would either avoid giving information or give it only upon condition that they should not be called as witnesses and that their names should not in any way be asssociated with the case. Minola McKnight, one of the witnesses for Frank, had been arrested and placed in the station house, because she would not give such a statement as met the approbation of the detectives, and was kept in confinement until she gave a false statement. This incident was given the wides publicity by the press and had the inevitable tendency to prevent people from making any statements in his favor, or giving any information that would be beneficial to his case.

As soon as/were employed, began at once an earnest, active and laborious investigation into all the facts and circumstances of the case. They met with the greatest difficulty in obstaining information, even when such information was in the keeping of people being interviewed. From the time of the employment of counsel, as aforesaid, up to the date of the trial, they gave almost continuous, laborious and earnest effort to discover everything which could throw any light upon the facts and circumstances surrounding the trial and showing who was the real perpetrator of the crime. Not only so, but we employed assistants to aid us in discovering every fact and circumstance that might throw light

upon the crime and its perpetrator. These assistants gave their time to it for weeks and months, under the guidance and direction of these us, and each of these reports was investigated and verified in an effort to bring to light every fact and circumstance connected with the crime. We, in person, conferred with every person of whom we had any knowledge was in any condition to know the circumstances surrounding Frank's life before and after he reached Atlanta, the facts and circumstances of the crime and any facts or circumstances throwing light upon whom was the perpetrator of the crime.

Prior to the trial and until after the original motion for new trial was overruled, we did not know Mary Rich and had never seen her. We did not know before the trialand until after the original motion for new trial was overruled that Mary Rich would testify that she knew Jim Conley; that on April 26,1913, at about 2;15 P.M. she saw Jim Conley come out of the alley immedy istely in the rear of the National Pencil Retory; that Jim Conley came to where she was running a lunch stand on wheels and hourhy bought a twenty cent dinner from her and after purchasing said dinner carried same in his hand and went back in said alley in the direction of the pencil factory.

We had tried persistently to determine and develop Conley's movements on the day of the murder, but were unable to discover the existence of anyone who knew the facts testified to by Mary Rich.

know until the motion was new trial was overruled; that, on the note written on the yellow carbon order blank, about eight lines from the bottom of the sheet there was the faint scrawl of H.F. Becker, sought to be erased but which is discernible under a microscope; and that, also, on said note, is the date September, 1909, sought to be erased but which is discernible under a microscope, together with the serial number 1018; that said sheet was a duplicate of a requisition sent to the Cotton States Belting & Supply Company, in September, 1909, by said H.F. Becker, who was Master Mechanic at the National Pencil Company at that time, and whose business it was to secure and obtain supplies for the National Pencil Com-

pany at that time, and whose business it was to secure and obtain supplies for the National Pencil Company; that it was his practice to write out the requisition, sign it with his name and send it by an apprentice to the place where he desired to secure the supplies; that it was the practice and custom of said Becker to sign the requisition, send the original to the place where he secured supplies and retain a carbon duplicate thereof in his own office on the fourth floor of the pencil factory; that the said duplicate requisitions were contained in pads which remained in his own office on the fourth floor of the Pencil Factory from the time Becker first entered the employ of the National Pencil Company to January 1, 1912; that he was allowed to obtain supplies without the sanction or authority of anyone else in the factory; his department being entirely independent from other departments and the requisition being sent out through his office without passing through anyone else; that it was his practice to keep his pads of duplicate requisitions in his office and after having no other use for same, to send them down the basement of the factory, with other trash; that the serial number in said note, namely, 1018, corresponds to the serial number of a requisition made on the Cotton States Belting & Supply Company, by said Becker, in 1909, the preceding serial numbers being 1016 and 1017, being dated September 10,1909, and serial number 1019, the one immediately following the sheet on which Conby wrote, being dated October 6,1909.

We had heard before the trial that certain strands of heir were found on a lathe on the second floor of the National Pencil Factory, in the metal room; these strands of hair we never. Our recollection is that when the hair was asked for during the trial it was reported by the Solicitor that it was lost. Our information is that the Solicitor, and his assistants say, that the hair is lost and can not be found. When it was lost these deponents do not know, but we do know that they have never had any opportunity to see the hair itself; nor did we know that there was in existence or had been obtained off of the head of Mary Phagan hair, with which the hair could be compared that was found on the lathe.

We did make an extensive inquiry among the employees of the factory, seeking information about this alleged hair, and the

only information we were able to get was that one Barrett had found some hair upon the lathe, but we learned of no one who was willing to say whether the hair found was that of Mary Phaganmor not. We had no opportunity to make any comparison between the hair found on the lathe end the hair of Mary Phagan, and we did not know that Doctor Harris, when he made the autopsy had taken some of the hair from Mary Phagen's head and that he had made a microscopical axaminationxx comparison between the hair found on the lathe and that taken from Mary Phagan's head, nor did they know at the date of the trial, nor at the date of the overruling of the motion for a new trial, that Dr. Harris had taken any of the hair from the head of Mary Phagan or that he had made a comparison between the hair found on the lathe and Mary Phagan's; nor did we know at either of the times aforesaid, that Dr. Harris had reported to the Solicitor that the hair found on the lathe differed from the hair of Mary Phagan in shape, color and texture; nor did we know at either of the times aforesaid that upon reporting to the Solicitor General that the hair on the lathe differed in color and texture and shape from the hair of Mary Phagan; that the Solicitor had them told Dr. Harris that he would let the investigation of the hair end there.

we did not know that Dr. Harris had made a postkemortem examination of the body of Mary Phagan. We knew this fact by hearsay only. We were not notified in advance that the autopsy was to be held, nor were we asked to participate either ourselves or by an agent in that autopsy. Prior to the trial the result of Dr. Harris' autopsy was concealed, but we gamph sought to learn just what Dr. Harris had discovered but were not able to do so. We

hever had any intimation, by hearsay or otherwise, that any hair from the head of Mary Phagan had been obtained as a result of

the autopsy and that a scientific examination of the hair had been made showing that the hair found by the lathe differed from the hair of Mary Phagan in color, shape and texture. The first intimation we had of these facts was after the original motion for a new trial had been overruled by the Supreme Court.

Dr.Harris was a witness at the trial and testified as to the autopsy; testifying as to the examination of the stomach

and other organs of Mary Phagan, but made no statement at all with reference to the taking of the hair of Mary Phagan from her head during the autopsy, and examining it in comparison with the hair found on the lathe in the metal room. Dr. Harris was upon the stand twice, an interval being had in his examination by reason of his sickness, and at neither time while on the stand did Dr. Harris intimate that he had made any examination of this hair. While on the stand he was asked what part of Mary Phagan's body he examined, and he answered in a way misleading to us.

Prior to the trial Dr.Harris had been approached by Mr. Oscar Pappenheimer, a friend of Leo Frank, and was asked what he, Dr.Harris, learned as a result of the examination of the body of Mary Phagan. At first Dr.Harris declined to state, but finally said. "I may say this much to you, Mr. Pappenheimer, that what I found during the examination was of no importance whatsoever, and need give you no bother."

During the trialend before Dr. Hasris had finished his testimony, L.Z. Rosser and R.R. Arnold, called upon Dr. Harris and urged him to tell just what he did discover from the autopsy of the body of Mary Phagan, and justwhat facts he knew about which he was willing to testify. Dr. Harris declined to give this information, stating that he had promised the Solicitor to keep the matter a secret. We urged him to frankly tell to all he knew, stating that he was a public official of the State, that he had been employed by the County and paid with the money of all the tax payers of the county, and that under the circumstances he could not afford to conceal enything. This argument had no influence with Dr. Harris. He declined to giveus any information, but he did state that he would state fully and frankly all he knew when he was on the stand . Thereafter Dr. Harris went upon the stand again. He was asked just what part of Mary Phagan's body he examined. He evaded the answer leaving the impression upon us that he examined only the organs test ified about. He never mentioned an examination of the hair, nor was there any intimation during the trial that any examination of the hair had been had. We had no information of any hair, or any of the facts herein stated until after the motion for a new

trial had been overruled and after the case was affirmed by the supreme Court. With reference to the hair found upon the lathe, we made inquiry, extensive inquiries of employees of the factory as to any information the y had with reference to this hair found on the lathe.

We had no information, nor did we know, that Miss Jimme Mayfield had ever been shown the hair, which Barrett claimed to have taken from the lathe. The information that it had been shown to her came to us after the trial, and after motion for a new trial had been overruled. This witness, Miss Jimmie Mayfield, was not a witness at the trial, and at the date of motion for a new trial we had no knowledge that she had ever seen the hair on the lathe so as to make a comparison with the hair of Mary Phagan.

We did not know at the date of the trial, nor after the motion for a new trial was overruled and after the case was carried to the Supreme Court that Mrs. Cora Falta had seen the hair upon the lathe that had been found by Barrett, and that she knew and would swear that the hair found upon the lathe was not the hair of Mary Phagan. This witness, Mrs. Cora Falta was not a witness at the trial and we had no information, until after the dates aforesaid, that she had any knowledge about the hair said to have been found by Barrett, and that she knew and would testify that it was not the hair of Mary Phagan.

We did not know that Alice Marjorie McCord, nor did we know that she had ever seen the hair claimed to have been found by Barrett upon the lathe, nor did they know that she, having seen the hair, would testify that it was not the hair of Mary Phagan. This Alice Marjorie McCord was not a witness in the trial of the case, and the extended which we had not the slightest information, or suspicion that she had ever seen the hair and knew that it was not Mary Phagan's.

We also claim that we did not know and we had no knowledge, until motion for a new trial had been overruled and the case was affirmed by the Supreme Court that Albert McKnight had admitted anything testifying falsely as to seeing Leo M.Frank in the dining room of his father-in-law, Mr. Selig's home, on April 26,1913; nor

did we know or have any knowledge that McKnight admitted that he falsely swore as to the other facts, which he now admits that he did falsely swear to, set out in his . affidavit shown to the court at the hearing and submitted to the court in verification of the extraordinary motion for a new trial.

McKnight was a witness for the State at the jury trial and testified as he now admits, falsely,"that he looked in the mirror from the kitchen and saw Frank in the dining room of his father-in-law's home" and that "he did not eat any dinner" that "he wont to the sideboard of the dining room, stood there a few minutes and went out and caught a car" and that he "did not say in the house more than five or ten minutes". The falsity of this testimony was unknown to us until after the time aforesaid.

We further claim that we did not know on the date of the trial that kirk incr until after the motion for a new trial had been overruled, that Mrs. Ethel Harris Miller and Maier Lefkoff would testify as set out in paragraph seven of the Extraordinary motion for new trial; nor did we know until after the time, as aforesail, that they saw Leo M. Frank between 1 o'clock and 1;10 o'clock of the 26th day of April, 1913, at the corner of Whitehall and Alabama Streets. Neither of us knew Mrs. Miller and had no intimation that she knew or was in a position to know the things she testifies about. We had made every effort in our power to discover any parties who did see Frank without the factory between 1 o'clock and 1;30 o'clock; had inquired of every source that we thought profitable and had obtained at the times as aforesaid no information about Mrs .Miller.

Miss Dewey Hewell was a non-resident of the City of Atlanta at the date the crime was committed and was residing in Cincinnati, Ohio. A messenger of the State was sent to Ohio to bring Miss Hewell to the trial and such messenger did bring her to the trial; neither of us, nor anyone representing us, had any opportanity to confer with Miss Hewell to determine to what she would testify. Upon her testifying she was carried back to Ohio and has since been in Ohio. No opportunity was given us to confer with Miss Hewell while she was in Atlanta before or after her testimony. We did not know at the date of the trial nor until the case

had been affirmed by the Supreme Court that in truth Miss Hewell did not know Mary Phaganland did not see or hear Leo M. Frank speak to Mary Phagan; that she had never seen the defendant and Mary Phagan together, and that she knew the facts, and would testify to the facts set out in her affidavit to the court shown at the hearping. We did not know until after the trial and after the motion for a new trial had been overruled, that Miss Ruth Robertson would testify as is set out in her affidavit to the court shown at the hearing. We did not know that she would be a witness at the trial, had no poportunity to confer with her, no facts, or set of facts, had come to us which would lead us to suspect that she would testify as she has testified in said affidavit.

We had no knowledge at the time of the trial, nor until after the motion for new trial had been overruled and the case affirmed by the Supreme Court that Miss Marie Karst knew and would testify to the facts as set out in her affidavit here to the court shown, dated April 9,1914, and we did not know at said time that Leo M.Frank was acquainted with this lady or that she had any knowledge off the things testified to by her in said affidavit.

We did not know at the date of the trial, nor sime the said date until the Frank case had been affirmed by the Supreme Court of that C.B.Dalton would testify as set out in his affidavit here to the court shown, dated March 3,1914.

We had never heard of C.B.Dalton until the witnesses were called at the trial. We made diligent effort to discover who he was during the trial, but failed to do so, and we did not know who Dalton was until he was called and sworn as a witness, nor did we know that he would testify as he had in said affidavit to the court shown at the hearing, until after the case had been affirmed by the Supreme Court. We sought to get into communication with Dalton so as to test the accuracy of his statements, but we failed to do so until the date of said affidavit.

We did not know during the trial, nor until the motion for a new trial was overruled that Ivy Jones would testify as is set out in his affidavit here to the court shown, dated Feb. 6,1914.

46

Jones was a witness for the State and testified at the trial that he saw Jim Conley at the corner of Forsyth Street between one and two o'clock and he left him at the corner of Hunter and Davis Streets a little after two o'clock. De did not know that he would testify to the contrary and that he would testify as is stated in his affidavit.

We did not know, nor did we have anymopportunity of knowing, until after the date of the trial, of Leo M. Frank and after the date of the affirmact of same by Supreme Court that Helen Ferguson would testify as is set out in her affidavit here to the court shown, dated April 9,1914. Helen Ferguson was a witness for the State during the trial but at no time in her testimony did she intimate the things set out in her affidavit, nor did there we know that she knew the things set out in said affidavit.

We did not know during the trial, nor until the motion for a new trial had been overruled, that J.E. Duffy would testify as he has testified in his affidavit hereto the court shown, dated April 18,1914. Said Duffy was a witness for the State at the original trial and was cross-questioned at length by one of us, and we did not know, nor did we have any reason to suppose that the facts existed as set-out in his affidavit to the Court shown at the hearing.

Jaffe would testify/on the date of the crime, April 26,1913, she saw Leo M. Frank at the corner of Whitehall and Alabama Streets, Jacob's corner at 1;05 o'clock P.M. We had made strenuous efforts to obtain at the names of every one who would testify to seeing Frank out of the factory from four minutes to one o'clock until half past one o'clock and until the trial had ended, nor did we have any intimation that Mrs. Jaffe did see Frank, and would testify to the same. Mrs. Jaffe, long after the crime, did state to one of us that she had seen Frank as above stated, and upon inquiry as to why she did not let it be known, said that her husband persuaded her not to furnish this information to Frank's attorneys for the reason that the feeling against Frank was so strong he was afraid that it would injure him in business.

We did not know during the trial nor until after the motion for the new trial had been overruled that Mrs. Mamie Edmunds (formerly Mamie Kitchens) would testify as she has testified in her affidavit here to the court shown, dated April 13,1914. Mamie Kitchens was a witness for the State at the original trial and was cross-questioned at length by one of the sexule penents us and we did not know, nor did we have any reason to suppose that the facts existed as set out in her affidavit to the court shown at the hearing.

(Exhibit A, attached to said affidavit is as follows)

"EXHIBIT "A""

Ground 65. (a) On August 6,1913, during the trial, the defendant's counsel, moved to rule out the testimony of the witness Conley tending to show acts of perversion and acts of immorality, on the part of the defendant, wholly disconnected with and discassociated from this crime. The Court declined to rule out said testimony and, immediately upon the statement of the court that he would let such testimony remain in evidence before the jury, there was instant, pronounced and continuous applause throughout the court room where the trial was being had, by clapping of hands and by striking of feet upon the floor.

While the jury was not then in the same room where the trial was being had, they were in a room about fifty feet from where the Judge was sitting and about twenty feet from portions of the crowd applauding, and so close that perhaps the jury could have heard the applauding.

(b) And again, during the trial, Mr. Arnold, one of the counsel for the defendant, in the presence of the jury objected to

(b) And again, during the trial, Mr. Arnold, one of the counsel for the defendant, in the presence of the jury, objected to a question asked by the Solicitor, and the following colloguy took place:

Mr. Arnold: I object to that your Honor, that is entering the orders on that book merely; that is not the question he is asking now at all.

The Court: What is the question he is asking now?

(Referring to questions asked by Solicitor-General)

Mr.Arnold: He is asking how long it took to do all
this work connected with it. (Referring to work done by Frank that day of the murder

The Court: Well, he knows what he is asking him.
(Referring to the Solicitor General).
Upon this suggestion of the Court that the Solicitor

knew what he was doing, the spectators in the court room applauded by striking their hands together and by striking their feet upon the floor, creating a demonstration. Defendant's counsel complained of the conduct of the spectators in the court room. The Court gave no relief, excepting directing the Sheriff to find out whomwas making the noise.

(c) During the examination by Mr.Arnold, counsel for the defendant, of V.H.Kriegshaber, witness for the defendant, there was laughter in the audience sufficiently generally distributed throughout the audience, and loud enough, to interfere with the examination. Mr.Arnold called the court's attention to the interraction. uption for the purpose of obtaining some action from the court there on.

The Court stat d that. if there was other disorder, no one would be permitted in the court room the following day, and requested the sheriff to maintain order.

(d) That during the trial, on Friday, August, 22n,1913, when the court had adjourned for the day, and the jury was about 300 feet away from the court house, proceeding north on Pryor Street

as Mr.Dorsey.the SolicitorGeneral, was leaving the court room a large crowd assembled in front of the court-house, and, in the hearing of the jury, cheered and shouted "Hurrah for Dorsey".

(e) That during the trial, on Saturday, August 23,1913, when Court adjourned and Mr.Dorsey emerged from the court room, a large crowd, standing on the street, applauded and cheered him, shouting "Hurrah for Dorsey". At that time the jury was between the court house and what is known as the German Cafe, and near enough to the crowd moved up in front of the cafe, at which the jury were at lunch, and, in the hearing of the jury, shouted "Hurrah for Dorsey."

(f) On the last day of the trial, Monday, August 25, 1913, a large crowd, including many women, had assembled in the court room before court had opened, taking up every seat in the court room. The jury were in their room about twenty feet from the court room and as Mr.Dorsey entered the room, the crowd applauded loudly by clapping of hands and stamping of feet, which the jury perhaps could have heard. The court did nothing but admonish the people that if the applause was repeated, he would clear the court room.

clapping of hands and stamping of feet, which the jury perhaps could have heard. The court did nothing but admonish the people that if the applause was repeated, he would clear the court room.

(g) On Monday, the last day of the trial, after the argument of counsel had been had and the charge of the court had been given, and the case was in the hands of the jury, when Solicitor Dorsey left the court room a very large crowd awaited him in front of the court house and shouted and applauded by clapping their hands and shouting "Hurrah for Borsey".

(h) When it was announced that the jury had agreed upon a verdict, the Judge of the Superior Court, his Honor, L.S.Roan, went to the court house, which was a comparatively small room on the second floor, at the junction of Hunter and Pryor Streets, and found the court room packed with spectators. Fearful of misconduct among the spectators in the court room, the Court of his own ratikiam motion, cleared the room before the jury announced their verdict. When the verdict of guilty was rendered, the fact of the rendition of such verdict was signalled to the crowd on the outside, which consisted of a large concourse and crowd of people standing upon Hunter and Pryor Streets. Immediately upon receiving such signal, and while the court was engaged in polling the jury, and before the polling engaded, great shouts arose from the people on the outside, expressing gratification. Great appluading, shouting and halloing was heard on the streets, and, so great became the noise on the streets, that the court had difficulty in hearing the responses of the jurors as he polled thom. These incidents showed as the defendant contends, that the defendant did not have a fair and impartial jury trial, and that the demonstrations of the crowd attending court was such as to inevitably affect the jury.

Ground 66. The court room wherein this trial was had way situated at the corner of Hunter and Pryor Streets. There are a number of windows on the Pryor Street side looking out upon the street and furnishing easy access to any noises that would occur upon the street. The court room itself is situated on Hunter St. upon the street. The court room itself is situated on Hunter St.
15 or 20 feet from Pryor Street. There is an open alleyway running from Pryor Street along by the side of the court house and there are windows from the court room looking onto this alley, and any noise in the alley can easily be heard in the court. room. When solicitor Dorsey left the court room on the last day of the trial. solicitor Dorsey left the court room on the last day of the trial, after the case had been submitted to the jury, a large and boisterous crowd of several hundred people was standing in the street in front of the court house and as he came out greeted him with loud and boisterous applause, taking him upon their shoulders and carrying him across the street into the Kiser Building, wherein he had his office. This crowd did not wholly disperse during the interval between the giving of the case to the jury and the time when the jury reached its verdict, but during the whole of such time a large crowd was gathered at the junction of Pryor and Hunter Streets. When it was announced that the jury had reached its verdict, his Honor, Judge L.S.Roan, went to the court room and found it crowded with spectators to such an extent as to interfere with the court's orderly procedure, and fearing misconduct and the court room, his Honor cleared it of spectators. The jury was then brought in for the purpose of delivering their verdict. When the verdict of guilty was announced, a signal was given to the crowd to that effect. The large crowd of people standing on the outside cheered and shouted and hurrahed at the outset of the pell of the

jury, and before more than one juror had been polled, to such an extent we that the court had some difficulty in proceeding with the poll of the jury, which was then in progress and not finished. Indeed so great was the noise and confusion without that the court heard the responses of the jury during the polling with some difficulty. The Court was about ten feet from the jury. In the court room, was the jury, lawyers, newspaper men and officers of the court, and among them there was no disorder. to such an ex-

GROUND 75. Public sentiment seemed to the Cort to be against him. The court room was a small room, and during the argument of the case, so far as the Court could see, abut every seat in the court room was taken, in and without the bar, and the aisles at each and of the court room were packed with spectators. The jury, in going from the jury seats to the jury room, during the session of the court, and in going to and from the court roo, morning, evening and noon, were dependent upon passage ways made for them by the officers of court. The bar of the court room itself was crowded, leaving only a small space to be occupied by counsel in their argument to the jury. The jury box, when occupied by the jury, was enclosed by the crowd sitting and standing in such close prximity thereto that the whispers of the crowd could be heard during a part of the trial. When the Court's attention was called to this, he ordered the Sheriff to move the crowd back and this was done.

e, iù

imity thereto that the whispers of the crowd could be heard during a part of the trial. When the Court's attention was called to this, he ordered the Sheriff to move the crowd back and this was done.

During the argument of the Solicitor, Mr. Arnold of counsel for the defense, made an objection to the ergument of the Solicitor and the crowd laughed at him, and Mr. Arnold appealed to the Court.

On Saturday, prior to the rendition of the verdict on Monday, the Court was considering whether or not he should go on with the trial during Saturday evening, or to what hour he should extend it in the evening, the excitement in and without the court room was so apparent as to cause apprehension in the mind of the Court as to whether he shuld safely continue the trial during Saturday afternoon; and, in making up his mind about the wisdom of t thus continuing the trial, his Honor conferred with, while on the stand, and in the presence of the jury, the Chief of Police of Atlanta, and the colonel of the Fifth Georgia Regiment stationed in Atlanta conferred with his Honor. Not only so, but the public press, apprehending trouble if the case continued Saturday, united in a request to the court that he not continue the court on Sate urday evening. The court, being this advised, felt it unwise to extend the case on Saturday evening and continued it until Monday morning. It was evident on Monday morning that public excitement had not subsided, and that it was as intense as on Saturday previous. The same excited crowds were present, and the court house was in the same crowded condition. When the Solicitor entered the court room he was met with applause by the large crowd-ladies and gentlemen present- by stamming their feet and clamping their had a sentlemen present- by stamming their feet and clamping their had sentlemen presentroom he was met with applause by the large crowd- ladies and gentlemen present- by stamping their feet and clapping their hands while the jury was in their room about twenty feet away.

While Mr.Arnold, of the defense was making a motion for a mistrial, and while taking testimony to support it before the Court, the crowd applauded when the witness testified that he did not think the jury heard the applause of the crowd on Friday of

court, the crowd applauded when the witness testified that he did not think the jury heard the applause of the crowd on Friday of the trial. The jury was not in the court room, but were in the jury room about 20 feet away.

When the jury was finally charged by the Court, and the case submitted to them, and when Mr. Dorsey left the court room, a large crowd on the outside of the court house, and in the streets cheered by yelling and clapping their hands, and yelling "Hurrah for Dorsey".

When it was approved the court house, when it was approved the court had a provided the court hands.

When it was announced that the jury had agreed upon a verdict, crowds had thronged the court room to such an extent that the court felt bound to clear the court room before receiving the verdict. This the Court did. But, when the verdigt of the jury was rendered, a large crowd had thronged the outside of the court house someone signalled to the outside what the verdict was, and the crowd on the outside raised a mighty shout of approval. So great was the shouting and applause on the outside that the court had some difficulty in hearing the response of the jurors as he called them.

The defendant was not in the court room when the verdict was rendered, his presence having been waived by his counsel. This waiver was accepted and acquiesced in by the Court, because of the fear of violence that might be done the defendant were he in court

fear of violence that might be done the defendant were he in court

when the verdict was rendered.

When Mr. Dorsey left the court room, he was met at the court house door by a multitude, was hurrahed, cheered, taken upon the shoulders of a part of the crowd and carried partly to the building opposite, wherein he had his office."

MORRIS BRANDON, Sworn for the Movant. I did not take part in the actual trial of said case, and therefore know nothing of what transpired at the trial. I did not have actual charge of the preparation of the case, therefore I can not say what occured at the court house during the trial, except from hearsay, but I have read the foregoing affidavit, and believe the same states the facts correctly. I make affidavit to all the facts stated in the foregoing affidavit, except as here modified.

LEONARD HAAS, Sworn for the Movent. I was not of counsel for Leo M. Frank during his trial in the Superior Court of Fulton County, Georgia. I was first retained as counsel during the first week in November, 1913.

attested H. FRANK sworn for On Monday, April 28th, 1913, I was taken in charge by the Police Officers of the City of Atlanta, Georgia, and taken to the Station House, where I made a statement to the Chief of Detectives and upon said statement being made I was released. I remained reteased for that day and night until early April 29th, 1913, when I was taken into custody. Continuously from that day up to and including the present time, I have been confined in the Station House in the City of Atlanta, or in Fulton County Jail, and during all of said time I have had no opportunity of doing anything for myself in the way of discovering witnesses in my behalf or making any investigation into the facts and circumstances surrounding the murder of Mary Phagen. During the whole time I have been forced to depend upon the investigation and integrity of my counsal and friends, without being able to give them any of my personal-a1d.

I did not, except from hearsay, that there had ever been any exhumation and examination of Mary Phagan's body. I had heard rumors that Dr.H.F.Harris had exammed the body and made an examination of certain parts of the body, but this was purely hearsay and I had no opportunity at any time to determine whether

such hearsay statement was or not the truth.

I did not see the hear that was claimed to be found on the lathe by one Barrett. I understood that Barrett had found certain hair upon the lathe, but I haverhed the opportunity of examination or to see it, or did to ever have any opportunity to see and know whether it was or was not similar to the hair of Mary Phagan. I did not know until after my trial and after my case had been affirmed by the Supreme Court, that Dr. Harris had in in fact taken hair from the head of Mary Phagen and examined it in connection and in comparison with the hair claimed to have been found upon the lathe by Barrett. Nor did I, until after the trial know that Dr. Harris had examined any of the organs of Mary Phagan, except upon hearsay, as stated above. I did not know until my case had been affirmed by the Supreme Court that Dr. Harris had ever made any microscopic examination, or any other examination of Mary Phagan's hair in comparison with the hair claimed to have been found upon the lathe. Iheard Dr. Harris's examination before the jury, and his cross-examination by my counsel, but I never understood from the examination of Dr. Harris, or any other source, that Dr. Harris had ever examined the hair of Mary Phagan. Nor did I then, or did I ever know until my case had been affirmed by the Supreme Court that DrHarris had told the Solicitor that the hair upon the lathe was different from the hair of Mary Phagan in color, shape and texture, and thereupon Dorsey said, "we'll let the hair matter rest." I understood, from inquiry, made during the trial, that the Solicitor General claimed that the hair found upon the lathe was lost. I remember distinctly inquiry was made as to where the hair was, and my recollection is that the statement was made that it was lost. I did not know that anyone had made a comparison of the hair claimed to have been found by Barrett on the lathe with the hair of Mary Phagan, or that the hair had been submitted to anyone as to whether or not the found hair looked like the hari of Mary Phagan. The first intimation I had that there had been any comparison of the two hair was obtained while one of the witnesses for the State was on the stand, when she swore that the hair claimed to have been found upon the lathe by Barrett looked like the hair of Mary Phagan. I did not then know, nor until my

52

case had been affirmed by the Supreme Court that any other party, or parties, had opportunity to examine the hair found by Barrett and to say wiether or not it resembled the hair of Mary Phagan.

I did not know that Miss Jimmie Mayfield, nor that Miss Cora Falta, nor that Miss Alice Marjorie McCird had ever examined or seen the hair claimed to have been found by Barrett, or that they had any knowledge as to whether the hair so found was the hair of Mary Phagan. The first intimation I ever had that white three witnesses knew anything about the hair found by Barrett or anything about whether a comparison of that hair shown that it was the hair of Mary Phagan, I learned after my motion for new trial trial had been overruled and the Supreme Court of Georgia had affirmed such overruling.

I knew nothing about the fact that Albert McKnight had repudiated his story about seeing me at the home of my father-in-law about 1;30 o'clock P.M. on April 26,1913, until after my case had been affirmed by the Supreme Court. I had never had any opportunity to take with McKnight and did not know until the trial that he would testify falsely against me; nor did I have any opportunity to see me him after the trial on account of my confinement. I had no knowledge that McKnight would testify as he did in his affidavit to the Court shown at the hearing.

and after my motion for new trial had been overruled and carried to the Supreme Court that Mrs. Ethel Harris Miller and Maier Lefkoff would testify as they had in their affidavit to the court shown at the hearing. I did not know or remember that Mrs. Miller saw and spoke to me while standing at the corner of Whitehall and Alabama Streets between the hours of 1 and 1;10 P.M. on April 26,1913. There was no pretense that I was engaged with Conley in concealing the body from four minutes to 1 o'clock to 1;30 o'clock P.M. on April 26,1913 until in the very midst of the trial Conley testified that he and I were concealing the body from four minutes to 1 o'clock until 1;30. Until the very midst of the trial, therefore the importance of this time had not occurred to me, and I had not sought to remember who I met between those times. After Conley's testimony, and after it was found to be important for me

to account for myself between these two times, I did my best to remember who I saw between those times and do as best I could I could not remember that I had spoken to Mrs.Miller at the time, nor doe I remember with certainty now her speaking to me as testified to by her in her affidavit. Mrs.Miller is a woman of veracity and character and I feel sure that she did see me and that if the matter had become important immediately after the crime I could and would have remembered the fact.

I did not remember at the trial, nor until after my motion for new trial had been overruled that I had seen either Mrs.
Miller or Maier Lefkoff at the time and place indicated.

I knew nothing about what Dewey Hewell would testify at the trial. I had never in my life had any conversation with Miss Hewell. I was incarcerated and could not communicate with her and could not know what she would testify at the trial. After the trial, Dewey Hewell was carried back to Ohio, and has been there since said date, and I did not know and could not know what Miss Hewell would testify at the trial; and I did not know and could not have /mown from that date until after my motion for new trial was made, and until after her affidavit dated February 25, 1914, that Miss Hewell did know and would testify to the facts as set out in said affidavit.

I did not know, nor could I have known until after the dates of the affidavits made by Miss Ruth Robertson and Miss Marie Karst dated April 4,1914, that Miss Robertson and Miss Karst would testify as set out in their affidavits to the court shown at the hearing. I had no opportunity to confer with Miss Robertson and Miss Karst before the trial, nor during the trial, nor did I have any opportunity to confer with them or either of them, after the trial. The first intimation that they would testify as they did in said affidavits was after the date of said affidavits.

I did not know, nor did I haveany reason to know, until my case was affirmed by the Supreme Court that Mary Rich knew and would testify that on April 261913 at about 2; 15 o'clock P.M. she saw Jim Conley come out of the alley immediately in the rear of the National Pencil Factory, that said Jim Conley bought a twenty cent din or from Mry Rich, who runs a restaurant on wheels and

54

after purchasing said dinner he turned, carrying the dinner in his hand, toward the Fencil Factory and that said Mary Rich saw no more of Jim Conley during that day.

I did not know C. Burtus Dalton, and had never seen him until he was placed on the stand. I had no idea that said Dalton would or could be a witness against me, I knew I had never seen Dalton and had never had anything directly or indirectly to do with him. I have not seen said Dalton since he testified, nor have I had any opportunity to see him. I am advised and believe that said Dalton left the State of Georgia after testifying and is now at some place in Florida: I did not know or suspect that said Dalton would testify to the facts and things set out in his affidavit, dated March 3, 1914, until after the date of said affidavit.

I did not know that upon the yellow carbon order blank wh whereon appeared one of the notes written by Conley, the name of H.F.Becker could be seen by a microscope. I could not see the name: by the use of my eyes and I had no microscope, nor did I know that the date of "Sept. 9,1909" was discernible under a microscope; nor did I know that said yellow copy order blank was the duplicate carbon order blank of a requisition on the Cotton States Belting & Supply Co. of September, 9,1909 made by said H.F. Becker. I did know that this yellow carbon order blank was not one used by him me, but that it was an old blank used by Becker during his time, and made such statement to the jury, but kki I did not know I could confirm these statement to the jury by the facts above outlined. The existence of said facts did not come to my knowledge, I not having the use of a microscope as aforesaid and not knowing that a microscope was necessary until after my motion for new trial had been overruled and my case carried to the Supreme Court.

I did not know the negro Ivy Jones, and did not know what he would testify to when he was introduced by the State. I had no reason to suppose that Ivey Jones would change his statement and did not know that he had changed his statement, and that he would testify as is set out in his affidavit, dated February 6, 1914, to the court shown at the hearing, until after the date of said affidavit.

I knew Helen Ferguson and knew what she testified for the

State upon the trial, but I did know at the time of the trial or until after the date of Helen Ferguson's affidavit, dated April 9, 1914 to the Court shown at the hearing, that she would testify to the things in said affidavit set out. I did not know until then that Conley had had the conversation with Helen Ferguson set out in her said affidavit.

I had no opportunity myself to know what J. E. Duffy would testify to on the trial nor did have any opportunity to discover whether or not Duffy would add to or vary the testimony rendered on the trial. I did not know or have any opportunity of knowing that the said Duffy would testify to the facts as set out in his affidavit dated April 18,1914, to the Court shown at the hearing.

I did not know on the trial and until after the motion for new trial was overruled that Mrs.M.Jaffe saw me on April 26, 1913 at the corner of Whitehall and Alabama Streets at Jacobs' corner at 1:05 o'clock P.M. There was a considerable crowd upon the streets that day and I have no recollection of seeing Mrs.Jaffe, indeed the importance of remembering whom I saw while I was at the corner of Whitehall and Alabama Streets at the time and the day stated did not originate so far as I know until during the trial when Conley was testifed that he was with me concealing Mary Phagams body between four minutes to o'clock and 1:30 P.M. on that day.

I know Mrs.Mamie Edmunds, formerly Mamie Kitchens, was a witness for the State upon the trial, but I did not know at the time of the trial or until after the date of the affidavit of Mrs. Mamie Edmunds which said affidavit is dated April 13,1914, to the Court shown at the hearing, that she would testify to the things in said affidavit set forth.

IST AMENDMENT TO MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.

J. W. BOOZER, Sworn for the Movent. Inenril, 1913, and for several months prior thereto I was employed as a collector for Patrick & Thompson, Jewelers, on South Broad Street, this city. While collecting for Patrick & Thompson, one of my accounts was an account against Jim Conley, the negro who is now in jail, as being connected with the Mary Phagan murder.

56

For several weeks prior to April, 1913, Mr. Leo M. Frank paid me a dollar a week out of Jim Conley's pay on account for a watch purchased by Conley from Patrick & Thompson. I collected a dollar in this manner on March 8,1913, March 15,1913, March 22,1913, March 29,1913, April 5,1913, and April 19,1913. On April 26,1913, I was unable to get to the Pencil Factory by one thirty o'clock in the afternoon, it being customary for, me to go to the Pencil Factory by that time each Saturday to get the dollar and I did not call at the factory that day. On the afternoon of April 26,1913, after four o'clock in the afternoon, as near as I can recollect, about four fifteen (4; 15) o'clock and certainly somewhere between four o'clock and four thirty (4:30) o'clock, on Saturday afternoon, April 26,1913, I came upon and met up with Jim Conley on Peters Street, near Castleberry Street. I know Jim Conley well and that Jim Conley was, on the afternoon of April 26,1913, between four and four thirty o'clock on said Peters Street, and when I came upon him, the said Jim Conley was standing leaning against a pole, and then and there I and the said Jim Conley spoke to each other and had a brief conversation. I asked Jim Conley for his weekly payment of a dollar on his watch, and then Jim Conley told me that I could get the money that Mr. Frank had for me, and Jim Conley asked me whether or not I had been by the factory for the dollar. When I told Conley that I had not, Conley merely said that he could get the money from Mr Frank. I did not tell these facts to anyone at the time, nor immediately after the news of the Mary Phagan death, but during the month of July, to the best of my recollection I did tell these facts to Solicitor Dorsey, but I did not tell any of the lawyers of Leo M. Frank, nor so far as I know, were these facts ever communicated to them before the date of this affidavit. My associates are G.W.Patrick, E.B.Durham and J.H.Hilley.

G.W. PATRICK, E.B. DURHAM, J.H. HILLEY, Sworn for the Movant.

We are acquainted with J.W. Boozer, and know that he has lived in Atlanta and been in and about Atlanta for the past twelve months and longer. He is a man of good character and credibility and we would bellave him upon oath.

HAAS, LEONARD HAAS, Sworm for the Movant. We did not, at the date of the trial, nor until after the Supreme Court had affirmed the case of Leo M. Frank have any knowledge of the fact that J.W. Boozer knew and would testify that Jim Conley was on Peters Street in the City of Atlanta, on the 26th day of April, 1913, between the hours of four and four thirty o'clock. We (except Morris Brandon, who did not have active control of the case, and whose firm was represented by L.Z.Rosser) made active search to trace Conley during each hour of the day of April 26, 1913, and did not, until the date of the affidavit of said Boozer find anyone who would testify to seeing Conley at that date. Neither of us had any knowledge until the date of the affidavit of said Boozer, to-wit, April 17, 1914, that the said Boozer knew and would testify to the facts set up in the affidavit of said date.

LEO M. FRANK. Sworn for the Movant. I did not, at the time of the trial, nor until after the Supreme Court had affirmed my case, have any knowledge of the fact that J.W.Boozer knew and would testify that Jim Conley was on Peters Street, in the City of Atlanta, on the 26th day of April, 1913, between the hours of four and four thirty o'clock. I made active search to trace the movements of said Jim Conley during each hour of the day of April 26, 1913, and did not until the affidavit of said Boozer find anyone who would testify to seeing Conley at that date. Neither did I have any knowledge that the said Boozer knew and would testify to the facts set up in his affidavit made on the 17th day of April, 1914. Attacked by Cler Burkle as Markany

2ND AMENDMENT TO MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.

MRS. MAUD BAILEY, Sworn for the Movant. On Saturday, April 26,1913, I was living at 255 Humphries Street. At eleven o'clock A.M., or a very few moments after that time I boarded a Stewart Avenue car and left the car at Foreyth and Mitchell Streets. My mother, Mrs. May Barrett was with me, and after leaving the car we both walked together to the store of Alverson Brothers, located on Forsyth Street and near Mitchell Street, which time I think was about eleven thirty o'clock A.M. when we reached Alverson's store, and my

mother lear me at the store to go to the pencil factory, promising to come right back; and after waiting at the store for about ten minutes. I dedided to walk toward the pencil factory to meet my mother; and, upon arriving at the factory, inasmuch as I did not meet my mother, I entered the factory and went to the second floor near the time clocks, one of which registeded fifteen minutes until twelve o'clock, noon, and the other one showed thirteen minutes after twelve o'clock. When I reached a point opposite the time clocks, there was present Leo M.Frank, a lady stenographer, or at least a lady was sitting at the typewriter, Corinthia Hall, Emma Clarke Freeman, Arthur White and Mrs. Arthur White. Emma Clarke Freeman asked Mr. Frank if she could use the 'phone, whereupon Mr. Frank told her that she could use the 'phone, and after a short talk on the 'phone, Mrs. Freeman and Miss Hall left the factory, and

I did not see them any more that day. Just after Mrs. Freeman and Miss Hall left the factory, and while Arthur White and his wife were standing at the foot of the steps leading up to the third floor from the second floor, where they were in conversation, my mother came down the steps referred to, and when she saw me standing near the time clocks, my mother said "I thought I left you at Alverson's store, and I replied that I was tired of waiting and told my mother to hurry and go out with me; and my mother told me that she had to go back to the fourth floor to get a package, and would be back as quickly as possible. I and my mother talked for several minutes and when I and my mother finished talking, my mother went up the stairs, Arthur White also went up the same stairway, and Mrs. White left the factory. When A was again left alone, I noticed that the lady that I had supposed was the stenographer was gone, and I did not see her any more, and I think she must have left the factory while I was talking with my mother. At about ten or twelve minutes after twelve o'clock, noon, I saw a young girl come up the stairs and walk into Mr Frank's office, and I paid very little attention to the girl's face, and after remaining in Mr. Frank's office some three or four minutes, the girl went out of Mr. Frank's office and passed on down the stairway that lead to the first floor. The girl had on an attractive dress which I think was between a pink and lavender color and that the dress was short and the girl was evidently young and she was heavily built. The

passed right on down the stairway that lead to the first floor and I did not see the girl again. Just as the girl left the office floor, I saw Mr. Frank in the outer room of his office and saw him disappear into his private office, where I could not and did not see him again. In about five minutes after the girl referred to left the factory, my mother came down the stairs and she and I at once left the factory. When I reached the bottom of the stairs, Lemmie Quinn was going up the stairs very fast, and I said "howdy" to Mr. Quinn and Mr. Quinn nodded but did not speak. I and my mother then went to Alverson's store to use their 'phone and to call Mr. W.B.Newcomb, who works at the Swift Soap Works, which was then between twenty five and thirty minutes after twelve o'clock, noon, when I reached the store. The reason for knowing that it was about that time being because the Swift Soap Works do not permit their employees to use the phone after twelve thirty o'clock and I know that I was just in time because I had only a moment or two to talk to Mr. Newcomb. When I entered the Pencil Factory that day, Jim Conley was sitting on a box between the stairway and the elevator, on the first floor. I would not have noticed Conley, but for the fact that he made a noise with his foot against the box upon which he was sitting, which attracted my attention and caused me to look up-and see him. I have made an affidavit to Mr. Hugh Dorsey and if Mr.Dorsey had treated me properly and had not abused me and cut mme off my story and interrupted me continuously, I would have told him exactly the same state of facts that I have outlined and described in this affidatit. I wanted to tell Mr. Dorsey all I knew that might throw light on the investigation that he was conducting

were not the facts, on account of which I got mad with Mr.Dorsey and his methods. It was very evident that Mr.Dorsey became angry with me, the result being that he took only a short affidavit from me and Mr.Dorsey had me so confused at the time that I cannot at this time recall just what Mr.Dorsey put in the affidavit which he took from me, and I left his office and have not seen him since.

MRS. MAY BERRETT, Sworn for the Movant. I have read the affidavit of my daughter, Mrs. Maud Bailey, sworn to and subscribed before J.O. Knight, a Notary Public, for Fulton County.

Georgia, on April 22,1914, and in each part of Mrs. Bailey's affidavit wherein reference is made to me, same is the truth and in every way correct.

L.Z.ROSSER, MORRIS BRANDON, REUBEN R.ARNOLD, HERBERT J.HAAS, LEONARD

HAAS, Sworp for the Movant. We are the counsel and the only counsel of Leo M.Frank in the case above stated. All of us were counsel of Leo M.Frank at his trial, at the July Term, 1913, of Fulton

Superior Court, except Leonard Haas, said Leonard Haas heing having become connected with the case after the motion for new trial was filed. We had no knowledge at the time of the trial or at the time it was overruled, of the testimony of Mrs. Maude Bailey as set forth in her affidavit in this case, or of the testimony of Mrs. May Barrett as set forth in her affidavit in this case. Each of us exercised diligence in ascertaining all the facts in connection with Leo Frank's defense, and at no time was any suggestion made to the effect that MrsMaude Bailey or Mrs. May Barrett would swear as set forth in said affidavits. Said testimony is important and material and is newly discovered.

大大の かんしかいかい

LEO M. FRANK, Sworm for the Movant. Neither at my original trial, nor at the time of making my original motion for new trial, nor at the time the same was overruled, did I have any knowledge of the facts testified to by Mrs. Maude Bailey or Mrs. May Barrett, as set forth in their affidevits made in this case. From Tuesday, April 29,1913, I have been in process prison and have been unable to rely upon others to go know out and investigate the evidence of my case, and have been compelled to rely upon others to do the work for me. I'exercised all possible diligence under the circumstances, to ascertain all facts which throw any light upon the truth of the charge against me, but had no knowledge of the facts testified to in these affidavits.

(3RD AMENDMENT TO MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL STRICKEN ON MOVANT'S MOTION.)

4TH AMENDMENT TO MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.

ANNIE MAUDE CARTER, Sworn for the Movant. I was looked up in the Fulton County Jail about October 7,1913. I first met Jim Conley in the Court House in November, 1913, at the time I was sentenced to jail. After I was sentenced I was well acquainted with Conley and

knew him well for four months straight in jail. I talked daily with him about all his affairs and I asked him if he was guilty or not, and he first told me no, that he was innocent; that God above alone knows who did the murder, and I said if you were not guilty, why should you worry so, and he told me he was so near guolty, he felt lost; that he had lost all hope. During December, 1913, we were very good friends in jail, he had all danfidence in me, he would tell me his secrets and of course I would isten. He again told me he didn't know anything about Mary Phagan's murder and then I told him if that was so, he ought to prove up his character, so during Christmas week I was talking with him in his cell and he said he would tell me the whole truth about it. I asked him why he waited so long. He said: "If I tell you will you marry me" and I told him yes. He then told me that he really did the murder of Mary Phagan, but that it was so plainly shown on Mr Frank that he let it go that way; that him and Mr. Frank both had connection with the girl, but then he immediately confessed that he lied, when he said that Mr . Frank had connection within the girl; and said that he had done it all alone by himself. He begged me never to say anything about this. He said he first choked her and after she was unconscious he had connection with her, and she being young and me ver having had anybody, he had to tear her privates. He said he was sitting on a box in the factory when the girl came down, that he told her someone had called her, that she turned back and he then struck her with his fist, knocking her down and dragged her back where they put rubbers on pencils; that finding Mr. Frank absent, he dropped her through the hole; that he then took her around by the furnace starting to put her in the furnace but his conscience would n't lethim; that he put her down there to make people believe Newt Lee did it; that afterwards he found a piece of blank paper, tears it in two, picks up a pencil, and puts the paper on the cellar door and writes the notes; that he first took the notes and put them in her bosom, then he took them out and laid them by her side. That he then took a thing they use to open boxes with and pulled the staple out of the back door, and went out the door, going over on Broad Street to get a glass of beer; that he went back to the factory to make people believe that he was innocent, but that the truth must come to light; that he wanted to save Mr. Frank by saying he helped move the body but that

he knew that he would not work; that afterwards he went and got drunk, went home and started to Leave town, but that he knew that that wouldn't do, so he stayed hare to show that he wasn't guilty. He begged me not to say anything about this, that he wanted to serve his twelve months so that he would be free; that if he couldn't get me he would go north and marry some white woman around Cincinnati/He also told me that he kept the money but gave the purse to a negro child. While I was in his company, he asked me to be with himand I told him No, thats what got him in jail there. asked me that twice in my presence. He asked me that several times in letters he wrote me but I simply sent the letters back to him not caring to be in his company any more. He wrote me that he had a big hard thing waiting for me and that I had a big, fat ass, and he wanted to get it down to natural size. I have not got the letters, I give them back to him. I have not told this before, because I only get out of jail March 9, 1914. Detectives Lanford, Thewning and Sturdevant took a statement from me today. I did not tell them all that I am telling here because I knew that they were trying to get things to favor Conley and I knew that he was guilty, and that what I knew wouldn't help him but would break his neck. Chief Lanford also asked me if Conley used his mouth on me and I did not say anything. As to how I come to make this statement when I was down to the statem house today and the detective asked me all those questions I knew what they were trying to do, they were trying to help Conleymso I went right from the station house to Mr. Jake-Jacobs on Decatur Street and told him everything that had happened and he then told me I ought to make a statement about it and that is how I come to make this statement.

JULIA CARTER, Sworn for the Movent. I am the mother of Annie Maude Carter. Annie Maude Carter is 21 years of age and lived with me up to one year ago. Annie Maude Carter is of good character and attended private school at Atlenta up to the time she was 16 years of age. She has been working as a lamndress and house cleaner, and I would believe her on oath in a court of law. I now work as a nurse at Dr. Cromer's at No. 240 Feachtree Place and I am 45 years of age. I worked at Mr. Walter Ballard's family for 27 years up to 10 years ago. I was with Annie at the jail the day Conley was sentenced and Annie said when someone came back and told of Conley's

getting 12 months sentence, that Jim was doing a lot of talking and that if he didn't stop talking so much, he was going to talk his neck on the gallows. This morning somebody come after me to go to Mr.Dorsey's office. I went there and he told me that I must remember that Annie's case hadn't been settled yet and he said that the best thing I could do for Annie and myself was to bring Annie down there to him. I told him I didn't know where she was and all I could do would be to ask the layers in the 4th National Bank Building where she was and I said I thought I would go over and ask them where she was, and he said there wasn't no need in the world to ask them. On last Thursday I met Annie on Decatur Street and she said she just came from the station house and said they wanted her to tell about some of Conley's letters and she said that she didn't tell them enything. She said she was going up to the Fourth National Bank Building on some business. She left me at the corner of Peachtree and Decatur Streets. Nobody was with her when I met her and nobody was with her when she left me. I signed a paper in Mr.Dorsey's office. I can't read and I can't write, but they read the paper ower to me and I put my mark to it. The paper said that I hand't seen Annie since Thursday when I met her on Decatur, and also asked me if Annie had some things in a pawn-shop on Decatur Street, and I said yes, but I didn't know what it was. J. JACOBS, Sworn for the Movant. I am acquainted with Annie Maude

J. JACOBS, Sworn for the Movant. I am acquainted with Annie Maude Carter. She is a woman of good character and credibility and I would believe her on oath.

in Exhibit A here to attached were unknown to me at the time of my trial before the jury in Fulton County, Georgia, and were unknown to me until the date of Exhibit A. I did not know the facts and cirp cums tances set out in Exhibit A until the date of said Exhibit A and could not possibly have known the same by the exercise of any

manner of diligence.

L. Z. ROSSER, R. R. ARNOLD, LEONARD HAAS, HERBERT J.HAAS, MORRIS

BRANDON, Sworn for the Movant. We did not, at the date of the

trial, nor until after the Supreme Court had affirmed the case of

Leo M. Frank, have any knowledge of the facts and circumstances set

out in Exhibit A, hereto attached. We, (except Morris Brandon, who

did not have active control of the case, and whose firm was represented by L.Z. Rosser) made diligent search to find out all about the

connection of James Conley with the murder of Mary Phagan, and neither of us had any knowledge of any of the facts and circumstances set out in Exhibit A, here to attached, at the date of the trial of Leo M. Frank, nor until the date of Exhibit A, here to attached. We know nothing of the facts set out in Exhibit A, here to attached nor could we possibly have known the same by the exercise of any manner of diligence. (Exhibit A spirit because of any contrapplant)

5TH AMENDMENT TO MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.

(GROUND 1-a) MRS. GEORGIA DENHAM, Sworn for the Movant. employed at the plant of the National Pencil Company on Forsyth Street, Atlanta, Georgia, during thextrial April and May, 1913, and on a certain day which I believe was Thursday, May 1,st1913, which was the day upon which James Conley was arrested in connection with the murder of Mary Phagan, I saw Conley in the metal room of said pencil company washing a shirt. I saw said shirt plainly and on same there was a large sport which looked to me like blood, the same about the size of a person's hand. I asked Conley what it was and Conley said that it was blood, that his nose had bled when he had bumped his head. And the said Conley attempted to demonstrate to me how the blood from his nose had gotten around on top of his shoulder. I related the above facts to the detectives who were then working on the case and my affidavit was taken by them. Some of my associates are Miss Mary Pirk, Jimmie Mayfield and Annie Howell.

MARY PIRK, JIMMIE MAYFIELD, ANNIE HOWELL, Sworn for the Movant. We are acquainted with Mrs. Georgia Denham and know her character, Her character for truth and veracity is good and we would believe her on oath in a court of law.

(GROUND 1-b) CORA L. LAFFEW, Sworn for the Movent. I was present in the metal room-at the National Pencil Company's plant on Monday, April 28th,1913, when some strands of hair were found upon a certain lathe, and which were sought to be identified as the hair of Mary Phagan, deceased. I was well acquainted with the deceased Mary Phagan, and with the color of her hair, and the hair above mentioned was not the hair of Mary Phagan. It was entirely too light in color to have been from the head of the deceased. Amongst

those present t the time were Mrs. Georgia Denham, R.P. Barrett, Cora Flata, Marjorie McCord, Miss Jimmie Mayfield.

GROUND 1-c. GEORSIA DENHAM. Sworm for the Movant. I was present in the metal room at the National Pencil Company's plant on Monday April 28th,1913, when some strands of hair were found upon a certain lathe, and which were sought to be identified as the hair of Mary Phagan, deceased. I was well acquainted with the deceased Mary Phagan, and with the color of her hair, and the hair above mentioned wass not the hair of Mary Phagan. It was entirely too light in color to have been from the head of the deceased Mary Phagan. Mary Phagan's hair was of an auburn hue, while that at the time found on the lathe was more blonde. Among those present/were Mrs. Cora Lavender, R.P.Barrett, Cora Falta, Marjorie McCord, Miss Jimmie Mayfield. Some of my associates are Miss Mary Pirk, Miss Jimmie Mayfield, and Annie Howell.

GROUND 1-d) ANNIE MAUDE CARTER, Sworn for the Movant. I was put in jail in Atlanta, about six months, beginning October 7,1913, until March 9,1914. I was bound over to the Tower on the 7th day of October, 1913, and given fifteen years on the 15th of October, 1913. Then I got a new trial on the 7th day of March, and I made bond on the 9th day of March, and I had a trial on next Monday, March 16th. The court costs were paid, and that settled it. It was \$42.05. I paid the nolle prosse fees amounting to \$42.05. During the time I was in jail, there was a man/named James Conley. I met him in the court house at the time of my first trial. I saw him often in the jail. His cell was the first north and mine was the third West. I worked for the Sheriff on the run-around. I did the laundry. I saw James Conley every day. I got very friendly with him. I got friendly enough with him for him to ask me to marry him. I received letters from him. I got letters from him for this reason: I went to his cell and was talking to him from the corridor and the sheriff's cook told them that I was there talking to him, and the sheriff had given orders not to let anybody in there talking to him except his attorneys, so they gave orders for me to be locked u p for talking to him, so that is how I came to he getting letters. I was locked up. I got the letters from him during the week that I was looked up. I couldn't count the number of letters I got. At one o'clock, I could go downstairs while they were moving the slop-

and talk to him, while the men were out, and when I couldn't go -

down, I would write him notes, and he would write me; but he went beyoud himself in writing to me and I brought them back to him and asked him for my letters. I would lay them down and go downstairs for something and I would come back and they would be gone, and in two or three days, I would find them lying around in a peculiar place. I don't know whether any of these letters were dated. I didn't pay that much attention to them. He would write six or seven pages in one letter, and as high as ten pages. These twenty seven sheets written in lead pencil are some of the letters received by me and were taken out of my cell. They were written by James Conley and are in his handwriting. Two of these letters he hended me himself . All of the letters are in his handwriting. I received these letters . when I was in the jail in Atlanta at the same time that Conley was there. I wrote these letters consisting of twenty two separate pages to Conley. These twenty two separate pages are in my handwriting. They are letters that I wrote to Conley while in the Fulton County Jail in Atlanta. Some of them are dated. One of them, I believe is dated January, 16th. Some of them are undated. I got my letters to Conley by giving them to different men, who were serving sentences in jail. They were shorteterm prisoners. I didn't deliver any of the letters to Conley through any of the deputy sheriffs, only through the prisoners. I would receive two or three letters a day from Conley, and I would write him every two or three days. I received other letters from Conley about as many as you have shown me. I don't know what became of them. I am marking in red ink the letters which Conley wrote to me, and in red ink the letters which I wrote to Conley. This is the absolute truth. Nobody has promised me anything to make this statement. Nobody has threatened me. I have lived in Atlanta twenty one years. My associates are Mary Lou Wright, Vaola Bare and Annie Grogen.

(Attached to the affidavit of Annie Maude Carter were the following letters:

Letter 1.

My dear little girl;

I got letter and feel alright now and is not made with you at all now, and I believe what you say about old Jim since I read your mother's letter, and I still love you and will always love you, but I must not have a wife that will tell people to kies her ass.

will always love you, but I must not have a wife that will tell people to kiss her ass.

Well, I will forgive you all about that now, and let us see how much we can love each other, Baby Doll, I love you more than your Nother do I believe, and I wish I that I was there to tell you how much that I love you, don't you Honey? Baby, you ought not never said anything to me about your hipped, why my dick went clean across my cell, and I read it all night, your letter, I could not sleep. Honey, you was right when you said that you had up there what I wants. You know then that I would not be mad with you, when you said you could make me call you mama, well Baby, if you do, Papa will give you what it takes to bring the bacon home, and I like to hear you said that because I always believed you could do it, and believe you could make me love it, and if you do, I will try to give you anything in the world, if I have to go and take something, cause you have got to have it Honey. That made me love you that much more, you said you would hold from the bottom, why Baby I know you can do that. I just know that and every time read that my long dick get on a hard, why I would like to hold it in one of your hipped this morning, and let you take everything that I have got there with me, because I love you so much and if I could put my sweet long dick in your hipped, I think I could make Mama call me Papa, and let you Mama. Baby, I will marry you, but I dont no about in there. I love you wenough to, but you know they will talk about it. Well that all right Honey, don't worry, I will do now, when we get out and that not long, two weeks now, you be a godd little girl for I am going to call you my wife and give you whatever you wante, that is if you dont spent what I have got too fast off. I will do all I can in this world for you because I love you and know that I can take care of you because I have many friends to not take care of you, and all of them are white friends. Now little girl you cught to see how long my dick has got since I but Frink is hurrying me up, so by by, from

これは はいころと

(Signed) Jamez.

Letter 2.

Now Baby Doll Papa got your letter and was very glad to hear from you, and will be glad to get your picture. Now Baby, you know we dont want to get mad any more, so you tell me now what is that, that somebody has told you a bought me. Let me know, it will be alright.

Dont hold it back because I love you so tell me know what it is. I wish I was up there when you was dressing so I could feel your ass. Baby I will give you the last 14 dollars that I have got right now if you will come down there and let me see it. Just let me look at it, and I know I will come all over myself. I have got the money right there waiting for you, if you dont believe it, come on down there and see, and if that aint enough, I am going to get some more in the morning and that if that aint enough, why just wait til I get out and papa go and get what you want for your big fat ass.

Well Baby Doll you aint got to wait much longer that is if you dont get out on no bond. I know if you get out on bond, somebody will get it before I do and they will make you call them papa before you can make me call you mama. I want you to keep your ass right there because it is good and you told me this last night in your letter, that two hours fucking on your big fat ass would stop all of this argument. Well that right but you know that Papa cannot lay on your ass that long before you would be done made me come, if there ever was a man that want to lay on your ass that me, and make me love it and I will show you better than I can tell you what I do for you.

Now Baby if you dont get out on no bond or if you do get out on a bond you have that right hip for me cause if you hold your fat ass on the bottom and make papa go like a kitty cat then you have won a good man, that's me. I will try to give you this world, but if you let papa putchis long ugly dick up in your fat ass and play on your right and left hip, just like a monkey playing on a trapeze, then Honey Papa will be done played hell with you. Then you will mall me Papa all the time then.

Well Baby Mr. Gilliland was not there to let me know what we was talking about but I am going to do so, so dont worry new Baby. Do you really mean that you are going to get out on bond? I see that your Mother said that somebody was going to give something on your bond. Tell her that you dont dont wanto get out on bonds, because we are going to do what I say, cause I want to stick my long dick in your ass.

Well Honey this is alright now be a good girl and save your fat ass for me and will take care of it! just as sure as I am (Blank). Give your heart to God and your ass to me for you mind. Well Baby, I just don't know what to think abought your case.

Well go on and get out on bond, then pay your lawyer a little to keep it out of court, but if you dont get outon bond I dont think that they can do anything with you for you have got a good lawyer. II think you will uget name trial, so dont worry. If you dont get out on bond and dont get no new trial then go to a high court and then get a bond.

Baby, your case is not so much, you know that because the bond that they put you under is not anything. That negro man has got out on bond. You don't know if they did turn him a lose then the detectives must be trying to work it off on you. They may not don't like you.

Well I dont care if you did do it, or if you did not, I love you just the same, and if I was out you would get out too. For I would spend everything that I have got to help you and to help your Mother to get you out.

9

So you ask your lawyer do he think it would be best to get out on bond.

Well Dear dont worry about a thing for Papa love you and my step mother love you, so tell my step mother I bay hello, so this is all, go to sleep now, Baby Doll, Sweet Dear, bye bye.

(Signed) James Conley.

P. S. On back of page 2.

Niss Annie Carter Conley, got a fat ass and a weet pee hole I do believe and they will be mine soon (blank) I will just want that ass, Honey.

P. S. On back of page 4.

James Conley.

Answer right away I write it tonight.

Letter 3.

Well behy doll pape has got your letter and was glad to hear from you, - to know that you are feeling fine. Well honey you know if we do wait, why we can love each other just the same and when we get out why all that I have got to do then is to go and get what I have got put up and give it to you. Darling I know you told me to judge well. That alright Pape will do anything that you tell him but baby pape is got to let you be the boss always. You know that you want to, and I will let you have everything that I have got. So now dont worry honey. The time is not long. I am going to ask Mr. Gilliland again. He asked me did I have any money to pay the Paster and to get the thing with. I told him yes, and told him if they would let one of my friends come to see me I could send him to get some money for me. He said I better let that stay there until I get out. Well honey I think that would be best, but if you think it would be the best wait'til we get all right. So you be a good girl until pape can see what Mr. Gilliland will say in the morning. He say that Mr. Roberts may not be there Monday..

He say he will get them things for us if we know any paster that we could get and get him in there before anybody see him.

Well, babay, I dont know, I will let you be the boss all the way through, and I will do whatever you say. So By By, from James, dont worry, and dont hurry, just take your time and right.

Letter 4.

Honey, I did not ask Dr. Ren to let you come up there because Mr. Billy Land is not there, but just save it for me because papa love you and is going to help you if you dont get out on bond or dont get no new trial. Now, you be a good little girl until I can get up there, or until I can get some money. I have got the money alright but how is I going to get it.

Just to show you honey that I love you if I could get it I would do all in this world for you. So dont worry now. Time is not so long now before that I can show you better that baby. I dure wish that Mr. Gilliland was there so I could come up there with you and lay in your arms.

Honey- dont you think that you will go to no prison, because you went. I know that because I love you so much and know what I can do for you for I have it to do for you. Nowif I could get it that why I say dont worry you wont go nowhere that is if you be a good girl- I will help you. If you dont be a good girl then I wont. I have got a negro watching you. Now do like I tell you be good and dont worry.

Save it til I can come up there

てきている こんまな いんないないしてもなっていることにあること

Letter 5. (1st page)

Atlanta, Ga., Jan. 26, 1914.

Atlanta, Ga., Jan. 26, 1914.

My Dear little girl
I got your letter and I did not that you--- for you was playing -- your lime be-- to fall in hard--. Aint that so honey I don't think that you meant for me to come up If you do I will try to come up there tomorrow So don't worry I love you just the same All that I want is a woman that can work her ass and I believe you can. So don't worry about that ether No woman- and I don't want her I want you that is if you will be a godd little girl. "Over" on next page."

Honey I will tell you what my lawyer say I have not got. I want you

2nd Page.

just to fill out your line --- You have and your fat ass just as far up in ass I can get nuts and all Now baby I am not mad with you, so dont worry Do like I do Dont worry Just a good fucking will make you feel all playing cards so you forget it You was not thinking that much abought me Well that is all right I thought of you so I have not got anything to say about that woman for I just knows her and that is all ___.

3rd Page.

forget to and _I say but that is all right I love you just the same and love nobody but you _I have not told you how much I live you yet honey _It would brake my heart to tell you because you would mistrest me _When _I love you so much sweet dear darling honey baby Papa want to fuck you so bad and give you a good facking to your _____

You say that honey I am half drunk but that all right.I love you and is Not said a thing Nobody for hon ey I think you just made that up I tell you you a baby. I could write more but you have hurt me to my heart to

Over (3) James.

because honey I love you so much there to get so got to I love me. now good wish you there to get drinking. Dont worry honey. Dick and a so sleep now . Let me hear you say that you ing any more. You good pussy little girl you. I am worry-

Letter 6.

Honey, reads til you come all over yourself. Well dear how are you feeling tonight. I hope you are not worrying at all, so go to sleep and let your good fat ass rest til I can get on it and that wont be long, baby, because we will get out all right and we will marry and live happy. Now tell yous mother to look for a good house. A first class one I am not lying honey. What take to pay for that house I have got it.

What it take to make you happy and give you everthing you want and make you keep your fat ass at that four room_house dam if I aint got it til and what I am talking about nobody aint going to spend it but Miss good fat ass.

Good pussy Annie Maude all I knew that your ass is fat you need not say that it aint and I knew your pussie is good and fat and warm and hairy. How do I knew because my dick stay on a hard all the time.

When you pass this door my dick say here she go and do you know little firl that I love you to my heart. I love you more than any man that you ever went with and how I man love you se much is because I believe that you will make a good wife for me, and not to tell you no lie I will be good to you and will give you anything that you want to make you be good to me.I am not talking just to hear myself talk. I am talking this because I know that I can do and what I have got to do with because you know if a man get you he is got to do if he want you to treat him right, and I

Letter 7%

Now I tell you Miss Annie you dont have to write and ask me do I like that not a dam tall. I told just what I heard so you must know that whoever told me that was tell the truth. I guess I dont know and dont give a dam and if you dont want to write down there to me I dont care. But I will say this: I love you all right and have loved every sinea we was at court together.

I am surprised at you Annie to hear you say for somebody to kiss your ass.

So far as you say that your mother told you not to eat or drink anything from Jim Well that all right I believe you are telling the truth about you dont care anything about him and I know that he is not your husband and not me but I would like to be if we were not in there for I love you and has always told you so and was just thinking me and Mr. Gilliland how to do. Of course I know that you never did love me and know that you are not crazy about marrying me for I was the one crazy about marrying you. You should know that I would like for you to have been my wife because I would have been pleased with you and knows that you have had a good time in your life and will change now and be a good girl and you and I could get along fine and be happy. But I did not know that you tell people to kiss your ass and I know that you are not no fool miss and I am not no fool. And I dont listen to everything that the boys tell me for I just told you just what I heard and did not tell you that it was the truth and I know that you dont have to tell me no lis forzym you are your own woman and you cannot mess me up for I love you are your own woman and you cannot mess me up for I love you too much and would do anything in this world for you. I love you and love you to my hear and if you dont love me I dont give a dam and you dont have to tell me to stop writing up there. Of course if you want me to then I wont. But I will love you just the same. I have been writing some good letters up there too. To let you know how much I love you and I mean it well. I guess I will try to forget you. I think that much more of you. Well that alright if you did not want me you did not have to get on your head. I wish you had wro te you smart letter a little sconer before lyr Gilling around there about me.

Why—— nobody can't tell you anything about me for nobest

Why --- nobody can't tell you anything about me for nobest dy around there knows anything wrong about me and what they do know they know I am a good man. Tell me what some of them are saying Answer Miss Smart.

Good pussy Annie Maude all I know that your ass is fat you need not say that it aint and I know your pussie is good and fat and warm and hairy. How do I know because my dick stay on a hard all the time.

When you pass this door my dick say here she go and do you know little firl that I love you to my heart. I love you more than any men that you ever went with and how I man love you so much is because I believe that you will make a good wife for me, and not to tell you no lie I will be good to you and will give you anything that you want to make you be good to me.I am not talking just to hear myself talk. I am talking this because I know that I can do and what I have got to do with because you know if a man get you he is got to do if he want you to treat him right, and I

Letter 7.

Now I tell you Miss Annie you don't have to write and ask me do I like that not a dam tall. I told just what I heard so you must know that whoever told me that was tell the truth. I guess I don't know and don't give a dam and if you don't want to write down there to me I don't care. But I will say thise I love you all right and have loved every sine we was at court together.

I am surprised at you Annie to hear you say for somebody to kiss your ass.

So far as you say that your mother told you not to eat or drink anything from Jim Well that all right I believe you are telling the truth about you dont care anything about him and I know that he is not your husband and not me but I would like to be if we were not in there for I love you and has always teld you so and was just thinking me and Mr. Gilliland how to do. Of course I know that you never did love me and know that you are not crazy about marrying me for I was the one crazy about marrying you. You should know that I would like for you to have been my wife because I would have been pleased with you and knows that you have had a good time in your life and will change now and be a good girl and you and I could get along fine and be happy. But I did not know that you tell people to kies your ass and I know that you are not no fool miss and I am not no fool. And I dont listen to everything that the boys tell me for I just told you just what I heard and did not tell you that it was the truth and I know that you dont have to tell me no lie forrym you are your own woman and you cannot mess me up for I love you toe much and would do anything in this world for you. I love you and love you to my hear and if you dont love me I dont give a dam and you dont have to tell me to stop writing up there. Of course if you want me to then I wont. But,I will love you just the same. I have been writing some good letters up there too. To let you know how much I love you and I mean it well. I guess I will try to forget you. It will take me a long time for every time I read your letter! think that much more of you. Well that alright if you did not want me you did not have to get on your head. I wish you had wro te you mart letter a little sooner before Mr. Gilliand left. If you like this...... write. Please answer all of your letter. I would like to hear from you. I am not mad at all with you and you say somebody is telling you some...

Why --- nobody can't tell you anything about me for nobest dy around there knows anything wrong about me and what they do know they know I am a good man. Tell me what some of them are saying __Answer Miss Smart.

Back of Page (2)

To Miss Smart. Answer if you like for I am not no fool either. Understand it too and I am not mad with you.

Letter 8.

Atlanta, Ga., February 14, 1914.

Liss Annie Conley, 92 Tatnall street, Ga.

Well dear I justy dont know what to say. You say you are made with me and it hurts me to my heart. Did I tell you that love you and love nobody but you and I think if you go back on me I dont know what to do. So baby dont me mad with me please because I think I think that you and I will be happier some day. I know we will Annie if you willbe a good little girl around there which I know you will if we ever get out of there. Because I willdo all that a man can dor for you not to make you be good for I know that you like a good time and have had a good time in your life andI believe that you will change now and de right. Sweet dear dont worry for I love you more and more. Every day that I hear something good abought you and I have always believed that you will make a good wife to me or any man that will treat you tight and honey I know that I can do that and was not trying to fool you dear. I have always loved you and will always love and if you he a good girl you will always have a good friend because I am a man that loves and will give you all that you want and that is

I will. You find out I will give you want you want then you will love me more. But I tell you now dear from my heart that I can do for you a long time for I know what I have got you think that I would be in this case and not get anything out of it. All that I would like for you to do is to be a good baby and dont let nobody tell me anything on you no more

I would like to you all in my arms which would make me do more for you. Because I think that you could put it where it would go to me head, dont you? and I believe that you could put it to some man and make him do right for you and I am one of them too. Because I have got it in my head that you can do it to me if you do, I will go out and bring back has money and it welld not be long for I am a man that well the truth abought what I will do if I love a woman. I will do for her I say that I love you and love nobody but I am.

Well honey the time aint long as it have been with me and I am going to beat my case so help me God. They have got to try me in this court or turn me a lose one. So dont you worry. If I get out first I willdo all I can for you if you dont get no new trial in this court, just to show you how much I love you I will help to carry it a higher court if want me too. I just as well to help you as to help someone else for some one is going to spend what I have got. Now, baby that women that you saw talking to me is not anything to me and could not give me any money, for I could give her some money li,e I am now. I dont want nobody to give me anything now. I just sent around to some of my friend just to see where they are at. I could give them something now and if I could get where you is I could give you what you want here. Because I think that much of you and am pleased with you. If you be a good little girl because when I hear things around there about you it go to me heart. Just like I told you that I loce you to my hear and if you do love long will it. Of course you like a good time just like anybody else and I like a good time and what take to give you a good time, I have got it. I wish I was out to give you a good time, I have got it. I wish I was out there where you was are you in there with me

have wrote you all the paper that I have got. Now you know that I love you and will do all in this wirld for you. If I could get you in there I could make you love me or try like hell one. For I love you with all the love in the world. If I didn't make you love me I would by love from you if it takes every dollar I have got.

P. S. at top of page (8), Annie I spelled my words so that you can understand it. I am not writing so fine you know

"From James which is scratched.

P.S. On page (4). Now tell me something good baby doll- from James Conley.

Now I tell you Miss Annie you don't have to write and ask me do I like that. Not a dam . I told just what I heard so you must know that whoever told me that was telling the truth.

Hetter 9.

Well honey how are you this time. I hope you are feeling fine for I am dear. Why do you say that I need what I have got. It is not because you cant get to send me any.

I would have sent you some money but you know that it is to hard to send my money to you of what I want to send because you are up there and I am down there, I cant tell what going. You knows that your self of course. I could send you some change Annie dear. What I want to send I want to send something that will do you some good and I would like to help you in your case all that I could. But, you have not been a good little girl so they tellme. Honey it would take me a long time to spend what I have got in my cell and if I did spend all what I have got I could get more for I have got it and if I was out there with you I could give you whatever you want because I relly love you baby and would like to let you spend some of my money because somebody is going to do it. But I will just let you do what you want to. If you want to marry right there I will or if you. So write now and let me know what a bout in there Want to wait til you get out I will then.

So dont worry I just let you think but dear if you really mean what you say about it. I will do all that I can do for you and I knows what I can do for you because nobody knows I have got but me and Frank and God and Frank he cant say anything for he known where I got it from ----so. Now sweet dear you be a good little girl for my time is not long now---So if you dont want to marry in there why I hope we will be good friends until we get out. It hard to tell about that

Have you got to wait til the last of Feb. before you be tried. Somebody told me tou did well of you have. I hope you dont let the chief cook take you away. I heard you is leving him, is that so. If it is, me and you must do something right away for I am loving you now with all the love in the world and willdo my best to make you happy.

Letter 10.

Get it down to two or three years then motion for a new trial in a still high court then get out. It don't cost much. It will be the 15th of next month before you will get a hearing from this court and that aint long. I think when that woman come back there I will send her to get some money for me. If she will bring it back and I will let you have some money to help you as I may get out.

おきこうそうない 人をないないはいいろうしょうかって

before the 15th of next month and if I get out I will help you all I can Annie Bear, because I love you so much-If I tell anybody where my money is they will go and get the whole dam bunch- Then I never would get it and the State may be so long paying me, then I would not know what to do then but dont you worry.

76

というというというとなるのできない

おきないとからない 人をはいるないないというとういうこう

R.P.BUTLER, N.V. DARLEY, H'.G.SCHIFF, EULA FLOWERS, JOE WILLIAMS, JOS.

STELKER, R.W.LOEB, L.A. QUINN, F.ZIGANKI, Sworn for the Movants.

We are acquainted with the hardwriting of Jim Conley. We have examined the letters attached to Annie Maude Carter's affidavit and the same are in the handwriting of said Jim Conley.

SIG MONTAG, H. HEIN, CHARLES LEER, Sworn for the Movent. We are acquainted with R.P. Butler, H.G. Schiff, Rudolph Loeb, F. Ganki, Jos. Stelker and Miss Emla May Flowers and know their general cheracter for truth and veracity; the same is good and we would believe ed them on oath in a court of law. They work/at the National Pencil Company during the time that Jim Conley worked there and had an opportunity to become familiar with his handwriting. All of said persons are employees of the Pencil Company and have been thus associated with each other for some time.

ことをなって、大大はこれはないとというと

H. A. ALEXANDER, Seorn for the Movant. I am a practicing attorney in Atlanta, Georgia, and I have made a careful study of and the familiar with the handwriting of Jim Conley as shown in the two writings or notes found near the body of Mary Phagan, also in other specimens of his handwriting made for the police department, and particularly the note written by him for the police on an occasion when he was taken to the National Pencil Factoru by them. I am familiar with the peculiarities of Conley's handwriting, notably the unnecessary stroke which he invariably throws into the letter "s"; his unusual method of making the letter "o" by which he brings into the circle of the "o" the ending of the last stroke of the preceding letter and the beginning of the first stroke of the following letter; the uniform dropping of the letter "h" below the line; the disjoining of the laststroke in the letters "w" and "b" from the following letter when such following letter begins on the line; the use of a capital "p" and the dropping of the letter "k" below the line. I have examined the letters attached to the affid avit of Annie Mande Carter entitled and filed in the above stated case, and in my opinion said letters were unquestionably written by Jim Conley; In my examination of said letters, I find theat identical peculiarities described above and also the same mis-spelling, for example, "wood" for "would", "hisslef" for "himself". There is not-

the least doubt in my mind that the se letters were written by the same person who wrote the notes found by the dead body of Mary Phagan.

S. N. TEITLEBAUM, Sworn for the Movant. I am a court reporter and reported the oral argument made by Solicitor General H.M.Dorsey to the jury in the matter of the State vs. Leo M. Frank in Bulton Superior Court, on August 22,23 and 25th. The Solicitor made the following statements:

LEON RD HAAS, Sworn for the Mobant. I have read the brief filed by the Selicitor General in the Supreme Court, and said brief contains

the following language: "These letters have intrinsic marks of a knowledge of this transaction. First: the pads were both usually found in his office and near his office. The language of these notes in attempting to fasten the crime upon another has indelibly fixed it upon himself. The pad, the paper, the language, yes, even the fact that the notes were written, show- not that the negro committed the crime, but another."

W.CARROLL LATIMER, ALBERT E. MEYER, Sworn for the Movant. We are acquainted with Leonard Haas and Herry A. Alexander and know their gen-

eral character for truth and veracity. The same is good and we would believe them on oath in a court of law.

WILLIAM J. BURNS; Sworn for the Movant. In the office of Solicitor General Dorsey I carefully examined the clothes taken from the body of Mary Phagan and found the same to be the following condition: The inside seem of the drawers was cut, not with a sudden rip, but deliberately, by one who took his own time in do ing it. The cut be gan at the lower right leg, continuing up across the crotch and partially down the left leg. The drawers themselves were extremely baggy and roomy - This left the anatomy of the little girl fully exposed, with the exception of the knitted undershirt, the garment that was worn next to her skin, which adhered closely to the body and came down pretty well over the hips, the knitted undershirt was also cut, the cut starting on the left side, extending up about four or five inches, then the cut extended across the shirt to the left side. There was also a cut over one of the breasts of the shirt, which exposed the left breast. The drawers themselves, and the stitches indicate that the drawers were cut and not torn, and at the crotch you can see where the knife slipped and the material, itself was out. There is blood and urine all over the front of the skirt almost from the bottom up to the breasts. attested by Cuthurke DAVID MARX, ISAAC H. HAAS, Sworn for the Movant. We are acquainted with Wm.J.Burns. His character for truth and veracity is good, and

we would believe him on oath in a court of law.

H. A. ALEXANDER. Swom for the Movant. I accompanied Wm. J. Burns when he called at Solicitor General Dorsey's office and saw the said Burns examine the clothes taken from the body of little Mary Phagan. attested by C. W. Burke as Notary

JAMES I. ENNIS. Sworn for the Movant. I am a handwriting expert from Chicago, Ill. I have been engaged in this work for about twenty five years. I have testified in probably 300 cases. For the past two years and a half I have been one of the Masters in Chancery of Cook County. I lecture for the Illinois Bankers Assn. the American Institute of Banking, the Walton School of Accountancy, the Chicago Business Law School. I have done most of the work of the U.S.District Attorneyss office and the U.S.Secret Service in the City of Chicago for the last sixteen years. As to this case, I

ed me to make a comparison of the handwitting which appeared on the two notes found by the body of Mary Phagan, and copies of which appear as State's Exhibits Y and Z in the brief of the evidence filed in this case, with the handwriting of the letters attached to the Annie Maude Carter affidavit of April 23,1914. Mr. Haas placed in my possession these letters, together with the original notes. Mr. Haas gave me these exhibits with the statement that I was to compare the handwriting and to give my opinion as to whether or not all of the writing was written by the same person, or if it was the hand writing of more than one person. I spent five hours today in thoroughly examining, comparing and analysing the hard writing appearing in all these documents, said documents being two original notes and the twenty seven pages comprising the letters attached to the Annie Maude Carter affidavit, and from such examination, comparison and analysis, I am of the opinion that the same person wrote each and every specimen of the handwriting submitted to me. After I had so reported to Mr. Haas, he asked me whether or not, in my opinion, the two original notes were written by the same or different person from the person who wrote the other letters shown me. Itold him, as before, that they were made by the one pe son, and that but one person was the author of each an every specimen furnished me. The many, many striking points of similarity are so great that in my opinion, it would be impossible for more than one person to have exhibited all the peculiar characteristics exhibited in the two original notes, which are reproduced in all of the other exhibits. Extrementating My associates are Francis W.Walker, Attorney at law, Chicago, /Vice-President Merchants Loan & Trust Company Bank, Chicago; Judge Marcus Kavanaugh, Judge Superior Court, Cook County, Ill; E.G. Sims, Ex-E.U.District Attorney, Orson Smith, Pres. Merchants Loan & Trust Company Bank, Chicago.

ORSON SMITH, EDMUND D. HULBERT, FRANCIS W.WALKER, Sworn for the Movent. We know James I. Ennis and know his general character for truth and veracity. Said character is good and we would believe him on oath in a court of law. We know that James I. Ennis is an expert an handwriting and has had twenty five years experience as a handwriting expert.

COUNTER SHOWING OF THE STATE. GROUND 1.

W. A. GHEESLING, Sworn for the State. I am the undertaker who took charge of the body of Mary Phagan and who swore upon the trial of the case of the State of Georgia vs. Leo M. Frank and Jim Conley. On Sunday morning, April 27, 1912, one of the first things that I did was to clean up the body of Mary Phagan, and among other things I washed her hair thoroughly with pine tar soap. The effect of pine tar soap on hair is always to change the color of the same, and as a matter of fact the washing of Mary Phagan's hair with the pine tar soap did change the color of Mary Phagan's hair. It rendered the hair lighter. This change was very perceptible to the eye. The effect of washing the hair with pine tar soap was not only to cut out the dirt that had gathered in the same, but also took out and off of the hair all of the oil which is usually found on the hair of living persons. Mary Phagan was buried on Tuesday following the day that I washed her hair. I have been an undertaker

for eighteen years, and I personally know that it frequently happens that hair on dead persons' heads grows both in length and size.

J.W. COLEMAN, Sworn for the State. I am the husband of Mrs . Fannie Coleman. Mrs. Fannie Coleman was the mother of Mary Phagan, who was killed at the National Pencil Factory. I am the step father of Mary Phagan. I have known Mary Phagan for about four years before her death, and she lived with me and her mother in our home, from the time of our marriage up to the time of her death. I saw the hairs which were taken from the lathe handle in the pencil factory. I saw them at the City Police Headquerters. They were exhibited to me by city detective Black. The hair exhibited to me by officer Black resembald in every way, that I could tell by the naked eye the hair of Mary Phagan. I looked at the hair closely and did my best to arrive a true conclusion, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, the hair exhibited to me by officer Black, as the hair recovered from the lathe in the factory, was the hair of Mary Phaga, my stepdaughter, who was killed at the factory. This hair was exhibited to me a few days after the killing.

JOHN R. BLACK. Sworn for the State. I am the party referred to in the above affidavit of Mr.John W.Coleman, and that I did so exhibit the hairs recovered from the factory and delivered to me as the hairs recovered by Barrett on the lathe at the National Pencil Companu, and the hairs examined by said J.W.Coleman are the same hairs recovered and said J.W.Coleman did as above indicated state upon examining said hairs at the city police headquarters, that to the best of his knowledge and belief they were the hairs of Mary Phagan, who was killed. These hairs examined and referred to in the above affidavit of Mr.Coleman are the same hairs that were delivered to Dr.Harris at the State Capitol, I being present when said hairs were delivered to Dr.Harris.

MRS .L. I. ARMSTRONG, Sworn for the State. I am the proprietor of the Sanitary Hairdressing School at 100% Whitehall Street. During the many years experience I have had in the business of hairdressing and dealing in human hair I have examined hundreds of specimens of hair and know it to be an established fact that hair from the same head often varies widely, both in color and in texture. As a general rule samples of hair taken from the ends of a long strand of hair are of a lighter shade than samples taken from closer to the base. It is also a fact that a small sample of hair, consisting of only a few strands, when compared with a larget sample, of hair from the same head, will almost always look lighter in color, especially if the hair is of a light shade. Attached hereto is a small sample of hair which I myself cut from a persons head today. Examination of this sample shows that one end is much kighter in color than the other end, the light colored end (a slightly reddish color) being the extremity of the hair and the darker brown colored being the end next the scalp. I have seen many cases like this, and some in which the variation in color was even more marked than this.

(Attached to the affidavit is the hair referred to therein)

MISS JIMMIE MAYFIELD, Sworn for the State. I am employed at the

National Pencil Company and have been there about a year and a half.

I have read ground #2 of the extraordinary motion for new trial

in the above case and they have me quoted as saying the said Jimmie

Mayfield now states positively that the hair showed to her by the

See and Barrett, was not the hair of Mary Phagan, and that the same was

entirely too light in color and was not of the same texture as that of Mary Phagan." This statement is false and untrue. I did not say positively that it was not Mary Phagan's hair, for I did not know, and do not know now. I did say that the hair Mr. Barrett showed me was too light for Mary's hair, but I could not say positively that it wasn't her hair. I have read the foregoing statement which I made in the presence of officers J.N. Starnes, and Pat Campbell and my father and mother, Mr. and Mrs. S.I. Mayfield.

MRS. CORA FALTA, Sworn for the State. I have been working at the National Pencil Company&s factory for five years. One Monday, April 28,1913, we were all at work and Magnolia Kennedy come running in the room and said "we have found some of Mary's hair on the lathe machine" and we all quit workand went out there and looked at it. I just did take a look at it and then walked away, and I could not say how mahy strands of hair were on the machine, and I said "Mary's hair was kind of dark and that hair looks light", and then I walked away. That is all I said about it. About ten days ago, Mr. Burke come down to the National Pencil Company to get me to sign an affidavit regarding the color and texture of Mary Phagan's hair, and he had the affidavit written out when he came, and he read it to me, and that affidavit quoted me as saying that "I would swear positively that the hair found on that lathe machine was not Mary Phagan's because it was too light and not of the same texture as Mary Phagan's hair" and I told Mr. Burke right then that I did not say positively it wasn't Mary Phagan's hair, because I didn't know whether it was or not, and I told Mr. Burke he would have to take that part of it out, and he said that was all right, that they would fix that all right. When I told Mr. Burke to scratch out that part of it, about swearing positively it wasn't Mary Phagan's hair, that big man, whom I have since learned is Mr. Lehon, he come right up to me and said "Oh, no, of course not, none of us can say positive, but we will fix that all right". I held up my hand and swore to this affidavit when they said they would scratch out that patt I told them to. I did not know then and I do not know now whether that was Mary Phagan's hair which was found on the lathe. I have just been shown a copy of the extraordinary motion for a new trial in the above stated case, and in ground #3. pages 5 and 6, they

have me quoted as saying "positively that the hair on said lathe was not the hair of Mary Phagan, and that the same was entirely too light in color and not of the same texture". This statement is absolutely false and untrue.

GROUND #4.

BASS ROSSER, Sworn for the State. I have examined the stenographer's report of the trial of the case of State vs. Leo M.Frank,
Same comprises seven large volumes, written on legal cap paper,
and covers 3,647 pages.

5th GROUND.

ALBERT MCKNIGHT, Sworn for the State. I have heard read to me the affidavit which has my signature on it, and which I swore to before G.C. February on the 16th day of April, 1914, and witnessed by several white men, this being the affidevit that was taken from me at the police station recently. This paper is just exactly as I spoke it. This affidavit is absolutely true. The reason I made the affidavit for Burke was because he kept on after me. Burke came to me and told me that he would get me a job at the Terminal Station, making \$10.00 a week, and he said the tips I would get around there would average \$100. He asked me how much I was making, and I told him \$7.00 a week. He asked me hadn't I rather have a job like that than have one just making \$28.00. I told him yes. He says, "Why don't you go on then and tell me the truth," says, that "there isn't pne out of a hundred believes what you told on the stand." He asked me could he learn me how to drive his automobile, and I told him yes. He_says, "Well, then, if you wouldn't like the job around the Terminal Station, I will learn you how to drive the car, andmove you in a little house out near me, and Minola can work for me if she wants to. The job he got me was a job at a guano house. I wouldn't take that job, and he sent me then down to Schoen Bros., 325 Decatur St.packing hides. Schoen Bros. are Jews. I worked down there five days. I lays off then until next Monday. He promised that Terminal job and never gave me that, and promised to learn me to drive his automobile and didn't give me, that job. I got hurt at a crossing on Mo-Daniel Street. I was hiding out to keep away from the detectives. Burke told me that they were looking for me to make a witness out out of me in the Conleys case. This is the first I knew they were

looking for me. He told me to leave town that Sunday before the Conley case came up the next week. He told me not to let them get me by any means. I asked him if I went to Stockbridge to my mother's would that be all right, and he said yes, just so I got out of town and didn't let them get me. After I was hurt they tokk me down to Fairhaven Hospital, colored. When I was down there Burke came down and kammigxixxx brought a man by the name of Burns and some Jew, whose name I don't know. Burns went over with me, in Burke's presence the same things that I stated to Burke, and I told the same thing, I told Burke, but Burke knew that I was not telling the truth. I am now staying at the police station because I want to stay there to keep Burke and his crowd from worrying me. While I was over at the hospital, while Mr. Burns and Mr. Burke were present, they tried to get me to say that the city detectives beat me up. They asked me if I wes sure the train hit me, said I had a scar on the back of my head, and I couldn't have got bruised up by getting struck by the train, that they believed the detectives beat me up. They asked me "Do you know for certain that the train hit you"? I told them yes sir. Burke gave me the attached card and said to leave town, and if any of the detectives got me to call him up and he would come to see about me. Nobody has mistreated me since I have been staying at the station house. I have read over as best as I could this affidavit and the affidavit I swore to before G.C/Debruary, on 16th of April, 1914, and I have written my name on each page to this affidavit, and of that affidavit, both of which I say contain true statements.

(Attached to the above affidavit is the card of C.W.Burke, referred to in the affidavit, with the name Albert McKnight written across it).

Mr.Burke come out to my house three or four times to see me in the afternoon, but he didn't catch me there until he had made the third or fourth trip, and he caught me there at seven thirty and I was in b d, and he sat down and talked to me, the way people do and that I had to die, and if I had to die then did I think I would go to heaven and all like that and I said yes, and all the time I knew what he was after, for me to changemy affidavit, and Mindha would tell me at night that these fellow had been out there to see me, and I said what for and she claimed she didn't know, and he come

the second time and I wasn't there, and he come again, a think it was Thursday or Friday, and I wouldn't make him no affidavit, and then he says "I will come to see you Sunday afternoon, will you be here, and I said yes sir, and he said I will be here at 2 or 3 o'clock and I said all right, and so he come out there that evening. There was nobody with him that Sunday I give him the affidavit, and he told me, he says "there isn't one out of hundred that will believe what you testified to on the stand" and I says "I can't help that, it was the truth" and he said "that is a damned lie, you know it aint the truth, why don't you tell me the truth now, your wife has told me that you told her it wasn't the truth" and I told him I didn't tell her that. He wanted me to make another affidavit, and hesaid "didn't Craven offer you a whole lot of money or give you some money to make the affidavit" and I said no he didn't promise me nothing, I made it of my own free will" and Mr. Burke keeps after me until I would say I would make him one and so I said all right and I said I don't know nothing about ity I wasn't there on that day I was there at 12 o'clock and leaves there at 12;30" and I says "I was not at home when Mr. Frank come in, whether he was there or not, I don't know for I wasn't there" and Mr. Burke wrote all of that down, and I told him all of this affidavit was a lie, and that it was made up, and when I told Mr. Burke it was made up by me, he wrote it down as Mr. Craven preparing it for me, and I swore to it, but I didn't tell him Mr. Craven prepared it for me, and he says, he asked me a wholt lot of questions, he said if I hadn't changed my affidavit and told the truth the Jews were fixing to do something to me, he never did say what they would do only he said they would kill me if I hadn't changed my statement and told the truth, and I told him that I told the truth the first time, and he says I gainve more friends by changing my statement. I never did make but one statement to Mr. Burke, but I have signed three or four for him, I signed one yesterday for him, I was at the Terminal Restaurant, and Mr. Burke comes in the cook room where I was at and said "hello Albert" and he said "come on there are two fellows out here wants. to see you, b t I didn't know who they were, and I goes on with him and goes to the colored waiting room and Mr. Barke stops in the hall where the white folks go to the trains, and he talked with

80

からちゃ 八九日 いちいろうしょいとう

the head man; and me and these other two fellows were standing in the waiting room and he reads this affidavit over to me, or pretended to read it to me, whatever he read sounded like this first affidavit I made for him, and I signed it, and after I signed he says "this is your affidavit then is it" and I said "yes sir" and I help up my right hand and swore to it and he says all right good bye and they passed by Mr. Burke and I goes back into the cook room and Mr.Burke never said anything more to me at all, and he tells Mr. Boyd to discharge me from my job, and Mr. Boyd said when he said right now. The reason I quit the Beck & Gregg Hardware Co. Mr. Burke said he would get me a better job. While I was at the hospital Mr.Burke called on me and asked me if I was suffering for anything and I told him no. I don't remember howmany xixings times He come out there to see me, but he come once or twice before I got my senses. Mr. Burns come to see me while I was in the hospital and he read the affidavit to me that I had made to Mr. Burke, and he asked me if it was true and I told himmyes, and he just went over a whole lot of questions that Mr. Burke had gotten. That was while I was sick in the hospital. The affidavit those two fellows got me to sign over at the Terminal Station was already typewritten when they brought it aver there, I didn't have to say anything. The only paper that was written in my presence was the first one I made at home. All the others that I have signed, which have been at different times, were already written when they were brought to me, and they read something to me, I suppose they read what was in the papers. The first state affidavit I made to the officers and in court to the truth and all the others are false. R.I. CRAVEN, Sworn for the State. I have known Albert McKnight for

over a year. I did not know where he lived or for whom his wife worked, or that he knew anything about anybody related to Leo M.

Frank in any way until one day I happened to hear Albert make a remark to another negro about Frank. That attracted my attention, and I asked what he knew about Frank. He said he ought to know, his wife had been working for Frank about a year and a half. I went on to question him to tell me what he knew about it. Albert said he was at the Selig home when Mr. Frank came in at lunch time, said Frank came in the dining room, went up to the sideboard, look-

ed in the sideboard, stayed in there a few minutes and turned

around and walked out and he told me other things connected with that matter at that time. I asked him to make a statement and he said he was afraid they would lock him up. I told him he needn't be afraid if he told the truth, and if he knew anything and it was the truth, he ought to tell it, and he I cautioned him not to tell anything but the truth, and to be very careful what he said, because it was a very serious matter to accuse a man of a crime of that kind unless it was absolute fact. He also/me what his wife, Minola McKnight, told him, which was as follows: That Miss Lucile was telling Mrs. Selig Mr. Frank came home and acted like he was drunk, didn't sleep well and made her get out of the bed and sleep on the rug by the bed, said she wanted to know what was the matter, and he said he had murdered somebody. Afterwards I told both Mr.E.H.Pickett and Mr.Angus Morrison. Albert told me his tale and I wrote it down. I told Mr.Morrison to get in some place where he could hear me talk to A bert about it without Albert knowing bt. Morrison was listening. I did that, and Albert repeated the same story he had originally told me, and I then also, in addition to letting Albert tell me, read it over to Albert so Mr. Morrison could hear it, and Albert was right there with me, helping to read what I had written out. I read it slowly, so he could understand everything. He said what I had written down was true, and he afterwards swore to the same thing on the stand. Afterwards he swore to the same paper which I read over to him. Said paper is here to attached, marked Exhibit "A# and made a part hereof, same being identified by writing my name on it. Nothing was ever said at any time by me with reference to any reward, and I have never put in any claim for any reward, and I do not now claim a reward, and I do not expect to make any application for any reward, and do not want any reward. My interest was simply to get at the truth. McKnight's talk with another negro was simply overheard by me and he made every statemet that he made to me with reference to what he knew about this freely and voluntarily without any threats of any kind or character whatsoever. I neither threatened him nor paid him nor urged him; but did from from time to time mage caution him to tell nothing but the truth, and endeavored in every way that I could to impress upon him that it was a very serious proposition to tell what he told un-

lessit was the truth. I was present at the police headquarters when Minola McKnight made her affidavit sustaining everything that Albert McKnight said to me. Albert McKnight, in the presence of his wife, Minola McKnight, stated that what he had said was the truth, and Minola McKnight at last admitted that it was the truth. George Gordon, who claimed to be the attorney for Minola McKnight, heard every word of the paper which Minola McKnight signed, read over to Minola McKnight, and was present when Minola McKnight signed her name to that paper, which she afterwards repudiated, and said George ordon questioned Minola McKnight in my presence about some statements contained in that affidavit, and Minola McKnight told him in my bearing that the statements were true. Albert McKnight was also present and heard everything that occurred and was urging Minola McKnight to tell the truth. I heard J; N. Starnes, detective, tell Minola McKnight before she signed her paper, that if she could tell him anything favorable to Frank, that he wanted her to do it, because he would a good deal rather hear something favorable to him than something against him, and he further told Minola McKnight in the presence of her attorney, George Gordon, and in the presence of her husband, Albert McKnight, "Now Minola, if this/not the truththat you are stating, don't you tell it." Albert McKnight is present when I sign this affidevit and I have read over to him the same before I signed it, and Albert McKnight says that wherein reference in this affidavit is made to him and what he said and did, the same is ahsolutely true:

(Exhibit "A" referred to above is as follows:

(Exhibit "A" referred to above is as follows:

(I'Albert Moknight was at Mr.Leo M.Frank's home on East Ga. Ave. the Saturday the girl was murdered at the Pencil factory on Forsyth St. My wife Minola is cooking for Mr.Frank and has been for about two yeers. I was in the kitchen about 12 o'clock this same Saturday that they say the girl was murdered. The door bell rung and my wife Minola went to the door. When she come back in the kitchen I asked her who it was at the door and she said Mr.Frank. She asked him if she must fix his dinner now and he said he did not want any. He did not go upstairs to see his wife as she asked what went with Mr.Frank, wesn't that him that nome wast now. Mr.Frank left the house in about five minutes. I saw him go out of the house and catch the Georgia Avenue car. I was at the house from about 9 A.M. Saturday on until about 3 P.M. I went back to Mr.Frank's house the next morning Sunday. When I went in the kitchen my wife said what do you think Mrs.Frank said Mr.Frank said he had killed some body and that he rolled and tumbled all night and said he could see it looking at him and to give him his damn pistol and let himhoot his damn head off; What made me do it, a man like me, I must be crazy. Mrs.Frank asked her father and mother if she thought he had really killed some one. He had been drinking, he made me get out of bed am sleep on the rug by the bed. This was told at the

breakfast table Sunday morning and my wife was listening from the kitchen. Then Mrs. Frank was only paying my wife \$3.50 for week up to the Saturday of the murder and they told her if she would not talk they would pay her \$7.00 per week and she would not have to work as late as she had been doing. And for her to say nothing at court but what they told her to say. They gave her \$5.00 extra the day she went to court. They are paying my wife money all along as she is buying lots of freessesand has money all the time. I can tell Mr. Frank has dome something as they act strange. Mrs. Frank tells Magnolia every day not to forget what to say if they come for her to go to court again. Mrs. Frank had a quarrel with Mr. Frank the Saturday morning of the murder she asked Mr. Frank to kiss her good bye and she said he was saving his kisses for and would not kiss her. Magnolia also heard Mrs. Frank say she would never live with him again for she knew he had killed that girl and that they had the right man and ought to break his neck.

Sagard Albert Wellinght of the State. I have been working for the breakfast table Sunday morning and my wife was listening from the Beck & Gregg Hardware Company for twelve years. Albert McKnight. was discharged by me for some minor offense from the employ of the Beck & Gregg Hardware Company some time immediately previous to April 26,1913. I camnot recall the exact date and was not working for me on April 26,1913, but came back to work for the Beck & Gregg Hardware Company a few days after the murder, but exactly how many I cannot recall. Albert McKnight freely and voluntarily stated to me that he saw Leo M. Frank on April 26,1913, at the Selig home between 1 and clock; that Frank did not eat madyx any dinner and that he went over to the sideboard, stood there for a few minutes and left the house in ten minutes after arrival, and made the other statesments which he swore to in the trial of the case of the State vs. Leo M.Frank. He not only made these statements to me, one time, but many times. I have read over the affidavits this day signed by Messrs. R.I. Craven and Agnus Morrison, and the same are true, wherein they contain statements which purport to refer to those certain facts coming within my knowledge. I was also present at the police station and heard what occurred there, as testified to by Mr. Craven. After Albert McKnight made the statement to Mr. Craven, and before the same was sworn to, and before anything was made public, I impressed upon Albert McKnight the importance of telling the truth, and I told him that under no circumstances could he expect to get any reward or any money for what he said he was willing to swear. On the other hand I told him that it would probably couse his wife, Minola McKnight, to lose here job and could only result in worry and trouble to him, even if what he said was true, my purpose being to see that there was no improper influences

90

八九百

orerating on his mind in telling what he did. Albert McKnight is present when I sign this affidavit and has heard read over to him the same before I signed it, and Albert McKnight says that wherein reference in this affidavit is made to him and what he said and did, the same is absolutely true.

ANGUS MORRISON, Swom for the State. I have been working for the Beck & Gregg Hardware Company for fourteen years. I heard the affidevit this day signed by R.L. Craven, divtated, and I have read over and seen him sign that affidavit. In so far as the statements in that affidavit refer to me, they are absolutely true. I conceal ed myself in #3 warehouse of the Beck & Gregg Hardware Company at the request of Mr. Craven and for the purpose of hearing Albert Mc-Knight make a statement with reference to what he know about Leo M Frank on Saturday, April 26,1913. I heard Albert McKnight tell Mr. Craven that he saw Leo M. Frank between one and two o'clock on the Saturday that Mary Phagan was killed, that Frank came home, that he saw him through the looking glass, go into the dining room, that Frank did not eat anything, that he stepped over to the sideboard, and that Frank left the house within ten minutes after he got there. I also heard him sell what Albert said his wife Minola told him about what occurred betwenn Mrs . Lucile Frank, Mr . Frank's wife, and Mrs.Selig, Sunday morning at the breakfast table. I then heard Craven read over to Albert McKnight what Craven said he had written out and Albert said it was the truth . I never thought of any reward don't want any reward. I know the Solicitor General well and I happened to meet him casually one day after I had heard the aforesaid conversation. I told him that I could give him an important bit of information, but that at that particular time I was in a hurry. A week or ten days passed before the matter was again discussed, then Stames and Campbell came up and said that Mr. Dorsey had sent them to see me. I declined to talk to them until I had called Mr. Dorsey over the telephone, and he said it was all right and I then took them down to Mr: Craven and McKnight. McKnight told the detect ives exactly the same thing he told Mr. Craven. Both Starnes and Campbell told him that if it wasn't the truth to say so . McKnight then signed the paper, which Craven had read over to him. McKnight the mos reported in the papers he ho

9

そうかっている からしていることとと

Invert came back to work after the newspaper published the repudiation of his evidence in affidavit of afril 15, 1914.

W. W. BOYD, Sworm for the State. I know C.W.Burke. I run the Terminal Restaurant. Albert McKnight was working in the restaurant in the capacity as pot washer. On the 15th day of April, 1914,

Burke came to me and told me that McKnight wanted to quit. I did not know McKnight until Burke came and told me that he wanted to quit. Lehon and another man were with Burke at the time. Burke talked with McKnight, I don't know haw long, over in the colored cafe. I assumed that McKnight wanted to quit and I let him go.

4TH GROUND

ALICE MARJORIE McCORD. Sworn for the State (before Commissioner)

I have been employed at the Pencil factory for about five years.

I have read the fourth ground in the extraordinary motion for new trial, where I am quoted as saying "positively the hair on said lathe was not the hair of Mary Phagan and that the same was entirely too light in color and was not of the same texture as that of Mary Phagan " The statement is not true and in I did not say positively that it was not her hair. I did not say anything about the texture of Mary Phagan's hair or the difference in the texture in her hair and that found on the lathe. I don't know whether there was any difference. The hair found on the lathe, I said looked to be lighter than Mary Phagan's. Sound not several semalence of the lighter than Mary Phagan's found that have semalence after than Mary Phagan's found that several semalence of the lighter than Mary Phagan's found that have semalence after than Mary Phagan's found that have semalence after the found of the lather than semalence after the found of the found of the lather than semalence after the found of the factor of the fact

CHARLES PHILLIPS, JR, Sworn for the State. On or about March 6th,
1914, I called at the tower for an interview with Leo H. Frank,
and questioned him about the affidavit of Mrs. Ethel Harris Miller.
He discussed the matter at length and in the course of his conversation said that sometime after the trial a friend of his told him
that Mrs. Miller, formerly Miss Harris, saw him on the corner of
Whitehall and Alabama Streets, on the 26th of April. "The moment
it was mentioned to me", said Frank, "the whole occurrence flashed
over my mind and I remembered that she bowed to me and that I
tipped my hat. Although I had naturally racked my memory mutil for
every happening on that day I had not remembered seeing Mrs. Miller
untio the matter was mentioned to me after the trial. When the matter
was mentioned to me, however, I then remembered how she was duessed.

and described her costume to my friend who said: That's right, she was wearing clothes of that kind."

8TH GROUND

IRS. CARRIE SMITH, Sworn for the State. On Monday night, April 20, 1914, at about 10;00 o'clock I was standing at a weiner stand immediately in the rear of the Metropolitan Club building near the corner of South Forsyth and West Mitchell Streets. A man who had introduced himself to me, who had been passing under the name of Maddox and who represented himself to be a book agent and said he was at work getting up a book, came riding by in an automobile which stopped in front of the entrance of the Metropolitan Club. In this automobile there were one or two other men. I cannot remember exactly, but I think there were two other men; at any rate, some of them got out of the automobile and went into the entrance of the Metropolitan Club and this man Maddox came up to where I was. He bought him a weiner. This is the man who said to me that he was an agent and was getting up a book on the Frank case and that his commission on the book would be \$40.00 and he told me if I would sign a certain paper which he brought to me, he would give me one half of his commission. I refused to sign the paper. I formerly worked off and on three years for the National Pencil Company and knew Leo M. Frank well. I was well acquainted with his general, character and reputation and I state that his character and reputation are and were prior to the murder of Mary Phagan, bad. I have read over my evidence as given on the trial of heo M.Frank and say that the same is true. I was present when twelve or fifteen girls were in the office of Solicitor General Hugh M.Dorsey in the Kim er Building, the day we were sworn in the case against Leo M. Frank. This was the first and only time that the Solicitor General ever talked to me. He stated that the law ahly allowed certain questions to be asked and that there were certain answers, one way or the other, to be given. He put the questions, viz, first "Are you acquainted with the general character and reputation of Neo M. Frank?" If there were any present who did not answer that "yes" it was only one or two, as certainly most every one present they were. He then put the question, "Is that character good or bad?" and the girls answered, including myself, that Frank's character

こうそうな 大田 八大 前 かん いちにしるとかっ

was bad. Some time after the trial of the case I was requested by Miss Marie Karst to meet her for the purpose of going to a show on the 7th floor of the Grant Building. I went up there and there found this same fellow Maddox who undertood to pay me \$20.00 to sign his affidavit. Miss Marie Karst was not present and I did not get to see her. There was another man with Marie who undertood to talk to me also about the case. After telling the Solicitor General on this April 20,1914, about how I was gotten up into the Grand Building, I went to the same place in order to see whose office it was. I find that the office they had the goti, in which this man Maddox was seen by me, was the office of Rosser, Brandon, Slaton & Phillips, and the private office into which I went and where I saw this man Maddox was the office which has on the door thereof the name: "Mr. Slaton".

JOHN R. BLACK, Sworn for the State. About 7 o'clock, on April 24, 1914, I was standing at the comer of S.Pryor and Mitchell Sts. with C.A. Is om, when Miss Carrie Smith came out of the Southern Bell Telephone Exchange and came across Pryor St. and Mr. Isom pointed her out to me as being the same lady he had seen on April 20,1914, at the weiner stand in the rear of the Metropolitan Club on Forsyth St. about 10:00 o'clock P.M., and I saw her stop and talk with N.A.Garner on this April 24,1914 there on Mitchell St. about 7 o'clock, and Mr. Isomtold me that she had on the same dress that she had on the night he saw her at the weiner stand. MRS.MAGGIE NASH (formerly Griffin), Sworn for the State. I-heve read over my evidence as given on the stand on the trial of the case of the State vs.Leo M.Frank. The same is true and absolutely correct. I am acquainted with the general character and reputation of Leo M.Frank. It is bad. I am also acquainted with the general character and reputation of Leo M.Frank as to lasciviousness, that is his relations with women. That character is bad. It is true that during working hours, as stated in my evidence given on the stand, I saw Leo M. Frank go into the lady's dressing room with a woman who worked on the t floor. I saw him go in there three or four times, sometimes in the evening and sometimes in the morning. He would stay in there as Long as from 15 to 30 minutes. Co far as

I know there was nobody else in that room with Frank and this woman

at the time. I don't know, of course, what Frank and this woman were doing in there ,but I do know that they were in that room which was supposed to be used only by the girls as a dress room, and I don't know of any business that could have been carried on in that room by Frank and this woman that was right and proper or connected with the National Pencil Company's busi ess. The key to this room was carried by the woman I saw go into this room with Leo M.Frank. It was her uniform practice as soon as the girls were dressed for work and went to work, to look this room and put the key in her pocket. I don't know whether Frank, when he and this woman were in that room together, whether the door was locked or bolted. I never did try to go in there when they were in there, and so far as I know no one else tried to go in on them. I have read, myself ground s 3 and 9 of the extraordinary motion as filed on behalf of Leo M.Frank in the Clerk's office of the Superior Court on the 16th day of April 1913. The original paper is before me at the time I sign this affi davit. The statements contained in ground 8 are absolutely false in every particular. I have not made any affidavit to anyone with reference to my evidence as given on the stand. I have not made any statement to any person contrary to what I swore on the stand theevidence I gave on the stand is the truth in every particular, and I here and now re-affirm and re-assert the evidence as given on the stand and I now say that Leo M. Frank is a man of general bad character and reputation, both generally and in refereme to his relations with women. I merely knew Bewey Hewell. I never talked with her in my life except the day she was up in the office of the Solicitor General, Hugh M. Dorsey, and she then and there voluntarily told me what she was going to swear on the stand. She told me she was going to swear exactly what she did swear. I did not approach her or suggest anything at all to her with reference to the evidence that shwe swore. Dewey Hewell told me she had seen Frank talking to Mary Phagan and had heard Frank call her "Mary". Dewey Hewell also told me that she saw Frank one time on the 4th floor of the National Pencil Company's place of business, with his arms around a woman, off in a dark place near the stairway. She said she didn't know who this woman was, but she got a good look at

95

· 😝

Frank and knew that he was the man. I didn't pay much attention to what the girl had to say. I talked to Solicitor General Hugh M. Dorsey in the presence of Mr. Pass Rosser, City detective. The day I went on the stand Mr. Dorsey dame into the room in his office where all of the girls were assembled, including Miss Nellie Wood. Mr. Dorsey stated that the time had now come when the State would introduce evidence with reference to Leo M.Frank&s general character. He said he had been over and had talked to/us separately, about the evidence we were going to give, and now he wanted to talk to us se together, and see if everybody understood exactly what questions would be asked. Mr. Dorsey told us he only wanted the truth and nothing but the truth. He also said that if we were not going to swear as we had told me wer would swear that he wanted us to say so then and there in order that he might be saved and time and trouble of putting the witnesses on the stand. He then said, I will now ask tthe question and I will take each of you one at a time, I want you to pay strict attention and give the answer that is the truth and that you expect to give on the stand. He then said "Are you acquainted with the general character and reputation of Leo M.Frank" and put that question to each one of the girls who were present. Among others was Miss Wellie Wood, and she stated yes, and he then went to one of the others with this question and all of them enswered yes. Mr.Dorsey then said"is that sharacter good or bad" and went first for the answer to that question to Miss Wood and she said it was bad. Each and all of the girls present in that room stated that the character of Leo M.Frank was bad. At no time did the Solicitor General ever tell the witnesses to answer off sharp and quick. He did say that the enswer was first yes or no, as to whether Leo M. Frank's general character was bad, and he did tell us that it was not what we knew personally about Frank, but what was generally said of him by other people. Some of the girls were frightened at the idea of going into the court room. We were assured by Solicitor Dorsey that there need be no fear, and that it would not probably take very long. Dewey Hewell was not present when all theseother girls were being talked to by the Solicitor General at his office in the Kiser Building. The conversation I had with Dewey Hewell occurred after the Solicitor General had a talk with the other girls and left. It is absolutely false in every particular that I coached Dewey Hewell, or that I said " we will go over it again so

won't forget it." I have heard people say that Frank was a man of bad character. This was the general talk-among the girls in the factory. I heard the employees in the factory talk frequently about Frank being attentive to the women working the factory, whose reputation were bad, and I have seen myself Frank spend a great deal of his time with this woman whose repuation was bad. I don't know myself that anything wrong every occurred between them. but I do know that he devoted a great deal of his time talking to her than was necessary and that it was generally understood among the girls in the factory that Frank'edidn't have the best reputation and that his character was bad. I found in the office of the Solicitor General, Hugh M. Dorsey, on this Monday, April 20, 1914, a young lady. I looked at her and thought I recognized her face, though I could not call her name. I was afterwards informed that this was Miss Ruth Robinson and I knew that I never talked to Miss Buth Robinson a moment in my life, either at the office of the Solicitor General or anywhere else on earth. And if Dewey Hewell ever talked together, I don't know enything about it and if Ruth Robinson heard what Dewey Hewell said to me I don't know anything about it, but everything that was ever said to me by Dewey Hewell or by Dewey Hewell to me wasesaid in the room where there were other people who could have heard if they had wished to. Sometime recently two men one of whom was W.W.Rogers, and the other being, as I have been informed, the detective W.J.Bums, came to see me with reference to my evidence. Rogers and Burns tried to talk to me about my evidence. I told them emphatically that if they were to come to me in a hundred years from now that I would still be the same, because it was the touth. I was very enthusiastic in letting this man Burns, know that I didn't intend to waste any time going over with them evidence which I had given and which was the truth. This was the only enthusiasm I ever remember to have shown in connection with this case. These men disputedm in the little time they talked to me, my word and said they supposed Miss Grace Hicks knews where Mary Phagan worked. Rogers then said "come on lets go we can't get anything from ler" and I said "I am tired of your company and wish you would go on for I don't like to be called a story. Among other things, one of these men representing themselves

to be Burns detectives, asked me if there was anybody else besides myself that I knew who had seen Frank go into the dressing room with with women. I stated that my recollection was that Miss Myrtic Cato saw this occur. They then asked me where Miss Cato worked and I told them she worked E.H.Cone's drugatore and I added, "you go there to see her and you will get the same dose you got here." N. A. GARNER, Sworn for the State. About 7;00 o'clock p.m. on April 24,1914, I was standing at the corner of Mitchell and South Pryor Sts. when Miss Carrie Smith came across the street from the Southern Bell Telephone Exchange, and she stopped and talked with me a few minutes, this being the same Miss Carrie Smith who testified at the original trial of the above ca e, but who was at the t time or sometime since has married a man named Benton. C. A. ISOM, Sworn for the State. On Monday, April 20,1914, about to o'clock, P.M. a could not say whether it was twenty or thirty minutes before or after, but about that time. I know that it was previous to 11 o'clock because we had to be at 33 Forsyth Street before 11 o'clock and we got there with the automobile before 11 o'clocl. On the date and at the time stated I was sitting in this automobile referred to in front of the Metropolitan Club, on South Forsyth Street. In this automobile was Jimmie Wrenn. Jimmie has been working for Burke, who is employed in the office of L.Z. Rosser on the Frank case for the last several months. Fred Linn Whe has been running the automobile of Cu Bunko was also in the automobile. We were going North on South Forsyth St. and we passed the entrance of the Metropolitan Club which was on the West side of South Forsyth Street at the corner of West Hitchell. We passed beyond a weiner stand next to the Metropolitan Club. Aswe passed it, I first noticed a girl, my attention being attracted to her by Linn saying to Jimmie Wrenn, "yonder she is Jimmie". She had on a light blue dress, blue hat. After the automobile stopped Linn gave Wrenn a nickle and Wrenn went up to the weiner stand. He was the only man who went up to the weiner stand, and the girl described was the only girl present. I did not see them speak, because Linn suggested that we stand in the stairway as a city policeman was standing coming up just then. We were in the staircase entrance about a minute when Jimmie Wrenn came up eating a weiner. I pointed this girl out to detective Black on Friday, April 24,1914. She came out of the southern bell telephone exchange, and I sawher talk a few minutes with Mr.N.A. Garner.

をなっているとうにあるこれが、おいないにはいいというというできることにいるから

RUTH ROBERTSON, Sworn for the State. I worked at the National Pencil Company for three years and was working there during the year 1912, up until the middle of April, 1913, just a few weeks before Mary Phagan was killed. I have known Mary Phagan ever since she has been a very little girl, having known her in Cobb Cpunty, where we both lived. I knew Leo M. Frank. I have had read to me the evidence that I gave on the trial of the case of the State vs. Leo M. Frank. Every word of it is absolutely true. I did see Frank at Mary's machine, talking to Mary and I heard Frank call her "Mary". I heard it many times. Frank was at Mary's machine a great deal more than there was any need for him to be there. Mary had worked at the Pencil Company a good long time and understood her business, and did not have any need for anybody to be there at and the time Frank spent at Mary sMachine was not her machine showing her anything/because Mary needels have anybody to show her how to operate the same or how to do her work. I have seen Frank go to Mary's machine three and four times a day. Sometimes he would remain as long as fifteen or twenty minutes. Frank did not very often pay any attention to the work being done by the other girls on that floor, other than Mary, I have seen Frank, in showing Mary about her work, take hold of her hands and hold them, For a while I did the same work exactly that Mary did. It was simple and easy as it could be. Frank's visits to Mary and talks with her and assistance given her became more frequent and more constant during the time that I noticed them which was from about some time during the summer or fall of 1912, and monstant continued until the time I left there. I have foregotten the exact date, but the very last day that I worked at the National Pencil Company's place of business I saw Frank talking to Mart. I heard him call I have read ground #9 of the extraordinary motion for new trial of Leo M.Frank, as filed in the clerk's office on the 16th day of April 1914. I have just this minutes been introduced to a lady who goes by the name of Mrs . Maggie Nash and who says that she was Miss Maggie Griffin. Miss Maggie Griffin when she came into the room stated that she did not know my name. She thought after looking at me she had probably seen me but she was not positive about that. She denied in my presence that she had ever chach-99 ed me or talked to me about the Frank case or my evidence on the

Frank case. I can positively state that I do not remember ever having seen Miss Griffin and I did not know her name, and certain it is that she never talked to me in her life or undertook to coach me about what I should say. It is not true that Maggie Griffin and Dewey Hewell laft the large room referred to in Frank's motion two or three times together and returned together, and it is not true that I heard Dewey Hewell say repeatedly that she was afraid she would forget all Maggie had told her to say when she went into the courthouse, and it is not true that I heard Miss Griffin or any body else say that she did not know where Mary Phagan worked and that she did not know her name. It is not true that Dewey Hewell said that she was rehearsed in her part by Maggie Griffin in any room, anywhere at any time. On the other hand I never heard Dewey Hewell say anything about Frank one way or the other at any time. It is true that I was taken by Bass Rosser to the office of Solicitor General Hugh M.Dorsey on the very day that I testified in the case. I never saw or talked to Solicitor General Dorsey about this case until the day I went on the stand. I never talked with Detective Bass Rosser about this matter until the day I went on the stand. I did talk to a great many people immediately after Mary Phagan was murdered about what I knew about Frank's knowing Mary Phagan, and among others I talked to my father, who lives in Cobb County, about it and I told him exactly what I told on the stand. I have never made any affidavit for anybody till this time and I have never stated to anybody the things that are set out in this extraordinary motion in paragraph 9. It is absolutely false that the solici tor told me that I knew something are the character of Leo M. Frank. The solicitor asked me questions about what I knew about Frank's character. I stated to the solicitor general that I was acquainted with the general character and reputation of Leo M. Frank and that that character was bad. The solicitor stated that they had mough evidence with reference to Frank's general bad character and that he would not ask me those questions, but that he would only ask me questions with reference to Frank's knowing and being acquainted with Mary Phagan. If the solidator or counsel for Frank had seen fit to ask me about Frank's general character on the stand, I would have told them as I state in this affidavit that his character was bad. It is absolutely false that the

solicitor insulted me. The solicitor general never suggested or intimated in any way that I had had sexual intercourse with the defendant in his office or any other place in his factory, or that he knew the location of any room or that he knew of other girls having been in the room with him. The solicitor general merely asked me about what I had heard other people say about Leo M.Frank's general character and I never even told him until today, April 20, 1914 about any conduct on the part of Frank toward me in his office. I have today, however, told the solicitor general about what I considered an improper proposal on the part of Leo M. Frank to me. In other words, the said Leo M. Frank undertook to give me seven dollars when he knew I was not entitled to the said money and he endeavored to arrange a meeting with me sometime the next week. This occurred in his office in the presence of other people and I fully understood what Frank meant. I do not know who the people were in Frank's office at that time, but he had there three girls. I never had a private conversation with the solicitor general in my life. There were always other people present. He never used any insulting language to me and it is absolutely untrue as stated in the motion for a new trial that he said anything that was improper. It is absolutely untrue that I was ever in any room with twelve or fifteen other women, all witnesses in the Frank case, at the same time Mr. Dorsey was there. The only time I was in any room with 12 or 15 witnesses in the Frank case was in the courthouse across the street from Mr. Dorsey's office in the Kiser Building and while Mr. Dorsey was in the trial of the case in the court room. I know Carrie Smith, but I never talked to her in Mr. Dorsey's office or elsewhere. The day I was sworn was the only time I saw Mr. Dorsey. I know Myttle Cato, but I was not in any room with her. It is absolutely false that the malitim solicitor general told me to answer questions right off sharp. All the allegeations with reference to what Maggie Griffin did or said in the room with twelve of fifteen other girls, as stated in Frank's extraordinary motion for a new trial are absolutely false, so far as they refer to me. W. T. ROBERTSON, Swom for the State. I dropped in of my own accord to the office of Hugh M. Dorsey, solicitor General, while he 101 was talking to my daughter, Miss Ruth Robertson about the evidence

which she gave in the Frank trial. I was present at the dictation

of her affidavit. Directly after the murder, knowing that my daughter had been working at the pencil company's place of business, I talked to her about the same and she then told me exactly what she said on the stand, namely that Leo M.Frank knew Mary Phagan, time that he called her "Mary" and that he spent a good deal more/than was necessary at Mary's machine talking to her. She also told me that this man Leo M.Frank, was a man of general bad character, though she did not tell me of the incident with reference to Frank's trying to give her seven dollars and arrange for her to see him the next week, until she told it today in the office of the solicitor general, as set out in her affidavit which she signed and which I have seen. I did not know that she would be a witness in the case, and I did not know that she had been a witness in the case until I saw it in the paper.

RUTH ROBERTSON, Sworn for the State. I made no affidevit in connection with this case, except the affidavits that I made in Mr. Dorsey's office. I have made no affidavit such as the one which is set out in the extraordinary motion for new trial, in which it is represented that I have made a great many statements. I have had exhibited to me by detective Bass Rosser, what purpose to have been an original affidavit and I have carefully examined the signature, and I swear positively that same is not my signature thereto and that same is a foregery.

S. L. ROSSER, Sworn for the State. I am a city detective. At the direction of Salicitar General Dorsey, I procured from Hon. Stiles Hopkins, one of Mr. Frank's attorneys, the original affidavit offered by Mr. Frank upon a hearing of the extraordinary motion for a new trial of Miss Ruth Robertson. I immediately carried same to Miss Robertson and exhibited same to her and she carefully examined the signature to same, and said affidavit is the one referred to in the above affidavit of Miss Robertson, which I have read.

MRS. MANIE EDMUNDS (formerly Mamie Kitchens) Sworn for the State.

Attached, marked Exhibit "A" is the entire evidence, questions and answers, chief examination and cross examination, which I gave on the trial of the case against Leo M. Frank. I have read over this evidence carefully and now state that every word us testified to by me is true and that the attached exhibit A is a full, complete

and true report of the evidence which I gave on that trial. time recently, C.W. Burke, representing himself to be a detective representing Leo M. Frank and from the office of L.Z. Rosser, called on, me at the pencil company's place of business. Burke paid me for the time I lost in takking to him about this case. Hesaid that "Mr.Rosser said you had an honest looking face, and that he wanted me to come down and have a talk with you, and he told me to ask you questions concerning Frank's character," and he began asking. questiongs about had I ever seen Mr Frank acting in a familiar way with the girls, or ever seen him lay his hand on any and had I ever seen any lady in Frank's office . I don't remember just in what way he put that, but of course he meant through harm. I told him no, only a stenographer, and he asked me was she conducting herself in a ladylike way, and I said "Yes, she was writing on her typewriter." I told him I had been downstairs but very few times during work hours and that I had never seen Mr. Frank acting in any way familiar with any of the ladies. He always went through the shop in a bus inesslike way and I never seen him laugh bytvery few times. Then he asked me if I thought Mr. Frank meant any harm by coming to the dressing room. I told him I did. He s ys Why? I said, because when Mr.Frank come to the door and seen that we were partially dressed, I think it would have been as little as he could have done to have said "excuse me ladies" and walked away. He stood there and laughed or grinned. I don't know when a Jew is laughing or grinning, ut he stood there and make no effort to move. When he come to the door he said: "What is the matter girls , haven't you got any work? and he just kept standing there and didn't make no effort to move until Miss Jackson said 'We are dressing, blame it' and then he shut the door and disappeared. He said, well would you have a man hung on that, convicted and hung?" I said, "certainly not, I am not that unreasonable, but I do think he meant harm, and I think a man could act the gentleman as well as anything clse, that when a gentleman meets a lady he ought to treat her with respect." He said, "Well I don't look at it like you do. You must consider Mr. Frank is a business man and many of these things he don't pay any attention to, like a man like me would. I would think of those things, but a businessmen sometimes forgets these I said, "I don't see why he should, when he stood there things."

long enough to think what to do and what to say: Burke was writing this all down, as we were talking and when we finished, he got the stenographer of the National Pencil Company to write out what was said/ The paper was not written in my presence. I went upstairs while they were preparing it. He then sent for me to come back to sign it. I did not read the paper that I signed. I don't think there was anybody in the room. Afterwards Burke came to see me at home and asked me to sign another paper. Burke represented that the new paper which I signed was exactly like the other one, except that the new paper had on it "Extraordinary Motion for new trial". I took Burke's word as to what these papers contained. I did not tell Burke anything different to what I have set out above, and if he has anything in either one of these papers other than what I have stated, then said Burke has misled me and mis_represented the facts to me. Frank did not knock at the door, and gave no inimation that he was coming in. It is true that Mr.Rosser when he talked to me about Leo M. Frank, askedme fully with reference to what I knew concerning said Frank's character and his relation with women, and of wourse that line of questioning was calculated to be embarrassing , but the deportment , bearing and manner of the said Rosser was gentlemanly in every respect, and he only asked such questions as were necessary to get at the truth. I refer to the detective Bass Rosser.

(Exhibit "A" referred to in the above is as follows)

14.

MISS MAMIE KITCHENS, Sworn for the State in Rebuttal, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL.

Q. What is your name? A. Mamie Kitchens. Q. Where did you work Miss Mamie? A. National Pencil Company Q. How long had you been working there? A. It will be two years

this coming October.
Q.Two years this coming October, what floor do you work on? A.Fourth.
Q. Where are you working now? A. I am working on the plugging table for Mr.Joe Stelker.
Q. On what floor? A. Fourth.

Q. On what floor? A. Fourth.
Q. For the National Pencil Company? A. Yes sir.
Q. How long I mean where were you yesterday, the day before and the day before that? A. I was at the Pencil Factory yesterday and the day before.
Q. And the day before; now, have you been sworn in this case by the defense, have you been put on that stand? A. No sir.
Q. By the defense? A. No sir.
Q. By the defense? A. No sir.
Q. Bo you know of any other lady or ladies now work on that floor that have not? A. Yes sir.
Q. Who? A. Miss Jones and Miss Howell.
Q. They have not been; are you able to recall any others, that work on that 4th floor that have not been? A. No sir, I don't believe I do.
Q. Miss Mamie, do you or not remember an incident, first I will ask you if you are acquainted with the general character of Leo M.
Frank? A.Well, I know Mr. Frank when I see him.

Q. I know, but are you acquainted with his general character, what is generally said about what has been said about him? A. I what is generally said about what has been said about him? A. I can't express my opinion of his general character?

Q. Just answer yes or no? A. Yes sir.

Q. Well, is that good orb ad? A. I can't express my opinion of his character from hearsay.

Q. All right.. that is what we want - from hearsay, up to April 26,1913, just what people may said before the Phagan girl was killed. That hearsay is all you can given under the Judge's ruling? A. Well I will tell you all I can say—

The Court: Don't tell what you have heard, just tell whether or not you have heard anything.

Q. I won't press the point. I want to ask you if you knew kiss Irene Jackson? A. I certainly do.

Q. Do you know Miss Mayfield? A. I do Q. Do you know Miss Mayfield? A. I do Q. Were you or not ever present in the dressing room of the ladies Q. Were you or not ever present in the dressing room of the ladies on the fourth floor, when Miss Irene Jackson was present and when Miss Mayfdell was present, when any or both of these young ladies were partially undressed, and Leo M.Frank came in that dressing room?

A. I was in the dressing room with Miss Jackson when she was undressed.

Q. Was undressed? A. Yes sir.

Q. Did or not Leo M.Frank come in there? A. He came to the door and opened it, and stuck his head inside of the door.

Q. Stuck his head inside of the door.

Q. Stuck his head inside of the door, did he or not knock? A. He did not knock.

Q. He did not knock; what, if anything was said -- when he did that, or what did he do when he stuck his head in? A. He just stood there and grinned or laughed, I don't know which.

Q. What was said to him by anybody in that room when he did that?

A. Miss Jackson -- he stood there for a few minutes longer, I suppose, then she thought he ought to, and she said, "well, weare dressing, blame it," and then he shut the door.

CROSS EXAMINATION by Mr.Rosser.

Q.Didn't he ask you if you girls didn't have any work to do? A. Yes sir.

Q.He put his head in, and didn't he say, "Haven't you girls got work to do? A. He didn't say it like I do, but he asked you if you didn't have any work to do? A. Yes sir.

Q. That was the only time you were ever in the room when he tried to come in, that is the fact, isn't it, and he never said anything but that? A. I didn't understand the question.

Q. I didn't think you did; well now, you were in there that day, Miss Mitchens? A. I was in there with Miss Jackson. on the fourth floor, when Miss Irene Jackson was present and when Q.I didn't think you did; well now, you were in there that day, Miss Kitchens? A. I was in there with Miss Jackson. Q. Who else was in there? A. Well Miss Ethel Stewart, was in there part of the time.
Q. Just you three? A. Just us three.
Q. What time of day was it? A. Well, I can't state the exact time, it was in the afternoon. Q. Well, was it during business hours? A. yes sir.
Q. During business hours? A. Yes sir, we were dismissed from work,
Q. didn't have any.
Q. Yes didn't have any. Q. You didn't have any work to do that day? A. Ho sir.
Q. And you were all just resting in the dressing room. A. Just what
Q. You were in the dressing room because the work had been dismissed? A. Nomsir, we were in there dressing to leave.
Q. Dressing to leave? A. Yes sir.
Q. Who had dismissed you that you wouldn't have any work to do? Q. Who had dismissed you that you wouldn't have any work to do?

A. Mr. Joe Stelker.

Q. Mr. Stelker, and that is all he said, he asked you if you girls didn't have any work to do? A. That is all he said.

Q. And you all teld him you didn't have any work to do? A. Yes sir.

Q. Aren't you mistaken, Miss Jones has been down here and testified, hasn't she? A. I have only got her work for it, she told me she had not.

Q. As a matter of fact, I am asking you if you know? A. I den't know.

Q. Now did they work there before this murder took place? A. Yes sir Miss Jones worked there before I ever went there.

Q. Did Miss Howell work there? A. No sir, Miss Howell worked there when the murder was committed, I think, in fact I know she told me she did, but I don't know just exactly, I was off from the factory four months, I quit in February, and never went back until
Q. I am going to ask you a question, Miss Howell has been on the stand, hasn't she? A. She says she has not. stand, hasn't she? A. She says she has not.
Q. I think she is mistaken. I am going to ask you the question that we have asked all of those ladies that worked on the 4th floor; I

am going to ask you were you ever in Mr. Frank's office, meet him there between the middle of June and the 1st Saturday in January of this year, inclusive, if you ever met him there for any immoral purpose? A. I never met him therefor anything except to get the money but of my time."

11TH GROUND.

MISS MARIE KARST, Sworn for the State. Attached is a copy of the examination in chief and the cross examination which I gave on the trial of the case of the State vs. Leo M. Frank. Every word of this testimony attached and set out in Exhibit "A" is true and correct. While I did not understand the meaning of the word "lasciviousness" I did understand and do understand the meaning of this language, viz: "his attitude toward man and women" and in answering the question I gave my answer based on the words referred to. I now state again that I am acquainted with Leo M. Frank and was prior to April 26,1913, and I was acquainted with his general character. The general character of Leo M.Frank was bad. The character of Leo M. Frank in respect to his relations with women was bad. I now understand the meaning of the word "lasciviousness" and Leo M.Frank's character for lasciviousness was bad. I have seen Leo M.Frank in the factory frequently talking to women. He usually talked with women in the factory who bore bad reputations. I never saw Mr. Frank stand and chat with women of good reputation like he did with those whose reputation and character were bad. When I was interviewed by the Solicitor General, he explained to me what the questions laid down by the law with reference to showing a man's bad character were, and he stated to me that I must answer one of the questions which he asked either yes or no. The Solicitor General did not tell me what Frank's general character was, but I told him that his character was bad, just exactly as I swore it in open court when on the stand and examined. I did not, if I ever stated in any affidavit that the Solicitor said he wanted me to answer questions right off sharp and quick, mean that he told me what to answer, and I did not mean that he told me to answer them sharp and quick, except in the sense that the Solicitor told me that the answer to one of the questions had to be yes or no, and nothing else. It is true that the Solicitor General did not use the word "lasciviousness" in talking to me in his office prhor to going on the stand, but the Solicitor General did talk to me in plain language about Frank's reputation

106

as to women.

I have given an affidavit to one C.W.Burke, and some man representing himself to be a Burns man. I did not put in that affidavit or authorize these men to put in that affid avit anything contradicting/any way the evidence that I gave on the stand, and if any paper which they have purports to have changed the evidence that I gave on the stand, it is a false paper and not authorized by me. Burke wrote out a paper in my presence himself, and had me sign it. I did not myself read the paper, but some man claiming to be a Notary Public. I have forgotten his name, professed to read the paper to me. I did not, when I signed the paper, hold up my hand and take any oath, but merely signed my name, though the man who said he was a Notary Public. asked me if what he read me was true.

いれるのないといういきとしているというといろ

(Exhibit "A" referred to above is as follows:)

"MISS MARIE KARST, Sworn for the State in rebuttal.
Direct Exemination by the Solicitor General.

Q. Miss Karst, did you ever work at the National Pencil Company?

A. Yes sir.

Q. When? A. About twomers ago.
Q. Two years ago; what floor did you work on? A. I worked on the second floor.

Q. Second floor; are you or not acquainted with Leo M. Frank?

A. Yes sir, I am.

W. You are; are you acquainted with his general character prior to April 26,1913? A. Yes sir.

Q. Is that character goed or bad? A. Bad.

Q. Bad; nowo Miss Karst, I will ask you if you are acquainted with his general character for lessivistaness, that is his attitude towards girls and women? A. Yes sir.

towards girls and women? A. Yes sir.
Q. Is that character good or bad? A. Bad.

Crows, examination by Mr.Rosser.
Q. Where do you in now? A. I work for Numally & McRae.
Q. Numally & McRae; where do you live now? A. I live at 195 Kelly St.

Q. 195 Kelly? A. Yes sir.
Q. You worked therein 1910 two months? A. Worked?

Q. Two years ago you worked at the National Pencil Factory two months. A. No. I worked 18 months there. A. No,

Q. Eighteen months? A. Yes sir.

MARIE KARST, Sworn for the State. On Last Saturday, April 18, I signed an affidavit dictated in the office of the Solicitor Gemeral. I did not at that time make any mention of the matters referred to in this affidavit with reference to Miss Carrie Smith. I was only asked about that last night and this morning in the office of the Solicitor General. Soon after the trial of the case, Lemmie Quinn, foreman in the Mational Peneil Company's place of business phoned me. Lemmie told me to meet him at a certain time and place, viz, at Nuncally's across from the Piedmont Hotel. I methim there, as per his request. He told me that Frank's side had gotten hold of that scrape at the pencil factory about drinking; if I would see Burke and give him a statement that he could keep

this out of court, but unless I did, they would bring it up in court against me, and I told Lemmie that he was the foreman there and ought to know whether we got drunk or not, and he said he didn't know anything about it. We went out there and somebody had a half pint he got at the club, and another girl and I slipped around and got it and two more came around and drank some of it. At that time was only 15 years old and the girls who drank this with me were fifteen or younger. It was stolen as a joke, out of the pocket of one of the boys werking there; we drank it publicly and with the knowledge of everybody and as a joke. There was no secret about it. We made no effort to keep it secret. None of the girls were in the least bit under the influence of it. The incident caused a good deal of fun and that was all there wasto it. I never heard any remarks made about it until Lemmie Quinn brought it up. Lemmie Quinn, in his talk with me, make it appear that Frank's side were going to make a big scandal out of it and it had the effect of scaring me, because I did not want my name made public in connection with that, unless all the facts were truly shown, and I did not wish the names of the other girls connected with it made public. Lemmie wound up his talk with me by insisting that I should go to see C.W.Burke and at last Lemmie went and phoned somebody and in a few minutes C.W.Burke came to see me. Lemmie set up to drinks and Burke talked to me. Burke wound up the conversation by asking me to come up to the office of Rosser, Brandon, Slaton & Phillips on the 7th floor of the Grant Building. I didn't go. Afterwards Burke met me on the street and again wanted to see about my working for him. The result was that I consented to work for Burke. I was not a stenographer, and could not write on the typewriter. Atak Burke stated that he only wanted me to workeduring the afternoons, and he paid me \$2.00 per day for the afternoons. I worked for him about a week. Burke wanted me to go around and see the girls who had sworm for the State on the trial of Frank, about his general bad character, and he wanted me to talk to them and report to him what they said, and see if they would not change their evidence. He then told me that what I swore on the stand didn't emmunt to anything because I wasn't cross examined, and said it was not recorded. I saw several of the girls among them Helen Fergus on and Carrie Smith, and they told me they would not change their evidence because what they swore was

true. I did not tell them that I was working for Burke, but merely talked it over with them. One day Brke wanted me to see Monteen Stover, and talk to her, and see if I couldn't get her to change her evidence, given on the stand. I did not go to see Monteen Stover. One day Burke told me that he was coming out to my house and going to see my mother and tell her that I was going off with him to work on a street car case, and that he wanted me to go down and live in the house with Monteen Stover and pick her. Burke did come out to my house and saw my mother and tried to get my mother to let me go off to work on the street car case. My mother refused to let me do it, and would not let me go back and work for Burke any more. I met Burke and had my talks with him in the private office of Gov.M John M.Slaton, in the office of Rosser, Brandon, Blaton & Phillips, One day I asked Carrie Smith, a friend of mine, to meet me up at Gov. Slaton's office in the Grant Building. That afternoon, however, I failed to go back to the office because it was raining. Mext day when I saw Burke, he said "I had the best luck yesterday you ever saw. I got Carrie Smith where I want her". I asked Burke after I had seen Carrie Smith and she had told me what had happened, if he had a man by the name of Maddox working for him and Burke said no, and that he didn't know anybody by themname of Maddox. Carrie Smith had told me that she saw this man Maddox in the office at the time she saw Burke, and that Maddox had been to her representing himself to be a magazine man, wanting to write-an article about the Frank case. Burke told me if Carrie didn't give what evidence he wanted, that he had a friend in an assignation house that knew Carrie, and that Carrie came down to this house lots of times, and she always called him up every time Carrie was down there and notified him and said that if Carrie didn't give the evidence he wanted when this girl called up next time he would go down there. I asked Carrie about this and she said she did not know anybody by the mame of Langley, the man Burke said was meeting her at that place, and she did not know anything about any such house, I have known Carrie Smith since she was a baby we were tittle tots tegether. Her reputation is of the very best. She was never guelty of any such thing as Burke suggested and I know it. I did not tell Burke snything 109 but I just listened to what Burke had to may. During the time I was

Burke's office, I would frequently not work over fifteen minutes
He paid me however the \$2.00 a day as he promised. Burke told me
that he took Mrs.Lillie Pettis out to see her sister Miss Nellie
Pettis, who had sworn on the trial of the case of the State vs.
Frank, and that Miss Nellie Pettis had admitted to him and her sister
in-law, Mrs.Lillie Pettis, that what she had sworn to on the hearing
before the Coroner and on the trial of the case of the State vs.
Leo Frank was untrue. I do not know why Burke told me this but he
did.

NELLIE PETTIS, Sworn for the State. It is not true that I ever admitted in the presence of my sister-in-law or anyone else that I had sworn to a falsehood on the trial of the State vs. Leo M.Frank. I have read over my evidene as given on the trial of that case. If Mr. Burke ever told anybody that I had admitted to him that what I had said on the stand was false, that is untrue. Attached hereto is a copy of the questions and answers asked me by Solicitor General and Mr. Rosser. It is absolutely true. It is true that I did not understand the meaning of the word "lasciviousness" when the Solicitor General asked me that question, but I did understand what he meant when he asked me if I was acquainted with the general character of Leo M.Frank with women. I answered that it was bad and I now state that it was bad. I further state that Leo M.Frank insulted me in his office and made to me an indecent proposal which I resented, leaving the office immediately and rejoining my sisterin-law, wha is present at the dictation of this affidavit. I have not made an affidavit for anybody changing the evidence tht I gave at the Coroner's inquest and on the trial of the case, in the Superior Court. After I swore on the trial of the case, sombody came to see me, representing himself to be a newspaper man. I do not remember his name. My father has been missing for a long number of years. This man stated when he first saw me that he was against Frank. He came out again in an automobile and there were two or three men with him. This man said he would find my father and he took out a sheet and put a picture of my father' in a chair and professed to take a picture of my father's picture, and said he would send the picture to me, but he never did. He had an affidavit already written out. He told me that if I would sign a certain paper which he had there that he would find my father. I read the paper myself. It had nothing in it but the truth and the same thing that I listifued

110

before the Coroner's inquest and on the trial of the case. I did not put anything in that paper different from what I swore on the trial. This man was tall and there was some defect in his front teeth.

(Exhibit "A" referred to above is as follows)

MISS NELLIE PETTIE. Sworn for the State in rebuttal.

Direct Examination by Solicitor General.

Q. What is your name? A. Nellie Pettis.

Q. Miss Nellie, do you know Leo M. Frank? A. Yes sir.

Q. How long have you known him? A. Well, I don't exactly know him personally, but I know him when I see him, often enough to know him.

Q. Are you acquainted with his general character prior to April 26th,1913? A. Yes sir.
Q. Wink Was it good or bad? A. Bad.
Q. Bad; are you acquainted with his general character as to lasciviousness, that is with women prior to that time? A. Yes sir.
Q. Is it good or bad? A. Bad.

iousness, that is with women prior to that time? A. Yes sir.

Q. Is it good or bad? A. Bad.

Q. What floor, when did you work over that at the National Pencil
Company? A. I didn't work there, my sister-in-law did

Q. Your sister-in-law did work there, A. Yes sir.

Q. How often were you up there and around there. A. Well, I went
nearly every Saturday, she would stay out and tell them she was sick
on Saturday and I would have to go nearly every one.

Q. You would have to go up? A. Yes sir.

Q. What for, for what purpose? A. To get her money.

Q. To get her money? A. Yes sir.

Q. And who would you see when you went to get her money?

Mr.Rosser; That is immeterial

Mr.Dorsey: It is very material because they have denied
that Mr.Frank ever paid these people.

Mr.Resser: If it is for that, all right.

The witness: I saw Miss Eula May Flowers mostly when I went and
one time - -
Q. Did you or not ever see Mr.Frank with reference to that pay

Q. Did you or not ever see Mr. Frank with reference to that pay A. One time. Q. One time?

というないというない

大は

Q. Who is your sister? A.Mrs.Lou May Pettis

Q. Who im your sister? A.Mrs.Lou May Pettis
Q.Is she working at the factory? A.No she quit just about three
weeks ago, before the murder
Q. Before the murder? A. Yes sir.
Q. Where do you live? A. Nine Oliver Strett.
Q. Where do you work. A. I don't work anywhere, I stay at home and
keep house for my mother.
Q. How long since you worked anywhere? A. Well, I worked last week
with my mother, helping her out.
Q. Where does your mother work? A. 99% Whitehall Street.
Q. What does she do A. Make some paper boxes.
Q. Makes paper boxes for the Atlanta Box Company? A. Yes sir."

MRS. LILLIE PETTIS. Sworn for the State. My sister-in-law, Miss Nellie Pettis, has never stated to me at any time or place that when she swore on the Coroner's inquest or on the trial of the case of the State vs.Leo M/ Frank was untrue. On the other hand, she has always stated to me that it was true. I remember sending my stater-in-law up to see Leo Frank to get my pay and waiting for her at the place she stated in her affidavit. I never told anybody and I never signed any paper to the effect that I did not believe that Lee M.Frank winked at my sister-in-law. I read in the paper

where they said that I had made the statement, but the same is absolutely false. It was published in the paper that Mr. Bass Rosser the city detective got mad when I refused to swear against Leo M. Frank. I positively deny that I ever made any such statement to anybody. Mr. Rosser merely asked me those questions necessary to get at what I knew about Leo M. Frank. I never said to anybody at any time or place that 1 did not believe that mynsister-in-law Nellie Pettis, knew Leo M. Frank.

15TH GROUND.

MARY RICH, Swom for the State. Sometime recently, Mrs.Leo M. Frank and Rabbit David Marx and two other men came to see me to get me to make an affidavit. The affidavit was not true ami I refused to sign it. Mrs.Leo Frank said to me: "If you will sign this affidavit you will take the rope from my husband's neck". I replied I could not tell a lie and to sign that paper would be telling a lie. One man with Mrs.Frank and Rabbi Marx tore off a little piece of paper which was in his hands. This man was C.W.Burke. He said: "You take this paper". I told him I didn't want this piece of paper and he said: "This will not harm you, but you keep this piece of paper and it is just for you so that you will know it when you see it again". I that little piece of paper and kept it. I showed it in a flew minutes afterwards to Mr.F.J.Wellborn, a man that I have known a good long time and who was standing by when these people were talking to me. Afterwards I took the piece ofmpaper and gave it to somebody in the office of Solicitor Hugh M.Dorsey. I have looked at the piece of paper attached to the affidavit signed by F. Wellborn and it looks to me to be about the size and shape of the paper which Burke gave to me. Burke also told me that if I got in trouble that I might know the cause of it. My daughter, Mary Rich read me out of the newspaper a statement to the effect that I had seen Jim Conley on April 26,1913 at about 2;15 P.M. come out of the alley immediately in the rear of the National Pencil Company. This was the first intimation that I had of such a claim, and on April 1,1914, I went voluntarily, without being sent for to the office of the Solicitor General. He not being there, I/voluntarily returned to his office on April 2,1914 and I voluntarily make this affidavit. I dony emphatically that I saw Jim Conley come

after purchasing a dinner from me on the 26th of April, 1913, went back to the aforesaid alley in the direction of the pencil factory. I never told anybody at any time or place that I saw Jim Conley come out of the alley immediately in the rear of the National Pencil Company's factory, or that after purchasing said dinner, said Conley went back to the aforesaid alley in the direction of the pencil factory. I am the only Mark Rich that I ever knew to keep a lunch stand between Forsyth Street and Madison Avenue on Hunter St. My daughter, whose name is also Mary Rich has nothing to do with the stand and did not keep the same for me or have anything to do with it on April 26,1913.

(Attached to the above effidavit is the business card of C.W.Burke)

F.H. WELLBORN, Sworn for the State. I know Mrs. Leo Frank and Rabbi David Marx when I see them. I also know an old negro woman who sells lunches by the name of Mary Rich, she having sold lunches near my place of business. Sometime recently I saw talking to this negro woman, Mary Rich, Mrs. Leo M. Frank, Rabbi David Marx and two other men. I don't know who the other men were. I moved over as near as I could get to these people and stood as close as I could without attracting their attention. I did not hear anything that anybody said, except what Mrs Frank said to Mary Rich and what one of the two unknown men said at the conclusion of the discussion. A great deal was said because they talked to her for about an hours. I reemained there listening about thirty minutes. I heard Mrs Leo M. Franke, among other things say, a to Mrsx Mary Rich, "If you will sign this affidavit, you will take the rope from around my husband's neck." Mary Rich said in reply to that "I could not tell a lie". Mary Rich finally refused to sign the paper, and as they went to leave one of the unknown men said to her tearing off a piece of the paper which was in his hand, "Here keep this paper, and when you get in trouble you may know the cause of it. The piece of paper is hereto attached. I examined this paper carefully and it resembles in every respect the paper torm off by the unknown man on the occasion above set out and left with Mary Rich, and is the same piece out paper there to m off. Mary replied that she

couldn't see how she could get into trouble by telling the truth.

This is only a portion of the conversation I heard. This conversation occurred Monday, April 13,1914.

(Attached is a piece of paper)

JIM CONLEY, Sworn for the State. It is not true that on April 26, 1913, at about 2;15 o'clock P.M. or at any other time on said day, # I come out of the alley immediately in the rear of the National pencil Company factory, nor is it true that I bought a twenty cent dinner nn that day or anything else from Mary Rich. Nor is it true that I returned carrying said dinner in my hand and went back up said alley in the direction of the pencil factory. I was formerly employed at the National Pencil Company and I know Mr. Becker and recall that when he left, I assisted in the removal of his desk and papers from Mr. Becker's office on the fourth floor to the office of Mr. Frank on the second floor. Among the papers of Mr. Becker that were moved were the order blanks whon which the notes written by me found by the body of Mary Phagan were found. These were moved with the desk to Mr. Frank's office. It is also true that I was a fireman in the basement of the factory for about two months; that I run/for about a year and a half going to the basement and that the rest of the time while I was working at the factory I was familiar with the conditions existing in the basement. It is not true that the basement was allowed to have papers accumulated in it or stored in it. The basement was inspected by firemen of the city of Atlanta, and we werem required to keep the basement cleaned of trash and papers. We were compelled to clean up all papers and trash and would burn and put it in front of the furnace and burn it up. The blank upon which I wrote was in Mr. Frank's office on the second floor of the pencil factory." There were no order blanks or books allowed to stay in the factory basement and I saw none and did not write on any found in the basement on April 26,1913. I do not know by name Miss Helen Ferguson. I was not on the second floor of the National Pencil Company factory on the Saturday preceding April 26,1913 and make any statement to her, "Yes, take all the boxes you want, Miss Helen". I did not keep gette ing closer to her, nor did I make any move as though I intended to grab her, nor did she become frightened and run away. My work is on

the fourth floor and I had nothing to do with the boxes on the second floor. On Saturday mornin gs the factory would close at about 11;45 A.M. and I was always busy at my work cleaning up the fourth floor so as to be able to get off promptly at closing hour. This box room is immediately across a small disle from a large working room where about fifteen ladies are at work and it is also within 50 or 60 feet of the office, where the entire office force are and at the other end of the hall within about 50 or 60 feet is the metal department where a number of people work and if I had made such an attack against Miss Ferguson, I would have done it with full knowledge that one scream from her would summon a large number of people. I never head of any such charge against me before and Miss Ferguson never complained about what she says.

14TH GROUND.

C. B. DALTON, Sworn for the State I have just read over the report of my testimony as contained in the brief of evidence approved by Judge Roam in that trial and every word of my evidence is the abp solute truth. That evidence is as follows:

"I know Leo M.Frank, Daisy Hopkins and Jim Conley. I have visited the National Pencil Company, three four, or five times, I have been in the office of Leo M.Frank two or three times. I have been down in the basement. I don't know whether Mr.Frank knew I was in the basement or not, but he knew I was there. I saw Conley there and the night watchman, and he was not Conley. There would be some ladies in Mr.Frank's office. Sometimes there would be two, and sometimes one. Maybe they didn't work in the mornings and they would be there in the evenings.

one.Maybe they didn't work in the mornings and they would be there in the even ings.

CROSS EXAMINATION. I don't recollect the first time I was in Mr.Frank's office. It was last fall. I have been down there one time this year but Mr.Frank wasn't there. It was Saturday evening. I went in there with Mass Daisy Hopkins. They were laddes. Sometimes there would be two and sometimes more. I don't know whether it was the stenographer or not. I don't recollect the next time I saw him in his office. I never saw any gentleman but Mr.Frank in there. Every time I was in Mr.Frank's office was before Christmas. Miss Daisy Hopkins introduced me to him. I saw Conley there one rime this year and several times on Saturday evenings. Mr.Frank wasn't there the last time. Conley was sitting there at the front door, When I went down the ladder Miss Daisy went with me. We went back by the trash pile in the basement. I saw an old cot and a stretcher. I have been in Atlanta for ten years. I have never been away over a week. I saw Mr. Frank about two o'clock in the afternoon There was no curtains drawn in the office. It was very light in there. I went in the first office, near the stairway. The night watchman I speke of was a negro. I saw him about the first of January. I saw a negro night watchman there between September and December. I lived in Walten County for twenty years. I came right here from Walten County once for two or three years, and lived in Lawrenceville. I have welked home from the factory with Miss Lanra Atkins and Miss Smith.

RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION. I gave Jim Conley a half dozen or more quarters. I saw Mr. Frank in his office in the day time. Mr. Frank had coca-cola.lemon and lime and beer in the office. I never saw themladies in his office doing any writing.

REGALLED FOR CROSS EXAMINATION. Andrew Dalton is my first cousin. I am the Dalton that went to the

The state of the state of the state of

いるというない

1. 大人

116

chain gang for stealing in Walton County in 1894. We all pleaded guilty. The others paid out. I don't know how long I served. I stole a shop hammer. That was case No.L. There were three cases and the sentences were concurrent. One of the other Daltons stole a plow and I don't know what the other one stole. I was with them. In1899 at the February Term of Walton Superior Court I was indicted for helping steal a bale of cotton. In Gwinnett County I was prosecuted for stealing eorn, but I came clear.

RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION. It has been 18 or 20 years since I have been in trouble. I was drunk with the two Dalton boys when we got into that hammer and plaw stock scrape.

CROSS EXAMINATION. I don't know whether I was indicted in 1906 kn Walton County for selling liquor. I know Dan Hillman and I know Bob Harris, I don't know whether I was indicted for selling liquor to them or not.

RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION. Miss Daisy Hopkins knows Mr.Frank and I have seen her talking to him and she told me about it."

I have never signed any paper or statement which I know to be a re-About two months ago Ar.C.W.Burke of Atlanta came to see me in Fort Myers, Fla, where I was then working. I have been working for Mr. Heifner, a contractor, for about three years and Mr. Heifner had sent me to Fort Myers, Fla. to superintend his boat business at that place. Mr. Burke told me he wanted to talk with me about the Frank case. He came to the River Side Hotel, where I was staying, but he missed seeing me, because I didnt' want to see him and I stayed out late. He sent a messenger to me with a message as if it was a night telegram. I thought it best to keep Burke from worrying me so I went to see him at the Bradford Hotel. He asked me about how Mr. Dorsey and Stames and Campbell questioned me before the trial and whether they didn't try to make me say things that were not so, and I told him "no" and that they had acted in a perfectly proper way in their questions to me. Burke then asked me to sign a paper to go before the pardon board then in session in Atlanta. He wanted me to sign the paper to help get the pard on board to keep Frank from hanging. He offered to give me \$100. if I would sign the paper. This paper was in hard writing. I didn't sign the paper. The next day he came out to Frogtown, near Fort Myers, where I was working. He had a typewritten paper which he wanted me to sign, because he was leaving for Atlanta right away . He said it was the same as the paper he had showed me lamb the night before. He read a part of it to me. The part he read din't say anything about taking back evidence or about my having said anything that wasn't true at the trial. I finally signed the paper which he had. He told me to come up to his hotel that night and he would give me the money. I went to the hotel that night, but he had gone. I maked if he had left ' and if he had

anything for me and was told he had not. Burke had told me also that he would give me a pass from Jacksonville to Atlanta to use anytime soon. Burke did not leave either the \$100. or the pass. The only other time I have been offered any money in connection with the Frank case was in Dublin, Ga. just a short time after the trial last year. I went to Dublin with Mr. Heifner to do some work on a bank which he was working on there. When I got off the train at Dublin there were two Jews standing there talking. I heard one of them say to the other: "There's that fellow Datton that swore in the Frank case". They came over and talked to me. They said they know me by my picture. That night I met both of them on the corner and asked me why I didn't leave the state and gtt out of the Frank case because I could make some money out of it. I told them I had not done anything to leave for. One of them said "Dalton you can make \$400. if you will leave the State." I still told them I didn't want to go away. I saw them two or three times after that. The same big fat one said "We will give you \$400 if you will leave the State for we are going to get Frank a new trial." I refused each time. I told Mr.Heifner what they had said and he said to me: "You haven't done anything to leave for. You have told just the truth and you are not going to leave." I never found out who they were. I received a letter from Mr. Dorsey saying that he wanted me to come to Atlanta and that he would pay my railroad fare if I would come on the next train. In addition to my testimony in the court at the Frank trial, I have on my several visits to Leo M. Frank's office seen Frank with girls in his office, and I have seen Frank play with them, hug them and kiss and pinch them. I do not know who any of the girls were. I saw Frank on two or three occasions take a girl and go to the book of the/whe re the dressing room is. On one occasion Frank had six bottles of beer and I carried three more bottles to his office. I was with Daisy Hopkins when she telephoned first to Frank's office and told him that she wanted to borrow some money. She said "I have got a friend with me now" and she was told to come right over and bring her frient We went right away. There I saw her when she borrowed with her. the \$3.00 from Frank. In regard to the cot an the basement, I know 117 that Leo M. Frank knew about it . He was talking to the girls and

I said something about having to pay room rent and he spoke up and said it wasn't necessary tompay room rent because they had a cot in the basement. I used this cot with Daisy Hopkins half a dozen times.

J. N. STARNES, Sworn for the State. I have read the affidavit elleged to have been made by C.B.Dalton and introduced in the extraordinary motion for new trial in the case of the State vs. Frank. In sofar as the affidavit refers to me, in stating that I had coerced or otherwise unduly influenced said Dalton in the giving of his evidence, it is absolutely false. I have never in any way sought to threaten or influence him in any way, and to the best of my knowledge and felief the evidence of said Dalton at the trial of Leo M. Frank was given freely and voluntarily and without coercion and influence of anyone else at all. PAT CAMPBELL, Sworn for the State. I have read the affidavit alleged to have been made by C.B.Dalton and introduced in the extraordinary motion for new trial. Insofar as that affidavit refers to me, in stating that I coerced or otherwise unduly influenced said Dalton in the giving of his evidence at the trial, it is absolutely false. I have never in any way sought to threaten or influence him in any way, and to the best of my knowledge and belief the evidence of said Dalton at the trial of Leo M.Frank was given freely and voluntarily and without coercion ar influence of anyone else at all.

144 GROUND

PHILIP CHAMBERS. Swo m for the State. I worked at the National Pencil Company on Forsyth Street under Leo M.Frank as superintendent, as office boy from the 20th of December, 1912, until about a week before J.M.Gantt left the Mational Pencil Company's place of business.

I think it was about three weeks before Mary Phagan was killed when Mr.Gantt quit. I knew H.F.Becker. He was the master mechanic of the National Pencil Company. He had his office on the top floor. Before I went into the office as office boy in 1912, I worked up on the top floor in the paint department for about a year. Mr. Becker quit the pencil company pretty seen after I went down to the office. I was present in the office when Becker's desk was brought down from the fourth floor and placed in Frank's office.

Frank used the same for his desk because Becker's desk was larger than the desk that Frank was using. Erank moved the desk which he was using over in the other corner, so that Darley could use that. All of the books and papers and everything that was in the Becker desk was taken out of the same in the office next to Frank's office where the supplies were kept. Among other papers taken off of Becker's desk when that desk was placed in Frank's office were old order blank books. There were approximately a dozen. Attached hereto and marked Exhibit "A" and made a part hereof is a book which was exactly like these books taken out of Becker's desk, except that nearly all of the books like this were already used, that is, Becker had written on most of them and kept the carbon copies. These used carbon cony order blank books remained there in that office up until the time I left. In the course of my daties I had frequently to go down into the basement in the factory and I know that no trash, books or papers were ever left down there in that basement floor any length of time, but same were always burned up. The practice was to take the trash down in little push carts, put it right in front of the furm ce and burn it up. I never did see any of these order blank books among it, After Becker left, all of these order blanks were handled by Frank and when he would use up abook he would always turn it over to me to file, and I always filed these books in the outer office in the pigeon holes close to the place where all of Becker's old order books were kept. The order books which I helped to take off of Becker's desk were loose. They were not them tied up.

(Accompanying this effidavit is a new, unused book of order blanks, with white and yellow sheets alternating). The date line on said order blanks is as follows: "Atlanta, Ga. 191")

JHM. GANTT, Swern for the State. I was present at the dictation of the affidavit this day made by Philip Chambers, and where it refers to me is true. I know Leo M. Frank and know that he personally knew Mary Phagan and know that he called her by the name "Mary" I was present in the office when the desk used by Becker on the fourth floor was moved down into Frank's office. Frank set aside his desk and put it ever in a corner of his office and used as his own desk, from the time Becker left until the time I left, the desk which Becker had previously been using. I helped Chambers take

119

the papers in Becker's desk out and Chambers and myself placed all these papers in the office next to Frank's private office. These papers were within ten feet of Frank's desk. Among other papers were quite a number of order blank books, similar to the one which is attached as Ethibit "A" to Chambers' affidavit . These carbon copy order blank books wemained in the place where they were placed by Chambers and myselffrom the time Backer left until the time I left there. All the time I was there, the only man who ever had flow other than bracke was frank. The books used by Leo M.Frank were always filed in the office adjoining the office occupied by Brank. I was frequently down in the basement. At no time did I ever see any scratch paper pads or blank order books in the basement anywhere. The uniform custom and practice was to take daily the trash on the elevator down into the basement and have it immediately burned in the furgace of never did see any scratch pads or order blank books sent down there. During Christmas week, an inventory was taken, and a general cleaning up was had. Frank personally requested me at that time to go down into the basement and see that the trash swept up and carried down into the basement was butned up. I did that. In the trash taken down into the basement at this time there was not one single order blank book, as far as I knew or could see. All of the trash moved down into the basement at the general cleaning up, Christmas, 1912, was burned up as per Cotam die that are the Broken books rank's instructions taken out sword for the State . I am a photographer. I have

general

charge of the finishing department of the A.K.Hawkes Company. Some time immediately after the murder of Mary Phagan, Hugh M.Dorsey, the Solicitor General brought the original notes to me for the purpose of having same photographed. Attached hereto and marked Exhibit "A# is one of the first photographs which I made of the order blank note. At Mr.Dorsey's request, I sent to the Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, N.Y. and secured what is known as a color plate, the purpose being to develope, as far as possible, the number. Attached hereto and marked Exhibit "B" is the photograph produced by me by the use of said color plate. Furthermore by the use of this color plate and lenses and powerful magnifying glasses, which I had examined as carefully as I could, the original note and the

photographs made thereof. From an examination of said note and the photographs made by the use of the color plate, in my opinion the number of the order blank note is "1818" Mr.H.A.Alexander, an attorney at law, in this city, showed to me the photograph of this note attached to a pamphlet which he has been distributing with reference to the Frank case, with reference to the notes found by the body of Mary Phagan. It is evident that this is a photograph of the original note, or of a photograph of the one which has been touched up in certain places. Mr.Alexander himself admitted to me that he had the engraving company to touch up the same in certain places. He said that this touching up was done for the purpose of eliminating the dirty background, and to bring out the writing more clearly.

(Exhibit "A" attached to this affidavit is a photographic copy of one of the original notes found by the body of Mary Phagan, which was written on an order blank. The order number is very indistinct on this. Exhibit "B" is a photographic copy of the same note withe order number brought cut more clearly, Exhibit B being an exact dopy of the State's exhibit Z, appearing on page 252 of the Brief of the Evidence, filed in the Supreme Court.)

HENRY A. ALEXANDER. Sworn for the State. The engravers plates used in the printing of the pictures of the two murder notes in my pamphlets distributed to the public in February and March, 1914, were the identical plates without alteration, change or retouching of sny kind whatsoever that were used in the printing of the same notes in the printed copy of the brief of evidence of said case filed in the Supreme Court of Georgia and accepted ascorrect pictures of said notes both by the defense and by the State. The pinking picture of the yellow note on which the order number in question was shown appeared in said brief of evidence on page 253 of said printed brief. Said brief of Evidence was printed by the Foote & Davies Company, of Atlanta, Ga. prior to December 15, 1913, when it was delivered, and the plate of said yellow murder note was made by the Southern Engraving Company some time prior to that date. I had nothing whatever to to with the making of the photographis of of the plate of said yellow murder note. The pamphlet referred to was written and distributed in the months of February and March, 1914, a month and a half after said plates had been made. It was also printed by the Foote & Davies Company, and I simply got permission of the attorneys for the defensen to use

121

そうけんでいた おのはいけん はないとしてあれてい

said plate which had remained in the possession of Foote & Davies and was still in their possession. I neither admitted or stated to R.A.DeVore or to any other person that I had had the engraving company to touch up said plate in certain places, nor did I state to said DeVore or to anyone else that this touching up was done to eliminate the dirty background or to bring out the writing more elearly or for any other purpose. At the time said pamphlet was printed, the question of Becker's signature and the invoice number had not been suggested.

H. W. OATTIS, Sworn for the State. I am connected with the fire department of the city of Atlanta in the capacity of fire inspector and have bean serving in this capacity as fire inspector since February 1,1910. I am familiar with the building on South Forsyth Street, partially occupied by the National Pencil Company. It was my duty to make frequent inspections of this building from time to time to see that those in charge complied with the ordinances and regulations as to fire risks and hazards . I frequently did make, from time to time such inspections, including the basement of this building, owing to the fact that this building was an old one, and in this basement was a furnace. I am personally acquainted with Leo M.Frank and also with Mr.Darley, both of whom were connected with the National Pencil Company. From time to time I called their attention to the fact that they were failing to comply with the city ordinances and especially as to accumulation of trash and paper and other combustible material and I have personally heard both Mr. Frank and Mr. Darley give specific directions to their negro employees to clean up this train and to keep it cleaned up. I have compelled the officials, including Mr. Frank and Mr. Darley, through their employees to clean up the basement of the factory in compliance with the ordinance of the City of Atlanta, Code section 1099. That fursuing the terms the said ordinance, I did inspect from time to time the basement of the National Pencil Factory during the period prior to April 26,1913, and from the date indicated, that is from and about February 1, 1910, regularly and continuously up to April 26,1913 and since that date. Mr. Frank took up with me the matter of being allowed to place empty boxes for shipping, temperarily in the basement, which was allowed, provided

these boxes would only remain a short time to go out as they were needed in shipping and to be so arranged and stacked regularly as not to prevent the cleaning of the basement of paper, trash or other combustible material. I can be positive that the basement of the National Peneil Factory was cleaned of trash, paper and other combustible material between January 1, 1913, and April 26,1913, and I can also be positive that the basement of the factory was not allowed to have stored therein papers. I have examined the order book marked Exhibit A of the National Peneil Factory attached to the affidavit of Mr. Phillip Chambers attached April 20,1914, and I can say to the best of my knowledge and belief that I never saw any such books or similar paper material in the basement of the National Pencil Factory, and if I had so seen same, or any other paper located therein, I would have ordered it removed at once.

The following is a certified copy of City Ordinance, Section 1099, wall referred to in the above affidavit.)

"CODE OF THE CITY OF ATLANTA,
Page 311, Section 1099.
Sec.1099. Combustible matter in Building, Cellars, or Yards to be
removed. . . Inspection by Chief of Fire Department -- No person
shall be permitted to place and let remain in any box, barrell or
otherwise, in any building, cellar, street, alley-way, or yard within the fire limits, longer than six hours, any loose straw, hay,
paper or other combustible matter; and all owners or occupants of
buildings or cellars within said limits are hereby required to
permit the chief of the fire department, or any member thereof
designated by him, or any officer or member of the police force, to
inspect their buildings, cellars and premises, to see if this ordinance is being complied with. And it is hereby made the duty of
the Chief of the Fire Department, and of the police force to make
such inspection.
STATE OF GEORGIA,
COUNTY OF FULTON,

the Chief of the Fire Department, and of the police force to make such inspection.

STATE OF GEORGIA.

COUNTY OF FULTON,

CITY OF ATLANTA.

I, Walter Taylor, Clerk of Council of the City of Atlanta, a municipal corporation, in said County, under the laws of said State, do hereby certify that I am the Clerk of Council of the City of Atlanta as aforesaid, and that as such I have in my custody and care the laws, ordinances and records of legislation of the said City of Atlanta, including the Code of the City of Atlanta, in which are codified the ordinances of the City of Atlanta, in force; that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of section 1099 of the Code of Atlanta of 1910, as same appears of record and file in my office as such Clerk of Council. In witness whereof I have hereunto set my official hand and seal this the twenty third day of April, 1914. Walter Taylor, Clerk of Council of the City of Atlanta." (Seal)

15TH GROUND

IVI JONES. Sworn for the State. Since I was sworn on the trial of the case of the State vs. Leo M.Frank, I have not made an affidevit or signed a paper for anybody. I haven't made a statement to anybody changing in the least bit, the evidence that I gave on the trial. The evidence I gave on the trial is absolutely true. I have not signed any paper or fautherized any one for the signed any paper or fautherized any one for the signed any paper or fautherized any one for the signed and paper or fautherized any one for the signed and paper or fautherized any one for the signed and signed any paper or fautherized any one for the signed and signed any paper or fautherized any one for the signed and si

swore on the trial of the case of the State vs. Leo M.Frank as

follows: "That on Saturday, April 26,1913, I saw Jim Conley at the corner of Forsyth and Hunter Sts. I met him there at a salcon. I was in there when Conley came in, and we went on up Forsyth St. to Mitchell, and out Mitchell St. to Mangum and from Mangum to W.Hunter and on down to Davis St. and we met some other fellows and we stopped and talked with them a few minutes, and I goes on home. I was going to the ball game. I met Jim Conley first at the corner of Forsyth and Hunter St. between 1 and 2 o'clock. I can't be more accurate than that because I didn't pay any more attention I know I got off after one o'clock from my work. Jim Conley wasn't drunk when I saw him. The place where I saw Jim Conley is on the corner right in the next block to the National Pencil Company's place ofmbusiness, the opposite corner from the block where the pencil company's plant is located. The way Jim Conley and I went was towards Jim Conley's house. I don't exactly the time I left Jim at the corner of Hunter and Davis Sts, but it was somewhere I guess after 2 o'cloc. I gave Jim a glass of beer, each one of us had some."

I have been knowing Jim Conley about three or four years. I have been shown on this Tuesday, April 28, 1914, in the office of Hugh M. Dorsey, Solicitor General, and affidavit which purports to have been sewar to by me on the 6th day of February, 1914, before C.W. Burke, a Notary Rublic, for Fulton County, Georgia. The signature as attached to this paper is a forgery. Some parts of said affidevit however, are true, and some parts are false. The affidavit is false when it says that I was not joined on the way by anyone and is false when it says that I did not meet anyone I knew until I reached Davis St. The truth as to what really occurred was told by me on the stand. I do not know C.W.Burke. Sometime about the first of the year, two men come to see me up there at my work, and they asked me did I see a fellow get hurt in the Central Railroad. They told me it was a fellow by the name of George Brown and I told them I did not know anything about George Brown or any other fellow getting hurt at the Central Railroad. They said for me to sign a paper they had which would release me from coming to court. The paper which I signed had hig letters at the top, like a grocery store heading and it wasn't a long piece of paper like the affidavit which I have just seen and read which C.W. Burke claims I signed. I signed that paper with a pencil. I have just gone downstairs to the sidewalk and standing in front of the Al Bronx Saloon I saw the little fellow who get me to sign this paper. Mr. Starnes accompanied me down there and he told me the man I saw down there was Simmie Wrenn. EUGENE PERRY ("BUDDY BROOKS") Sworn for the State. I have known lvy Jones for about six years. I have also known Jim Conley ever since he was a baby. On April 26,1913, I left my place of business

大大ないと

between 12 12:30 and 1 o'clock. After going home I stated back to town to go to the ball game. I met Ivy Jones and Jim Conley at the corner of Hunter and Haynes Streets at 2;15 p.m. and had a conversation with them. I told them I was going to the ball game. Ivy Jones said "Well wait for me over on Peters St. and I'll go with you. Then I left them. I didn't go by way of Peters Street then but went over to the house of Major Caldwell on Nelson Street and went with him to the ball game. I didn't see Ivy Jones or Jim Conley any more that day. About three weeks ago a rather small, young, clean-shaven fellow came to see me twice,. The first time he came he said he wanted to ask me about a railroad accident. I said I didn't know anything about any railroad accident. He said he wanted to find out who I met that day, on April 26,1913. I told him I met Ivy Jones and Jim Conley. I told him how I had met Jones and Conley on Refere Street that day and that was the only time I saw them. A little over a week after that, the same young fellow who had talked to me before came again. He handed me a paper. The paper said that me and Caldwell went out to the baseball game on April 26,1913. It said that/Ivy Jones on the corner of Hunter and Haynes Streets along about 3 o(clock, and I said "No, it was 2;15. o'clock. You ain't got Jim Conley's name there and I told you I met both of them, Conley and Jones." He took a pencil and put Jim Conley's name on the paper and expensions he put 2;15 instead of 3 o'clock. He handed me the paper and a pencil and said to sign it. He said he was going to have me subpoensed to the Superior Court if I didn't sign it and I said I didn't care for I didn't want to sign.it. I haver signed any paper for him at all.

JAMES H. WAITS, Sworn for the State. On or about May 31,1915, I was with my wife, Mrs. Hattie Waits, on a train returning from Savannah where we had been attending the Odd Fellows Convention. Somewhere near Jessup, Ga. I purchased a newspaper carrying the confession of James Conley., in which he stated that he met Leo M. Frank at the corner of S. Forsyth and Nelson Sts. on April 25,1913. My wife immediately stated that she must have been Frank and Conley at this place. In some way the detectives learned what Mrs. Waits knew and called to see us.

MRS. HATTIE WAITS, Sworn for the State. My husband I were living at No.28 Market werkham Street in the odty of Atlanta, oh April 26,

19

a sail to mysel "that a sent thin heing so elector so ment to

1913. On Saturday morning, April 26,1913, I wanted to go to town to get my niece, who lives with me, some slippers. I always go to town across the Nelson St. bridge. As I reached Nelson and Forsyth Sts. I saw a negro and a white man standing on this corner talking together. The negro had his face turned towards The white man at first had his back to me, and I thought at first the white man was a gentleman I knew. As I got even with them the white man stepped back and, I turned and looked at him and saw that he was not the gentleman that I thought he was I recognized this white man as one whom I had seen several times prior to this date. I have seen him several times on Forsyth St. I have since seen the negro and this negro was Jim Conley. I have seen a number of pictures of Leo M. Frank and Lea M. Frank is the same person I saw talking to Jim Conley. To the best of my knowledge it was between 10 and 11 o'clock. I saw Mr.Frank at the trial and recognized him as the man I saw standing on the corner talking to the negro. I was unwilling to make this affidavit until I first went in person and looked at Conley himself. We went to the county jail today and saw Conley and I found that he was the negro that I saw talking to Mr.Frank, between 10 and 110 clock on April 26,1913 on the Northwest corner of South Forsyth St.

S.L. MORRIS. Sworn for the State. I am personally acquainted with Mrs.J.M.Waits. I have known her well for a short period. I am acquainted with her general character and reputation. It is good.

O. H. PUCKETT. Sworn for the State. I am personally acquainted with Mrs.J.M.Waits. I have known her for ten years. I am acquainted her with/general character and reputation. It is good. I would believe her on oath.

16TH GROUND.

HELEN FERGUSON. Sworn for the State. Since the Brank trial last summer, in which I have testimony, two attempts have been made either to get me to leave the city or change my testimony— the first by money and the second by having a young man make love to me and offering to marry me. Shortly after the trial I left home one morning to go to my work and on a street corner a young man who was a Jew came up to me and said he would give me \$100 and pay my board and all expenses if I would leave Atlanta, as Frank was going to get a new trial sometime soon. I refused and turned and walked and sometime soon. I refused and turned and walked and sometime soon.

126

A.

The second attempt occurred in December, during the two weeks just before Christmas. When I left my work at the Clark Woodenware Company one efternoon, in December, I was walking down the street with another girl when a young man, whom I afterwards found out to be Jimmy Wren stepped up to us and said "Howdy doMiss Ferguson." I told him I didn't remember him and he said: "This is Mr. Howard, don't you remember when you worked at Blocks? I worked there too and wanted to meet you then but I didn't have a chance."That was the way I met him. He took me to the show a night or two later and was mighty nice towl hadn't known him more than two days, before he began to make love to me. A mightxerximeximex; few days after I met him we were walking uptown together, when we met a big heavy fellow, whom I have since found out was Mr.C.W.Burke, the detective. Jimmy Wren, or Howard, as he called himself at that time, stopped and introduced me to him as his father. We talked for several minutes. He said some swful things about the police. He said something about wanting me to make a new statement in the Frank case, and I said "No sir" As we turned to leave he said to Mr. Howard "Bring her up to the office tonight I want to talk to her anyhow." That night Mr. Howard came to the Clark Woodenware Company at 9 o'clock when I got off to take me to town to see a show, Jimmy was making real love to me that night, said he wanted me to marry him, but he kept on talking about wanting me to sign an affidavit about the Frank case first. He took me up to the Grant Building and there in an office his "father" was waiting for us. They asked me again to change my statement in the Frank case , But I said that I had told the truth and wasn't going to change it, and Jimmy said "Well, I'd hate to be the main one to put the tope around Frank's neck, and I said I couldn't help it, since I had only told the truth. While we were talking about the Frank case in this way, I happened to tell them that I was afraid of Jim Conley. They then dropped the talk about my evidence and fixed up an affidavit for me to sign about Conley, and I signed it in order to satisfy them. I have never seem him but once since that night. I found out a few days later that his real name was Jimmy Wren and not Howard. It was several weeks later before I found out that his "father" was the detective C.W. Burke. A newspaper reporter came out to see me about my affidavit

127

and described Burke to me and the description made it plain that he was none other than "father".

H. I. QUINN. Sworn for the State. I am personally acquainted with Miss Helen Ferguson. I have known her for the last twelve months.

I am acquainted with her general character and reputation. That character and reputation is good and I would believe her in a court of justice or anywhere else.

415

17TH GROUND.

ness on the trial of the State vs. Leo M.Frank. My evidence/is as

follows: "I worked at the National Pencil Company. I was hurt there in the metal department. I was cut on my foregim-finger on the left hand. That is the cut right around there (indicating) It never cut off any ofmy fingers. I went to the office to have it dressed. It was bleeding pretty freely. A few drops of blood dropped on the floor at the machine where I was hurt. The blood did not drop anywhere else except at that machine. None of it dropped near the ladies dressing room, or the water cooler. I had a large piece of cotton wrapped around my finger. When I was first cut I just slapped a piece of cotton waste on my hand. CROSS EXAMINATION. "I never saw any blood anywhere except at the machine. I went from the office to the Atlanta Hospital to have my finger attended to."

Rhat statement is the truth. The reason I changed my evidence was because C.W.Burke first came to see me. My brother-inlaw, Mell Arnold, came one day where I was working and told me that a man by the name of L.P. Eubanks wanted to see me that night at his (Bubank's) house Mr.Burke was there and met me with Eubanks, that being the second time I had seen Burke. Burke went ahead and wrote out a paper and had me sign it. That paper was false. Burke did not pay me anything, but promised me that he would get me a job paying me \$15.00 a week until he got me a job working with the Southern Railroad again, if I would sign the affidavit. I borrowed \$5.00 from Exhanks of L.P. Eubanks before I made this statement and Eubanks told me if I wanted money I could get it from him and he would take my notes for it. Sometime about a year ago myself, L.P. Eubanks, Mell Arnold and B.C.Duffy (my father) were a rrested for alleged car breaking. Mubanks is the man who swore against the crowd and secured the indictments. At that time t.W.Burke was the special agent of the Southern Railroad and had charge of the prosecution. It was through Burke that Eubanks' case and the cases against the other men mentioned was nolprossed. Eubanks, in this prosecution, was Burk's head witness in all these casess . A fellow by the name of Fritz Lynn and Jim Wren took me Thursday night, April 30 to my mother-in-law's house

at332 Barnett Street. This was sometime before 12 o'clock. I could not get in the house and took astreet car to my own home. When I got home I saw Jim Wren and Lynn in an automobile in front of my house. I whistled and they came up to where I was. I told them I could not get in my mother-in-law's house. Then they put me in the automobile and after driving around town a little bit and giving me supper, etc. they then took me to Austell, Ga. All the sleeping I did that night was done in the automobile. The automobile was stopped on the side of the road and all of us went to knews sleep. The next morning we spent in Austell. Wren and I stayed in Austell and Lynn came back. Lynn is the man-who drives the meutomobile for C.W.Burke and Jim Wren is working on the case for C.W.Burke bore all expenses. Last night, May 1st, Lynn, came to flustell and brought me back to Atlanta to the Capital City Chair Company on Marietta St. That was around 9 o'clock. There were a number of people gathered there, all of whom I took to be Jews, about eight or ten in all. They asked me all about my connection with the case. I told them all about it, and told them that Burke had promised to keep me out of jail if I would sign the affidavit which I had signed for Burke, and to get me out of any trouble of any kind in which I got. The other day, when Mr.N.A.Garner came out to ask me to come to Mr. Dorsey's office, I told him I would come if I could, but I did not come. Afterwards when Mr.Garner came to serve me with a subpeona I saw him and dodged.

M. A. GARNER, Sworm for the State. I went to the Southern Railroad Yards at the lower end of Decatur Street today about one o'clock to look for J.E. Duffy in order to subpoens him to go before an official court stemographer to make a statement for the hearing of an extraordinary motion for new trial in the Leo M. Frank case, or to get him to sign an affidavit which I had written out. The first time I saw him at this time was when he came out of a little shanty in the railroad yards and started walking fast toward some box cars. As soon as I saw him I started running after him but before I could get over to the shanty, he was out of sight, there being many box

my finding him or seeing which way he went. As soon as I got over to the shanty, though I saw Mr.L.P. Rubanks, who told me that he had

been talking with Duffy just a moment before and Buffy had said:
"Yonder's a fellow I don't want to see." Eubanks asked him "Who
is it?" Duffy Replied, so Eubanks told me: "Newt Garner, and Duffy
replied "I'm going' to beat it," and immediately left. For three

quarters of an hour I searched around among the box cars looking into many empty box cars, impikt hoping to find Duffy, but finally left without finding him.

ROBERT L. WAGGONER, J. H. DOYAL, Swom for the State. We are detectives of the city police department of the city of Atlanta. We are acquainted with J.E.Duffy. Several months ago we saw Mr.Duffy in the office of the detective department. We do not know whether or not he came to the office upon his own initiative, or whether or not someone requested himmto come. The first time we saw him was when he was there and talking about what he knew about his hand getting out at the National Pencil Company. Mr. Duffy stated that he had heard that at had been stated that the blood found on the factory floor possibly came from his finger when it was cut. He stated that this was not true, that it could not have been possible. He stated as his reason the fact that he had some waste in his hand at the time his finger on his other hand was cut and that he caught his finger at once in his other hand with the waste around it and held it tight catching whatever blood came from his hand in the waste and holding his finger tight with the waste so as to impede the flow of blood, and that holding his hand in this way he went immediately out of the room and on into Mr.Frank's office. B. E. DUFFY, Sworn for the State I am the father of J.E. Duffy. About one months ago I was wanking walking home from the Southern Railroad yards with L.P. Eubanks and L.P. Eubanks said to me: "We

N. A. GARNER.S. L. ROSSER. Swom for the State. We know J.E.

Duffy and together with we talked with Mr Duffy, at the office of
the Solicitor General, a short time after the murder of Mary Phagan
and Mr. Duffy told us that when his finger was out at the National
Penoil Company, that he had some waste and that he immediately
wrapped it around his finger and held the waste and that injured

are ketting your son, J.E. Duffy, have money, and we are taking his notes for it, but that don't make any difference, He will not have

to pay it back."

これれ いるいちょうとかって

finger in his uninjured hand and that he was absolutely sure that no blood could have escaped to the floor; that he immediately went to the door of Mr.Frank's office and from there to some hospital.

We were at the timeof this statement discussing as to how the blood spots had gotten on the floor of the factory, and the fact that it had been suggested by someone that the injury of Mr.Duffy's hand was probably the source of the blood. This Mr.Duffy denied. We talked with Mr.Duffy on the evening of April 23,1914, at his home in Atlanta and Mr.Duffy then stated that Mr.Dorsey, the solicitor General did O.K. has subpoena, so that he could draw his compensation as a witness allowed by law, and that after the solicitor approved his subpoena that he(Mr.Duffy) went to the County Treasurer and got the money, about \$7.60.

H. L. CULEERSON. Sworn for the State. I am Treasurer of Fulton County, Georgia, and was during the year 1913; The record in my office show that on August, 19,1913, I paid to one J.R. Duffy the sum of \$7.60, same having been paid him on a witness subpoena approved by the Solpitor General for two days' service as a witness and an affidavit mann sworn to by the said J.E.Duffy for \$3.60 mileage. The approved weitness in the said J.E.Duffy for \$3.60 mileage.

MELL ARNOLD. Swom for the State. I was indicted in the Superior Court of Fulton County, on evidence produced by C.W.Burke, who was them special agent on the Southern Railway. There was absolutely no truth in the charges against me. Burke's main witness was one L.P.Eubanks I lest my job with the Southern Railway on account of this indictment. C.W.Burke was helping me, since he left the Southern Railway to get my position back. While Burke was helping me to get my job back, one day he asked me to see my brother-in-law, J.E.Duffy and to ask him to go to the residence of L.P.Eubanks on Alexander Street. I went to J.E.Duffeyand told him to go to Eubanks' house.

I am not positive whether I told Duffey that C.W.Burke would be there at Eubanks' house or not. After I went to Duffey and requested him to go to Eubanks' house I was present when Duffy executed a paper for Burke. Burke and Eubanks however both knew that I was going to be present when they interviewed Duffy. After this occasion

, I got my job back on the Southern. Fritz Lyn was also present when Duffy made this statement for Burke. I do not know myself about any money being paid. I had been promised the job by the Master Mechanic of the Southern Railway and Eubanks before the Duffy statement. I do not know whether it was the next week or the next month, but semetime soon after this I went back to work for the Southern Railway.

J. L. MOORE, Sworn for the State. I know Mrs.M.Jaffe, wife of the optician who did run an optical business on Whitehall Street between Mitchell and Hunter Streets. I was in the place of business of M. Jaffe several times during the month of May, 1913, immediately following the murder of Mary Phagan on April 26,1913, and from time to time I discussed with Mrs. Jaffe the Frank case, either while he(Mr. Jaffe) was actually at work upon my eye-glasses or waiting on someone in his shop, or while I waited for the return of her husband. Knowing me as a customer, she discussed with me the Phagan murder and the connection therewith of Leo M.Frank, who about this time was indicted by the grand jury of Fulton Superior Court. She discussed with me in detail a great deal of the evidence, and particularly the evidence of James Conley and endeavored to have me say that I considered Leo M.Frank innocent. At the time did she ever intimate or suggest in any of these conversations that she had ever seen Frank on the Street on the day in question or at any other time.

H. H. ORR. Sworn for the State. I am personally acquainted with Mrs.M.Jaffe. I have known her for two or three years. I am personally acquainted with her general character and reputation. That general character is bad. I could not believe her on oath.

3RD AMENDMENT.

B. S. SMITH. Sworn for the State. I was serving Hugh M.Dorsey,
Selicitor General, in the capacity of stenographer, and as such took
down the questions propounded by Hugh M.Dorsey to Mrs. Mand Bailey,
hereto attached and marked Exhibit TA.. This stenographic report is
a full, true and correct report of the questions asked and the answers
given by the said Mrs. Mand Bailey. This papers was taken on May 14,
1913, in the office of the Solicitor General in the Thrower Building
in the presence of Mr. Plennie Miner, Mr. Bass Rosser, Mr. Dorsey the
Solicitor and the paper was written by me and signed in my preseme

134

by Mrs. Maud Bailsy.

(The following is exhibit "A" referred to above.)

"Statement of Mrs Maude Bailey, 253 Humphries St., Atlanta, in reference to the murder of Mary Phagan, Atlanta, April 26, 1913, in the presence of Mr. Dorsey, Mr. Minor, detective Rosser and B.S. Smith. Atlanta, Ga., May 14,1913.

Questioned by Mr. Dorsey. Q. What business is your husband in? A. Meat cutter, on Gordon St. and Nembitt.

q. What business is your husband in? A. Meat cutter, on Gordon St. and Nembitt.
Q. Where did you say you lived? A. I live at 253 Humphries St.
Q. Where did you live at that time? Q. I lived in a whole lot of laces, Acworth St., Greensferry Ave., etc.
Q. You used to work at the National Pencil Co? A. Yes sir, one year ago.
Q. Did you know Mr. Frank? A. Yes sir.
Q. What kind of man is Mr. Frank; what is his reputation. Q.
A. He has always treated me mighty nice.
Q. What is his reputation, what did other people say at the time,
A. Some say mighty nice things, some say not.
Q. Those that say he is not nice, in what way did they say he is not nice. A. They seemed to say he is too fast around the girls.
Q. That is his reputation, being a bad man after the women? A. Yes sir.
Q. What did you ever hear any of them say about his undue familiar—ity with the girls, or what was your experience yourself? A. I heard a right smart of them say he got too fresh around the girls.
Q. How manyM A. A whole lot of them.
Q. Would not you name a few? A. I could not.
Q. I just want a few name names. A. Well, my mother.
Q. What is her name? A. Mrs. Mae Barrett.
Q. What did you hear your mother say? A. Mama sais Mr. Frank was not running the place right; that he was too fast around the girls,
I think so myself.
Q. What did you ever see him do? A. I never paid any attention to him.
Q. What are some of the things you say him do? A. Transaction to him.

Q. What did you ever see him do? A. I never paid any attention to him. Q. What are some of the things you saw him do? A. I never saw him do anything, but he said he did not want old ladies to work there,

wanted young girls.

八大大品

و المعرود و المالية المالية

Q. Were you present when a woman gave birth to a child? A. I was up there when a girl miscarried.
Q. Who was that? A. Viola Franklin, and there was another one miscarried there, but I dont remember her name.
Q. What did Mr. Frank say when that girl miscarried that you know about? A. He never done anything; let her stay in the dressing room until she was able; she stayed there about two or three hours. They never said anything about it; she worked there about three months after that.

months after that.
Q. Did he get excited or get a Doctor? A. Certainly.
Q. Was there any talk at that time as to who was the father of the cild? A. I dont thank there we anyone at the factory was.
Q. Well, the other one, did Mr. Frank pay any attention to that?

Q. Well, the other one, did Mr.Frank pay any attention to that?
A. It seems he did.
Q. Who was she? A. I dont remember.
Q. Opie Dickson or Mattie Smith? A. One of them.
Q. Are you sure it was one or the other of them? A. Yes.
Q. What did he do this time, did he seem very anxious? A. Just got a doctor to her there; came up several times to girls there.
Q. What Doctor? A. I dont know what doctor; the ambulance came once to one of the girls, Maybelle Reed; She was laid out on the table; I thought she was dead.
Q. Was that in connection with child birth? A. I dont know never knew. ambulance came once

Q. What did you ever see Frank do that looked like he was unduly familiar? A. I never paid attention to Frank, never had time. Q. You heard it talked around that he was trying to do things with the girls that was the general talk and general reputation? A. I certainly have.

Questioned by Mr.Rosser.

Q. He wanted young girls, not old girls?

A. He wouldn't hire old girls; looks like to me old ones could do the work just as well as the young ones.

Questioned by Mr.Dorsey:

Q. He preferred ones with short skirts? A. Yes.

Q. He said he did not want any cld women? A. Why certainly.

Q. His reputation was so bad it was common talk by everybody that worked there? A. Some of them that worked there didn't say anything.

133

but that was because they were his pets. He had some pets around there.
Q. You were there Saturday, April 26th? A. Yes, quarter to twelve.
Q. Who all did you see? A. Mr. Frank.
Q. What was he doing at that time? A. He was going to the shipping room, and he spoke to me.
Q. Who else did you see? A. Arthur White.
Q. What was he doing? A. Standing there talking to his wife.
Q. Who else? A. Corinthia Hall. Q. What was he doing? A. Standing there talking to his wife.
Q. Who else? A. Corinthia Hall.
Q. Who else? A. Emma Clarke
Q. Who else? A. Stanographer in the office; I dont know her name.
Q. Did she wear glasses? A. I family dont know, I never saw her face.
Q. How did she look? A. I never paid much attention to her
Q. You got there at what time? A. Quarter to 12.
Q. How long did you stay? A. 10 minutes 12.
Q. You did not see Mary Phagan? A. I saw two girls come out of the Q. How long did you stay? A. 10 minutes 12.
Q. You did not see Mary Phagan?A. I saw two girls come out of the door, but didn't know who they were.

Q. How was Frank dressed? A. Frank had on a dark/swit of clothes, was in his shirt sleeves, and had a paper in his hands and was going to the shipping room; said to Arthur: How late are youngoing to work this afternoon? About 3, Arthur said. You will be shut up by yourself. Then Arthur said all right. Then Frank laughed, looked kind of white in the face. That was before Mama come down.

Question by Mr.Rosser:
Q. Did he look like there was any trouble on his mind?
A. Yes sir, looked to me like he was worried. Mama went up there to get some boxes to make some slats to go up on the back window to keep the sun out.
Q. How long did Frank stay in the shipping room? A. Just a few minutes, went back to the office. minutes, went back to the office.
Q. In his shirt sleeves? A. Yes.
Q. Was he writing? A. Never saw him writing. Q. How come you to notice him this time being mervous? A. I just listened to him and Arthur talk. I looked at Frank; he looked kind of funny, dropped his eyes when he went in the shipping room. He said Howdy Miss Maud, and I said, Howdy Mr. Frank, was all he said to me.

Q. Did you ever notice him being nervous before when you were around him? A. Well I never looked at him that hard.

A. CAPT. PLENNIE MINOR:

Q. What did Arthur White xwife say to his wife when she went down the steps? A. She was standing at the foot of the steps; had one foot on one of the steps. Arthur was standing there on the third or fourth step, anyway he said: "You can go down and stay at somebody's else's house and spend the night, because I'm liabs not to come home tonight, and may not come home tomorrow. Then she said "No, I'll stay at home whether you come or not. He said: "I'm that going to get on a "high-way".

Q. Arthur said that: A. Yes sir. Arthur used to get beer in the basement. to me basement. Questioned by Mr.Rosser:
Q. Did you ever know anything about the cot being in the basement?
A. Never did, until I saw it in the paper. I have never been in the basement; I have been all over the factory except the basement.
Questioned by Capt. Minor: Q. Do the girls and boys working there know anything about this basement? A. If they did, I never knew anything. They at tand by the elevator every day at noon, talking and go back in the dark.

Q. Never heard of any of them going down in the basement? A. No sir. Q. Never heard of any of them going down in the basement? A. No Questioned by Mr.Dorsey:
Q. Did you know Mary Phagan? A. I certainly dax did.
Q. What kind of girl was she? A. Nice girl.
Q. What kind of reputation, good or bad? A. Good reputation.
Q. Never heard anything wrong? A. Never did, never saw anything wrong. She would never stand on the stairway and talk with boys.
Q. What about the general reputation of that factory?
A. Well it had a pretty bad name I should think.

punded them by Hugh M. Dorsey to Mrs. May Barett, here to attached

134 and marked Exhibit A. This stemographic report is a fully tex true

I took down the questions pro-

B. S. SMITH, Swom for the State.

*

and correct report of the questions asked and the answers given by the said Mrs. May Barrett. This paper was taken on May 14,1913, in the presence of Plennie Minor, Mr. Bass Rosser, Mr. Dorsey, the Solicitor and myself as stenographer and was written out by and signed in my presence by Mrs . May Barrett.

(The following is Exhibit A referred to in above)

"Statement of Mrs.May Barrett, 253 Humphries St. in reference to memberian conditions around the Pencil Company factory relative to the murder of Mary Phagan, Atlanta, April 26,1913, in the presence of Mr.Minor, Mr.Rosser, Mr.Dorsey and B.S.Smith.

Atlanta Ga, May 14, 1913. Questioned by Mr.Dorsey:
Q. What is your name please? A. May Barrett.
Q. Where do you live? A. 253 Humphries St.
Q. Where do youmwork? A. National Pencil Co.
Q. How long have you worked there? A. Somewhere in the neighborhood. of three years. Q. You when were there on Saturday, April 26th? A .Yes sir. Q. When did you get there? A. Somewhere in the neighborhood of quarter to 12. q. With whim did you come? A. By myself?
Q. Did you see your daughter there? A. Yes sir.
Q. Who all did you see there when you got there? A. I went up on the top floor. I work there, and as I come back my daughter was standing at the steps at the first floor and Emma Clark, forelady, was there, and Corinthia Hall, and Arthur White, and his wife.
Q. Did you see Mr. Frank?A. No sir.
Q. How long did you remain up there? A. It was something after 12 o'clock, I remember hearing the whistles blow.
Q. How did you have your hair fixed? A. Just like this (Done up)
Q. Did you see Mrs.Arthur White? A. I dont really know, but my daughter said it was. Q. Did you see Mrs.Arthur White: A. daughter said it was.
Questioned by Mr.Rosser:
Q. How long did you stay? A. I waited a for them to saw some of the planks on the rip saw.
Q. How do you know it was quarter to 12. Did you look at the clock?
Row long did you stay there? A. Some few minutes.
Q. 15 or 20 minutes? A. I suppose about 20 minutes or 25 minutes.
Q.Did you see anybody when you come out? A. I saw my daughter,
Corinthia Hall, Emma Clark, Arthur White and his wife.

Q. Mr. You Mr. Dorsey:

Q. Mr. You Mr. Dorsey: Q. No you know many Phagan? A. you Questioned by Mr. Dorsey:
Q. You saw her (Mary Phagan) A. No sir.
Q. Didn't you see her when she come sway? A. No sir, neither coming or going.
Q. Wou would have been coming away a little after 12? A. Yes sir.
Q. The whistles blowed for 12 before you come out? A. Yes sir.
Q. How long after 12? A. I don't know exactly; I was on the top Q. It would have taken you a minute to walk down the steps? A. Yes sir, I suppose so. Q. You had come out of there two or three minutes after 12? A. Yes sir . Q. You were out of there by what time? A. I don't know exactly.

Q. You were out of there by what time? A. I don't know exactly.

Q. Did you see Mr. Frank at any time? A. No sir. Q. You were G. Did you see Mr Frank at any time? A. No sir.

Q. Did you hear him say anything? A. No sir.

Q. Youngre acquainted with his general character and reputation?

A. He has always acted like a gentleman in my presence; I could not say anything else and telltthe truth.

Q. Did you hear people talk about him in the factory; never heard his name called; You don't know whether his reputation is good or bad? A. No sir, I could not say.

Q. Talking about what people said of him, never heard them discuss his disposition to flirt with the girls, make love to them, etc?

A. No sir, I never have.

Q. What is it that you know about this business that you are holding back? A. Nothing at all.

Q. Did you tell anybedy that that you knew a good deal, but they had to pay you money to tell it? A. No sir, I did not, no sir, indeed everdid

neverdid

一年 のでは、本人とは、大学のできる

一大 村

بالإدواء المالكة المله

Q. You do not know anything you want to sell do you? A. No sir, indeed I dont.
Q. You have told us everything that happened? A. Yes sir ,as far as I know. Q. You were on the floor above the office floor? A. I am on the very top floor of the building.
Q. Your little daughter says she heard you talking about Mr.Frank?
A. She is sadly mistaken. My little daughter is not responsible for what she says.

Q. This little girl? A. No sir.

Q. What is the trouble? A. She tells lies.

Q. What makes her tell them. A. I don't know.

Questioned by Mr.Rosser: Q. How is it you should be the first person to come here and talk like you do when there are a dozen others tell the same thing your daughter did: Are they all telling a story? A. I can't help that.
Q. You are a married woman and know about these things: You never saw any of these foremen fell of the girl's legs? A. Saw them saw any of these foremen fell of the girl's legs? A. Saw them laughing and talking.
Q. Never saw them with their hands on the girl's? A. I have seen them jolly and go on.
Q. What do you call jolly? A. Laugh and talk.
Q. Is this as far as they went? A. I didn't see any harm in that. I didn't pay any attention to anybody's business but my own?
Q. What about when the girls sat down and ate their dinner, the foremen and boys getting down to where they could see and peeping up under their dresses at their legs? A. I haven't anything to do about that; I am not taking care of other people's business. Questioned by Mr.Dorsey.
Q. You say your little girl tells stories? A. She certainly does. Q. You say your little girl tells stories? A. She certainly does. Questioned by Mr.Rosser. Questioned by Mr.Rosser.
Q. What did she ever tell a story about. A. I suppose that's my business; it does not concern others at all.
Q. We want to know the trouble. A. I told you -Questioned by Mr.Dorsey:
Q/ Hasn't she good sense? A. I suppose she has, but she don't use it.
Q. The people at the factory give her a good name. A. But you dont Questioned by Mr.Rosser: You mean your daughter is no account? A. I dont meant that; I didnt say those words. Q. Just explain it your own way. A. I said she z told stories. PLENNIE MINER. Sworn for the State om deputy sheriff of Fulton County, Georgia, and in making investigations with reference to the death of Mary Phagan, I, in company with detectives L.S.Rosser, went to the Swift Soap Works and found Mrs . Maud Bailey, daughter of Mrs. May Barrett at work there. She told us some things and also said in substance as follows; "That her mother, Mrs . May Barrett, was at work at that time at the National Pencil Company's place of business and that she was at the National Pencil Company on Saturday, April 26,1913 at some time. She said that her mother knew a good deal about it, and that she knew a good deal more about it than she would tell, and that she would have to get something out of it before she would tell." She said we would have a pretty hard time getting it out of her, that she was madwat her (Maud Bailey) because she had told it. I carried Mrs. Maul Bailey in my buggy to the office of Hugh M. Dorsey, the Solicitor General, and S.L.Rosser, the city detective, returned to the office on the street

car. I was present with the stenographer took down the questions propounded to both Mrs. Mande Bailey and Mrs. May Barrett. I told the Solicitor General as to what Mrs. Mande Bailey had stated to me before he began questioning her. As Mrs. May Barrett went to leave the office of the Solicitor General, she saw sitting in the officer her methyr, Mrs. May Farrett Mande Bailey. Mrs. May Barrett said in substance to her daugher. Mrs. May Barrett said in substance to her daugher, Mrs. May Barrett said in substance to her daugher. Mrs. I have read over the above and foregoing as signed by Deputy Serhiff Plennie Minor. The recitals of fact as contained therein are true.

MRS. T. D. MORRIS. Sworn for the State. I am personally acquainted with Mrs. May Barrett. On April 26,1913, Mrs. May Barrett, Mrs. Maud Bailey, and myself and my daughter, Florence Earnest, went to Moons Shoe Store on Mitchell Street between 9 and 10 o'clock. Mrs . Barrett said she had to go to the pencil factory so she left us at the corner of Mitchell and Forsyth Streets. After Mrs . Barrett left us we went to Duffys, on the corner of Mitchell and Forsyth Streets. We finished our business in Duffys and came out and waited on the corner for Mrs. Barrett. She did not come back as soon as we expected her to, so Mrs . Maud Bailey asked me to go down to the National Pencil Company's place of business with her to get h er mother. I said to Maud I won't go upstairs, I will stay down here and wait for you. Stewart Ave car and came along and my daughter Florence said to me "let's go home". I said "I can't I have Maud's umbrella. When my daughter boarded the car and while I stayed in the doorway of the National Pencil Factory there was an old negro man sitting down on a box at the right hand side of a person as they went into the the factory in other words the man sat at the north of the entrance. Three white men were standing out in ffront of the pencil company. While I was standing in front of this building two ladies came down and went across Forsyth up Hunter St. One was a tall lady and the other was low and chunky. There was a tall, slim negro sitting on the inside of the door and he came out and sat down by the side of the negro who I first saw sitting on a box inxfrantacking I have today looked at this man that I saw sitting on a box in front of the factory, on April 26,1913, and I am informthat this man's name is Truman McCrary. I remained in front of the pencil factory until Mrs Barrett and Mrs Bailey came down.

137

一个一人 大松 花

and Mrs. Mand Bailey left Mrs. Barrett at the grocery store and we caught a Stewart Avenue car at the corner of Mitchell and Forsyth Streets. As we were about to eatch the car we heard the 12 o'clock whistles blow. When I got home it was twenty minutes past 12 o'clock. About a week after the death of Mary Phagan, I was talking to Mrs. Barrett on the corner of Wells and Stewart Ave. I asked her what she thought of the murder, as to who was guilty and she stated that she believed Mr. Frank was guilty and I remarked that she would have to go to court to testify in the case and /stated that she could not help that. Job or no job she had to tell the truth. I had a conversation with Maud Bailey about a week after this occurrence. Miss Maud stated that she knew a lot about the pencil factory and that she thought Mr. Frank guilty, and if she was had to testify she would say that she believed Mr. Frank was guilty. Last Saturday morning, Mrs. Bailey came to my homse, 39 Comulgee Street and stated to me that the detectives representing Mr. Frank sent for her several times at the Dixie Comb Company to come to the pencil factory, and she stated that a detective asked her if she did not want to go back to work at the pencil factory, saying that they would give her a good job, and she told them that she would not work there for \$5. a day. Thes detectives paid her carfare and her time while she was away from her work. I have been knowing Mrs. Mae Barrett and her daughter Mrs Maud Bailey going onntwo years. They lived next door to me on Wells Street last year. I do not know anything about Mrs. Mae Barrett or Mrs. Maud Bailey that is good or bad. I do know that it is generally known that Mrs. Mae Barrett drinks whiskey and gets drunk at times. I have heard Mrs. Maud Bailey on several occasions speak to my children of knowing Mary Phagan and how pretty she was and what pretty hair she had. I have stated these facts to nobody and would not have stated it now if it hadn't been for the fact that I read a newspaper account of the evidence purpoted to have been given in the shape of an affidavit by Mrs. Maud Bailey. that this statement as to the time of her being at the pencil factory was false. I knew that she was with me and she was obliged to know that what she stated was not the truth.

138 FLORENCE EARNEST, Sworm for the State. I have read over the affilewit made by my mother and in so far as it refers to my being with my

my mother or as to the facts stated in the affidavit, is true in every particular.

MRS. MINNIE WILSON, Sworn for the State. On the 26th day of April 1913, I saw Mrs. Maude Bailey at 122 Wells St., my husband's place of business. Mrs .Bailey came into the place and asked permission to use the telephone and we told her she could use it. Whoever it was she was talking to, she called the Swift Soap Works, where she worked at the time, and whoever it was that was talking with her at the end of the line evidently told her to come up therefor her money for her reply was, "I can't come up there for I am sick and I will send an order", and I said to her after she got through talking, what do you went to be lying to them for, you know you are not sick, and she said "I am always sick". A girl named Florence Earnest came into the store with Mrs. Bailey, and after Mrs. Bailey had talked awhile, she let Florence Earnest talk over the phone and Mrs . Bailey had a paid of new shoes with her and while the other girle was talking, she (Mrs. Bailey) tried on one of the shoes. All this happened a little before twelve o'clock, noon, and then Mrs. Bailey went out of our place, and in about 15 or 20 minutes she came back and asked to use the phone again, and she called the Swift Soap Works and asked to talk to Mr. Newcomb and she seemed to be quarrelling with Mr. Newcomb . She told him to meet her at twelve thirty or as soon thereafter as possible to see the parade. I know Mrs. Bailey's general character or reputation. That character is bad and I would not believe her on oath.

MRS. J.ARTHUR WHITE, Sworn for the State. I was standing talking with my husband, J.Arthur White, at the top of the stairway on the second floor of the National Pencil Factory on Saturday, April 26, 1913, the day Mary Phagan was killed, between 11;30 and 12 o'clock. I know it was that time because I came out before 12. While standing there, May Barrett came down the steps from the floor above and met. Maud Bailey on the second floor and they went on down the steps toward the front door. I immediately followed down. I saw them leave the office floor and I did not see them on the staircase or in the building as I went down.

ARTHUR WHITE. Sworn for the State. I was standing talking with my wife, at the top of the stairway on the second floor-of the

National Pencil Company, on Saturday, April 26,1913, between 11;20 and 12 o'clock. I know it was that time because my wife left before 12. While I was standing there, Mrs. May Barrett came down the steps from the floor above and met Mrs. Maud Bailey on the second floor and they went on down the steps toward the front door. I did not see them go out the front door, but I saw them leave the office floor.

DR. RUSSELL D. STALLINGS, Sworn for the State. About three weeks ago late in the afternoon a lady came in and asked me to let use the telephone. After she got through using the phone, her was conversation with me was as follows: "I know in my own mind that Frank did kill Mayy Phagan. He is one of the meanest men I ever had any dealings with. I worked for him and I know him. None except young girls and them good looking can get a job with him, and they have to do as he says or they can't hold their positions. I left because I would not do as he wished. My mother works there now but she commenced after Frank was locked tp."I was a witness at the trial. I asked her her name and she told me her name was Mrs. Maud Batley. J.A. Bledsoe was present during this conversation. I have seen same lady and found her name to be Mrs Maud Bailey. W. T. QUINN. Sworn for the State. I am acquainted with the general character or reputation of Mrs Maud Bailey, and that character is bad. I have two daughters working at the Swift Soap Works, where Mrs. Bailey worked, and Mrs. Maud Bailey's reputation was so bad that I went to Mr.Norris, Assistant Superintendent of the Swift Soap Works and told him that if he didn't get rid of Mrs. Bailey that I would remove my two daughters from the factory. A short while after that Mrs. Bailey was discharged.

B. H. DUNCAN, Sworn for the State. Imam acquainted with the general character of Mrs. Mand Bailey. I used to work at the same place she did and I know her personally also. Her general character in the community where she lives is bad and I would not believe her on oath /

J. A. ADKINS. Sworn for the State. I am acquainted with the general character and reputation of Mrs Mand Bailey; that character is bad and I would not believe her on oath.

14() B.HG.HOLT. Sworn for the State. I live at 117 Wells St., Atlanta Ga. Next door lived Mms. Maude Bailey and Mrs.May Barrett.

I am also personally acquainted with Will Newcomb, who is a foreman at the Swift Soap Factory, and he frequently visited Mrs. Maud Bailey while she was living at No.117 Wells St. He would stay there from 7 o'clock to 9, 10, 11 and 12 o'clock at night and drink beer together. I have known Mrs. May Barrett to leave and go to work of a morning before her daughter Mrs. Maud Bailey would go and after Mrs. Barrett would go Will Newcomb would come down there to see Maud Bailey,go in the house and close the door, but I don't know how long he would stay on these occasions. There was a man boarding with me by the name of Haynes, but he didn't stay very long. One night while he was there, somewhere around eight o'clock or half past eight, Mr. Hayes started to the back part of the house, and he called me to come out there and see something and I went out there and saw Mrs. Maud Batley backed up against the railing of the back porch and will Newcomb was standing up between her 1-ers, but we did not do anything to interrupt them. Another time at night, along about this time, about seven or seven thirty, I come hom e and found Mrs. Maud Bailey inmy side of the house, with nothing but her night clothes on, and she looked like she was scared to death, and I asked her what the matter was, and she said her mother was drunk and had run her out of the house, but soon after I got there her mother, Mrs May Barrett got quiet and Maud Bailey went back to her side of the house. I knew the general reputation and character of these women and I didn't want to live in such close proximity to them and I moved away from there. The general character of these two women is bad, and I would not believe them on oath.

T. F. WILSON, Sworn for the State. I am acquainted with the general character of Mrs. Mand Bailey and that character is bad and I would not believe her on oath.

HARRY BAKER. Sworn for the State. I am personally acquainted with Mrs May Barrett and her daughter Mrs Maud Bailey. Along last summer during the trial of the case of the State vs. Leo M.Frank, I personally heard Mrs.May Barrett say that if she would tell all she knew about the case that both Frank and herself would be lynched.

4TH and 5TH AMENDMENT.

ANNIE MAUD CARTERM Sworn for the State. I was in the Fulton County Jail 6 months. I went there Last October and Jim Conley was in jail

when I was put in jail. Whenever Mr. Roberts would go downstairs to empty the slops I would go around to see Jim Conley and give him things to eat, and I think I went the first Sunday in December. I wrote him two or three letters, and he sent them back because he said he couldn't read them . No I wrote him three and he wrote me w my knowing. There was nothing valgar in either one of the letters he wrote me, and I sent the letters back to him by Fred Berguson because I couldn't real all of them, and I sent them back to him and went down there at 12 o'clock to see what he wanted and he wanted me to let him have ten cents to get a piece of bread and some sardines, and if there is anything vulgar in any of those letters he wrote ,it has been put in there since he wrote them to me by somebody else. Jim Conley told me this last gone Tuesday when I was up there to see Asa McFarland. He asked me if any of Mr. Burns' men had been to see me, he said first did I know this other girl, where she lived, that had been coming there, and I said I know where she lives, but I don't know her hame, I knew her sister but I don't know her, and he says I know where she lives, and he said sombody told the sheriff about me talking to Jim and they locked me up about it and I stayed there a week and they found I wasn't down there at the time they said I was and Mr.Roberts had the sheriff turn me out again, and Jim told me Tuesday that someone took those letters I wrote him and the ones he wrote me and I sent back. I asked him if he had them and he said no that somebody took them sometimes in January, but that he same just hated to tell me. I said don't forget to take those letters out with you, for he told me he was going to get out in May, and then he told me that somabody got them, During Christmas, I was due to go in at 7 o'clock and Mr. Gillem would let me stay out until nine and nine thirty. One day Jim Conley said "are you going to let her come in here Mr.Gillem" and Mr.Gillem said he could not do it then that I had better wait until another time, and I said I don't want to go in there, and Jim said, "if he will let you in here it w will be satisfactory won't it" and I says"I don't think that much of you, and Jim says you haven't been corresponding with me all this time and don't think that much of me, do you". But Mr.Gillem told me he would give me \$2.00 himself if I would go in there and see Jim Conley. Geo. Wren wrote a letter and give it to me, he dropped it first, he said you are going downstairs now and given bickerne

I said yes, and he said you go downstairs and give it to Jim Conley and tell him it just come in through the mail, and I took it down there and Jim said you know I can't read, maybe it is from my mother and I thought it was devilment in it, and it said in the letter," Now you know you know all about this, why don't you tell the truth about it, for you know you are in the hands of your enemies, and I will do this and that to you, and if you don't tell the truth about it you will be hung by an enemy that is bitterly against you", and right after that I goes to Mr. Suttles, he can remember the time, he was going down and Jim Conley hid from him because he thought he was a Jew, He went back and got another man, I think it was "Mr. Owens, and he said, "here is another Jew Conley and laughed, and Jim Conley said "I thought you all were Jews at first. Mr.Gillem says to me, "You go in there and talk with him for he will tell you anything, and I went in there one evening at 3 o'clock and stayed until 7;30 and Mr.Gillem told me to find all I could from him. Of course he said he didn't believe Him was guilty but he believe he knew something. I asked Conley, I said "I want you to tak an oath and swear to me if you know anything about it" and he said "Yes I knoew Mr.Frank killed that girl" and I said "what else did he do" and he said "I don't know but he killed her and made me take her downstaits" and I sais "Is that all" and he said "yes" but he would tell me other things about Mr. Frank being with these different women at the office. and I come out and told Mr.Gillem this, and he said "that is the same thing he tells everybody. "Mr. Gillem tried to get me to go in there, he said "you are not obliged to be with him, I just want to see if he will try to fool with you with has mouth or his privates."I have asked Conley and he said he would nt do anything like that I asked him which way he done it and he told me. I saw him stark naked one day just like he was born, and he looked alright to me, and I asked Mr. Gillem who said Conley was a cook sucker, and he said "Oh, that son of a gun can do it as good as any man. The first Sunday in December, I was sitting on the second floor, and a Jew came.up. Mr. Frank was out there and three or four more ofws. Mr. Pappenheimet was there with him too. This Jew asked me was I out me all the time. and I said yes, and he said I want to see you, and I said all right 143 and he said do you know how to get rich right quick, or have you as

1. 大湖

want, or morethan you will ever be able to dispose of. Do you ever go to talk with Jim Conley, and I says I am on my way there now. And he said I want you to do something for and state your own price. I want you to take this little vial and put a drop in his food and give it to him and I will guarantee you will have a pot of money and will be a free girl before tomorrow night, and I said he sint done nothing to me and he said I know, but it is our man he has got and what do you care about a negro hanging, all you want is money, and I said I don't want the money and he said if you refuse the money you are a damn fool and walked off. I don't know his name, but he comes up there with Elein boys . He has black hair and his hair stands up and his hat pulled down on one side. JAMES CONLEY, Sworn for the State. I am now in the Fulton County jail, where I have been ever since the trial of Mr. Frank In July of last year. I am located in the wing on the North side of the jail on the first floor. No other person is kept in there with me. I know Annie Maud Carter, who was a negro woman prisoner and who stayed in the jail for a dam few months. The first time I saw her was upon one occasion when I was carried up to the court house for trial. I was not tried at this time, however. I saw her in the jail a humber of times after that. I never talked with Annie Maud Carter about all of my affairs. I never discussed my case with Annie Maud Carter. At one time she tried to talk to me about the case, and asked what I expected they would do with me, and I told her I didn't know. It is not true that I told Annie Maud Carter that only God knew who did the murder; nor did I tell her that I was so near guilty that I felt lost and that I had lost all hope. I did not have all sonfidence in her nor tell her any secrets. I was warned by a negro named Fred Perkerson, who was also a prisoner in jail, that Annie Maude Carter was crooked. She had told me that the first man she ever had anything to do with was a Jew and that she could count the negroes she had ever had anything to do with. She did suggest to me that she would marry me right here in the jail if I would consent. The talked to me usually through the bars of my cell door, which was usually kept locked. It is not true that during Critistmas week that I that told her that I would make any statement to her if she would marry me. I did not tell her that I murdered Mary Phagan; nor did I tell her that it was so plainly shown on Mr.

Frank that I let it go that way; nor did I tell her that I amd Mr. Frank both had connection with the girl:or that I lied when she claims that I said that Mr. Frank had connection with the girl. idid not make any statement like that to her, nor did I tell her that I done it all by myself, and never to say anything about it. Nor did I tell her that I first chooked Miss Mary Phagan, and after she was unconscious, I had connection with her, as that she was young and never having had anyonethat I had to tear her privates: ar that I was sitting on tha box when the girl came down: nor that I had called her and when she turned back that I then struck her with my fist knocking her down and dragging her back where they put rubbers on pencilsIt is not true that I toke Annie Maud Carter that finding Mr. Frank absent, I dropped Miss Mary Phagan through the hole and that I then took Miss Mary Phagan around by the furnace and started to put her in the furnace but that my conscience would not let me do so; nor did I tell Annie Maud Carter that I put Miss Mary Phagan down there to make people believe that Newt Lee done ixi it; nor that afterwards I found a piece of blank paper and tore it in two and picked up a pencil and put the paper on the cellar door and wrote the notes that were found by the body of Miss Mary Phagan; nor did I tell Annie Mand Carter that I first took the notes and put them in Miss Mary Phagan's bosom, and that I then took them out and layed them by her side; nor that I then took a thing they opened boxes with and pulled the staple out of the back dooe and went out of the door, going over to Broad St. toget a glass of beer; nor is it true that I told Annie Mand Carter that I went back to the factory to make people believe that I was innocent but that the truth must come to light; nor that I wanted to save Mr. Frank by saying that I helped move the body of Miss Mary Phagan, but that I know that would not work; nor that afterwards I went and got drunk and started to leave townand that I knew that that would not do, and that I stayed in Atlanta to show that I was not guilty. It is not true that I told Annie Mand Carter not to say anything about this, that I wanted to serve my twelve months and be free, and that if I could not get Annie Mand Carter, that I would go Morth and marry some white woman around Cincinnati. It is not true that I told Annie Maud Carter that I kept the money that was in

Miss Mary Phagan's purse, and that I gave the purse to a negro child; nor did I ask Annie Mande Carter to be with me; nor did she tell me"no, that was what got you in jail". I did not make these statements to Annie Mand Carter either in substance or in any other like language. I further state that if Annie Maud Carter states that same is true, that she is missstating facts. I further state that such statements are untrue and are not the facts, that I did not do the things above stated, nor did I tell her that I done them. I know Drawren, knew him while he was a prisoner in the Fulton County jail. I have seen him and Annie Maud Carter talking together in the jail on the first floor. Dr. Wren has delivered to me in my cell wing upon several occasions, notes from Annie Maud Carter. He would sometimes bring them into my cell wing at night and throw them in on my bunk and tell he that Annie Maud Carter had sent them to me. At one time I saw him throw her a note from the second floor, where he was standing, immediately in front of Mr. Frank's cell and Annie Mande threw the note to me. I have been both hered by people coming into my cell wing; sometimes Deputy Roberts would bring people in as if he were showing them the heating system, and lots of times when he would come in and go out he would leave the cell wing door open or unlocked, and others would come in. Some Jews have been in my cell wing, and Mr. Darley from over at the pencil factory, has been one of those who have come into my cell wing. Dr. Wren's brothers have both been in my cell wing, and he himself was in my cell wing frequently while he was in jail as a prisoner. In this way. Annie Maud Carter did come into my cell wing once and stayed a short time. It is not true that I saw J.W. Boozer on Peters St. on April 26,1915.

FRANK REESE, Sworn for the State. About the middle of last summer, 1913, I was sentenced to serve six months jail sentence for carrying concealed weapons. I got out about February of this year. While I was a prisoner serving a jail sentence during that term, I was a trusty prisoner and cleaned up around the jail and did laundry work. I knew Dr. Wren, a white prisoner who was also serving a jail sentence and who was also a trusty prisoner. He roomed in the hospital on the 146 fifth floor and had charge of the medicine room on the fifth floor.

一人もののではないないとしているかかって

He helped the County Physician and had charge of the sick and the giving out of medicine while the County Physician was not present. He had access to all the inside part of the jail, including the cell wing of Jim Conley. I have seen him in Conley's cell wing quite often and have seen him carry Conley something to eat from the Deputy's table, where Dr. Wren usually ate. I have heard Dr. Wren telling Sonley, that he had been tried, that he (Conley) could take this murder on himself and that this would free Mr. Frank and that they would never try Conley any more for it, after he had once been tried. Conley would not agree to do this. Dr. Wren talked to Fred Perkerson and myself several times and tried to get us to agree to go to Conley's cell and come out and claim that Conley had confessed to us. He said he would get lots of money from the Jews to do this. Dr .Wren would talk to us, usually when Mr. Gill aland would go to the front to get his dinner. Dr. Wren would keep me in cigars to smoke. Fred Perkaraon was a colored man, also serving a jail sentence. We both told him that we would not say this about Conley? Dr. Wren told us that Conley was not kin to us, and all that we ought to want was the money and that when we got out that we would need it. We told Dr. Wren to work this himself and he said he didn't want to mix in it, that we were damn fools that money would be brief when we got out, but that when we got out everybody would have money. I knew Annie Mand Carter, who was a negro womanprisonerin the jail and who was released on the trusty or clean upm work every morning by Deputy Roberts, and was locked up by Deputy Allen, when he came on duty every day about 3;30 P.M. Annie Maud Carter did the cleaning up of the hospital and also some laundry work on the fourth floor. She did some ironing on the fifth floor in the medicane room. I have seen Dr. Wren and Annie Maud Carter talking together very often but do not what was said between them. I saw Annie Maud Carter go to Conley's cell wing once and Fred Perkerson and myself called to her not to go in there as she would be locked up and she stopped at the door to the cell wing. Both Fred Perkerson and myself knew that she was crocked and we thought she was up to some mischief and we cautioned James Conley ourselves that she was a bad woman and might try to do him some harm. I never saw Annie Mand Carter go into the cell 47 wing of James Conley, but simply stand in front of the door and talk

八大の日のないというというと

to him. I saw Dr. Wren at one time give Annie Maud Carter a note or rather he threw her one from the second floor and she carried this same note that Dr. Wren had thrown her and she pitched the note into Conley through the door to his cell wing. Last night after I had gone to bed, Dr. Wren came to my home and called to me and got me to get out of bed and come out on the outside. He asked me what I was doing and I told him nothing and he told me that he had a little job for me to do; and that he wanted me to come to his house in the morning, and he gave me to cents car fare to come on. This morning Dr. Wren was at my house before seven o'clock He had a long white paper, and wanted me to sign it. I canot read or write and I told him I wanted to wait and see what the paper was, he wanted me to sign. He said it was a paper that I had carried notes from Conley to Annie Maud Carter. He said well you can't write, and I will write it for you. I told him not to do it, that I wouldn't authorize any one to sign for me until knew more about it. He gave me 20 cents \$0 that I could go and get him and I a drink of whiskey and when I got it he wouldn't drink and he told me he didn't believe he would drink any as he didn't want the boys where he worked to smell it on him, and he told me to drink both drinks for myself. I drank them both and then he took me up with me the question of signing the paper, which I refused to sign. As we came around the house, we met another man, with some other men. He is a bailiff in the Thrower Building. Mr. Bass Rosser, the city detective, said he was a Mr. goodlin. He did not have anything to say to me and I do not know what he knew what Dr. Wren wanted with me. He told Mr. Rosser the detective, that the men with him were prisoners he had arrested. While I was still talking with Dr. Wren, detective Bass Rosser walked up and told me that Mr. Dorsey the solicitor general wanted to see me at his office and I left and went with detective Rosser to Mr.Dorseyas office, where I am now and make this affidavit. ELIEN SIMS, Swern for the State. I am acquainted with Annie Maud Carter. She is my cousin. She was at my home a few days after she got out of jail, and talked to me about seeing Jim Conley she she was in jail. She said she had talked to Conley. I asked Annie Maud Carter whether or not she had got Conley to talk with her about the murder. She said he would not talk with her about that case; and all

she could get him to say was that he had told the truth, . When she

heard what she said to me. I am acquainted with Annie Maud Carter's general character and general reputation; her general reputation and character for truthfulness is bad; she entirely unreliable and can not be depended upon. She has been in jail before this

I engrued for anne last time and that was also for stealings WILLIS J. CILLELAND, Sworn for the State. I am connected with the sheriff's force in charge of the prisoners at the jail, serving as inside guard from about 8 A.M. until about 3;30 P.M. each day. I hav charge of the prisoners locked up in the cell wings, the working force for cleaning up the jail, for laundry work and for preparing meals for prisoners being under the direction and control of Deputy Roberts, who is on duty inside, for the same period of time during which I serve. - In cleaning up the wings of the jix jail it is necessary for the cleaning force to go into the cells wings to do so, and this is done under the supervision of Deputy Roberts. The negro prisoner James Conley is lodged in cell wing "First North ", there being no other prisoner lodged permanently in this cell wing. The meals are distributed by this prison help and the meals are sometimes carried to Conley by the trusty prisoners. The cooking and distribution of meals is under the supervision of Deputy Roberts. The cell wing in which negro women prisoners are located is on the "Third West". I knew Annie Maud Carter while she was a prisoner at the Fulton County Jail and her cell was located in "Third West". Annie Maud Carter was used by Deputy Roberts as a trusty, she being released by him from cell wing in the morning about 7:30 or 8 A.M. o'clock, She was used in laundry work and did most of her ironing on the fifth floor in what was called the medicine room. She was usually locked up by Deputy Allen when he came on duty about 3; 20 p.m. I also knew Dr. George Wren. He is a white prisoner whom the prisoners and every one most around the jail called Dr. Wren. He was a trusty prisoner and was used by Dr. Hurt and the jail officials to hand out medicines and do any work for the sick while Dr. Hurt was not in actual attendance at the jail. I know his brothers who visited him at the jail quite of ten, among them was one named Jim Wren. Jim Wren came to see his brother, the

149

prisoner Dr. George Wren very often. I have seen Jim Wren go into

Mr.Frank's cell wing to see him. I have seen Jim Wren and a Mr. C.W.Burke come in there last watk and they went intogether to see Mr.Frank in his cell wing. I have seen Mr.Burke call Dr.George Wren off to one side and talk with him privately upon one occasion. I have seen Dr.George Wren visit Mr.Frank's cell very often. I have never seen Annie Maud Carter in Conley's cell wing and she has req quested me to allow her to go into Conley's cell wing, but I told her that I would not allow her to do so, and if she was ever in there, it was without my knowledge and in direct disobedience to what I had told her. Oftentimes it is difficult to keep in touch with the entire building. I have to go to the upper floors at times and get out prisoners who have made bonds or to be sent to the gang or for other reasons, and it is impossible to know what is going on all the time on all floors. I kept Conley's cell wing door locked as often as possible, and the cleaning up force had toget in there from time to time, and the feeding force also, and I can not say positively that Annie Mand Carter was never in the cell wing of Conley, but if so, it was without my knowledge and against my orders. I have seen Dr. George Wren and Annie Maud Carter talking very frequently and generally up in the medicine room. I knew Frank Reese and Fred Perkerson, who were negro prisoners and who were used as trusty prisoners while they were there, or at least most of the time. I am acquainted with the general character of Minnie Mand Carter; Her general character and reputation are bad and I would not believe her on oath/

GOHN L. HAYES, Sworm for the State. I reside at the Fulton County
Jail and am working there in the capacity of Engineer at the County
Jail. My work carries me all over the jail. I have been in this
psotion constantly since April 1,1913. I know James Conley. I knew
Annie Maud Carter. She was released however each morning about seven
o'clock for the purpose of her working on the laundry work, principally on the fifth floor in the medicine room, next to the hospital ward on the fifth floor. I knew George Wren, who was known at
the jail as Dr. Wren because he helped the county Physician. He was
also a trusty white prisoner. Wren slept in the hospital ward and
his work carried him to the medicine room a great deal. I carried

 $150 \,\mathrm{keys}$ to all cell wings. Mr Bob Hardeman was also engaged with me at

times in looking over, inspecting and repairing the different sections of the jail. We kept our key downstairs in the Engineer's department and locked up. This key was used only by Chief Engineer Eaves, Mr. Hardman and myself. I nnever saw Annie Maud Carter go into the cell wing of Jim Conley. Annie Mand Carter was under Deputy Roberts who released her for work and she stayed most of the time at this medicine room on the fifth floor, where she did most of her work. She was locked up about 3;30 P.M. when the inside guards make their changes, and Deputy Allen comes on duty usually. I have seen Dr. Wren and Annie Maud Carter very frequently alone in the medicine room. I have seen them talking together at this place. I knew Wren's work carried him to the medbine room and this Annie Maud Carter's work also carried her there. I know that they had plenty of opportunity to talk to each other as it is impossible for the inside deputies to actually know what is transpiring on each floor of the jail.

Their duties carry them to all parts of the jail, generally looking after the cleaning up of the jail, the feeding and care of the prisoners and with the work of Wren and Annie Maud Carter throwing them together, it was impossible for me or other jail officials to check them up every minute during the day. I know that I never permitted Annie Mand Carter to enter Conley's cell wing and there was no may for her to have gotten the key to his cell wing. Annie Maud Carter was never in Conley's cell wing within my knowledge. I have seen George Wren up and around Frank's cell wing quite often and I have seen him in Frank's cell wing several times. I have also seen George Wren's brother, Jim Wren, visit him, but whether or not Jim Wren went to Mr Frak's cell I do not know.

WILEY B. ROBERTS, Swo m for the State. I am a deputy sheriff, serving at the county jail from about 7 a.m. until about 3;30 p.m. mach day. I have charge of the work of the cleaning of the jail and the feeding of the prisoners and looking after theinside of the jail generally. Inhave this work performed by persons who are serving jail sentences and who are in the nature of trusties and also by persons who are waiting trial and who volunteer to assist in this work, in order to have more liberty in the jail. I know Jamees Conley, who is on fined in a cell wing known as the first north, and no other person being lodged therein except Conley. I know Annie Mande Carter, who was a negro woman prisoner and who

151

was lodged in the cell wing known as the third west. While Annie Maud Carter was a prisoner at the jail or at least a portion of the time, I used Annie Maud Carter as a helper in laundry work, washing and ironing clothes. A portion of the time her workswas upon the fourth floor, where the laundry was located, and a portion of the time upon the fafth floor, in what is generally known as the medicine room, in which she did a large portion of her ironing. I never saw Annie Maud Carter in the cell wing of James Conley, nor even at the door. We did out best to keep this cell wing door of Conley's locked at all times. It was necessary in the aleaning of the jail and in the feeding of Conley to allow trusty prisoners, under our supervision, to enter Conley's cell wing only long enough to discharge their duties, and then to leave this cell wing and the door was locked. All of these trustes used in Conley's cell wing were male trustees. Annie Maud Carter was never used in Conley's cell wing for any of this work, and to them West of my knowledge never entered Conley's cell wing , nor did I ever see her about the door. I did not ever see any notes pass between Conley and Annie Maud Carter, and never heard of any until within the last few days. We understood that there was specific orders to keep every one away from Conley's cell wing and we did our best to comply with this order. I knew George Wren, who was known as Dr. Wren, and who was a white trusty prisoner. He assisted Dr. Hurt the county physician, in caring for the sick at the jail, and for this work he was also used as a trusty prisoner. He slept in the kapt hospital on the fifth flaor and got his medicines from the medicine room. I have seen him talk to Annie MaudeCartter I know Annie Maud Carter's general character and reputation while she was at the jail, and that general character and reputation was bad, and I would not believe her on oath. I know off no occasion for Annie MaudeCarter being upon the first floor of the jail, as her work called for her to be on the fourth or fifth floor ordinarily. If she had any opportunity I never knew it, and I was constantly on duty during the hours I have named.

JOHN SHIELDS. Sworn for the State. I have been working sime May, 1913, at the National Pencil Company. I know C.W.Burke and Jimmie Wren. Burke and Wren have been constantly around the National Pencil Factory for the last several months, working on the Frank case.

Several times during the last two or three months Jimmie Wren has

been after me to make an affidavit for him that Jim Conley had been down on me, or had asked me to let him go down on me. I refused every time because it was a lie. Jimme Wren said if I would do this, he would dress m e up and send me to Cincinnati or anywhere else I wanted to go, and I told him I wouldn't do it, that there wasn't a In talking to me, Jimmie Wren used the word "cock sucker." word of truth in it./Since I have been at the factory, I have never heard anyone there say anything about Jim Conley ever having done anything. of that kind. A few weeks ago, Jimmie Wren came to me and said: "I am in a hell of a fix. I have got to get something good, tton't you know a negro woman I can get who will swear that Jim Conley went-down on her? and I told him I wouldn't do it, and that I asked one if didn't know of any negro woman. who wened sever that and MAGGIE GUNTER. Sworn for the State. I am a cousin of Annie Maud Carter. I was at Ellen Sims' house when she was sick, and it was just after Annie Maud Carter got out of jail and we were talking to her and she told us that she knew James Conley and that she had talked with James Conley and had tried to get him to tell her about the murder of the little white girl, but she told us that Conley would not talk to her about it, except he told her that/did not kill the girl, that Mr. Frank had done that and that he would not discuss the case with her. That Conley/had told the truth . I have knownAnnie Maud Carter all her life and I know her general reputation and character and the same are bad, and I would not believe her on oath.

L. JACKSON. Sworn for the State. I am a Methodist preacher. I have known Annie Maud Carter and her mother, 6, 7 or 8 years. I am personally acquainted with the general character of Julia Carter, the mother Annie Maud Carter. Julia Carter is a good woman and has a good reputation. I am also well acquainted with the general character. I could not under any circumstances believe her on oath. She has been commutantly in the courts for robbery, stealing and other crimes.

JACOB HARRIS, Sworm for the State. I have known Annie Maud Carter since she was about eight years old. I have lived close to her for several years and close to her kinspeople pretty much eversince I have known her. I know Annie Maud Carter's general character and reputation, and they are bad, I would not believe her on oath.

15

いべきはいいかいけんしていないと

C. J. GRAHAM, Sworn for the State. I am an attorney at law. I have represented Annie Maud Carter in the Criminal Division of the Superior Court of Fulton County. I have had occasion to interview members of her race and people with whom she mingles and associates. I have heard a great deal of her among the white race. I know her general character and reputation; the same is very bad. I would not believe her on oath.

J. Y. DONALDSON. Sworn for the State. I know Annie Maud Carter and knew her when I was connected with the City Stockade as Quarry Foreman. I know her general character and reputation; the same is bad and I would not believe her on oath/

JULIA CARTER. Swom for the State. I am the mother of Annie Maud Carter, who was recently in jail in Fulton County. I visited my daughter Annie Maud Carter twice a week while she was in the County Jail. I u usually found her on the fifth or top floor where she was ironing see in the medicine room. Sometimes I saw her sitting around on the first floor. While visiting my daughter, Annie Maud Carter, I also met a white man, whom the prisoners called Dr. Wren. I would often see him on the top floor. I have heard him talking to Annie Maud Carter about Jim Conley and Dr. Wren told her she ought to marry Him Conley, that he was going to have plenty of money some day. I remember while I was there Dr. Wren brought her notes upon two occasions and he told her he brought them from Jim Conley. She read the notes to me, but there was nothing bad in them, and they were love notes. I do not know whether or not Conley wrote them, except what Dr. Wren said. I can not read myself very well and did not read the notes. I have since the last few days been trying to find my daughter and I have been to see a number of people whom I tried to find out from. I have been to see a Mr. Jacobs who runsa pawnshop on Decatur Street and he told me that she was in safe hands and would not be mistreated. I went to an office in the Fourth National Bank Building, and talked with some men in Mr. Haas' pffice. I understood them to be Mr. Haas, Mr.Arnold and Mr.Burns. I found this place by the direction of this Mr. Jacobs, who is a pawnbroker and Jew on Decatur Street, He showed me what office tomgo to . I told imm them I was the mother of Annie Maud Carter and wanted to see my child, and they told me that whenever I got ready to go, they would get me a ticket and

would send me with some one to see her. I talked with Annie Maud Carter and she never told me that Conley had ever stated to her that he had kil ed the little girl. She told me that he said Mr. Frank had killed the girl. Since Annie Maud Carter was turned out of jail, about a month ago, she has been living at my house. On last Thursday, April 23,194 she left home. After she left home I met her accidently uptown. I met her on Decatur Street. She has not been home since. Mr. J. Jacobs told me that she was taken good care of. He said that she came to him and told him about some men trying to trip her up and that she thought one of these men were Mr.Burns. He said that he had sent her to an office in the Fourth National Bank Building. I was told by my next dor neighbors that they saw Annie Maud Carter at my house, Friday, April 24. I did not see her myself. I found that all of her clothes was gone. I know that she had something pawned at No.120 Decatur Street, with said Jacobs. In an effort to locate my daughter I went to Burns! Detective Agency in the Healey Building. While there I talked to Herbert Heas. Mr Hums told me yesterday, April 28th, that he would send me to her whenever I wanted to go. Mr. Haastold me today, Wednesday, April 29th, that he didn't know where my daughter was, but he would locate her and let me talk to her. He said he would not send me to her, because some of the city detectives or some of the men from Solicitor Dorsey's office would follow me. The attached letter upon which I have written my name came through due course of mail in the attached envelope. It came to my daughter Ruth Carter. I recognized the writing on the envelope and the writing in the letter. It is the handwriting of my daughter, Annie Maud Carter. My daughter married Joseph Griffin, and was at one time known, and passed under the name of Joseph Griffin.

(Attached to the above affidavit ks an envelope addressed to Miss Ruth Carter, in care of Mrs.Robert Campbell, living on Reed Street, Atlanta, Ga." The envelope is posstmarked New Orleans, La., April 27,1913, 12 P.M. The letter is as follows: "New Orleans Louisiana, This is where I am living, 314 Lawer Line Street, April 27,1914: My dear fair farther. I will write you to let you here from me and no where I am. Well I am in New Orleans for a while and I am going leave herefor New York. I am working with Mr.William Burns so you no bye that what I am doing don't tell no one where I am keep that to your self tell amama the same and when you write dimt call me Annie Mand Carter. Call me Mrs.Joseph Griffin. Give all my love this is my office No 314 Lower Line Street, New Orleans

Louisiana. To morther and farther. ")

E. F. HOLLOWAY. Sworn for the State. (being portion of testimony given on the original trial) "On Monday morning I saw Conley. Instead of being upstairs where he ought to be, sweeping, he was down in the shipping room, watching the detectives, officers and reporters. I caught him washing his shirt. Looked like he tried to hide it from me. I took it up and looked at it carefully and looked like he didn't want me to look at it at all."

The State further introduced the original bill of indictment, verdict, sentence, motion for new trial and order granting new trial in the case of the State vs. Ed Williams and Annie Maud Carter.

The records shows an indictment for the theft of a gold watch and of Annie Carter

Twenty dollars in money. A verdict of guilty, a sentence/of fifteen years in the penitentiary and an order granting a new trial on March 7,1914.

The State further introduced the following telegram addressed to Charles A. Isom, c/o Reed House, Chattanooga, Tenn, "Take first train from Atlanta. (Signed) C.W.Burke."

Lated atlanta Ga. april 6, 1914

The State further introduced the following transcript of the evidence of R.P.Barrett, given at the original trial:

".Did you or not find any hair anywhere there? A.I found the hair on a bench lathe, on the handle.

Q/How far was this hair, what kind of a handle was it on? A.It was in the shape of an "L".

Further on, product, the following questions were put by the State and answers given, wiz:

Q. How was the hair caught in there? A. Swinging down like this (indicating the state state shape sh

大方 大二八大田

Kate Allen testified by affidavit as follows:

I am the wife of Aaron Allen. I now live at No. 9 Piedmont Ave. I am personally acquainted with Jake Jacobs, a man who runs a pawn shop at 120 Deactur street, Atlanta, Georgia. .. personally know that my husband, Aaron Allen, has been pawning things with Jacobs at 120 Decatur street, Atlanta Georgia, for about two or three years. Sometime about six months or more ago, I have forgotten the exact time, Allen left Atlanta. He was sick in indianapolis, and write me he wanted to come home. I lost the letter that he wrote me. Sometime about March 29th, I will not be certain about the date, but probably a month or two months ago, I took this letter and showed it to Jake Jacobs at 120 Decatur street, and told him I wanted to make some arrangements to get some money to bring Allen home. Jacobs told me that he would bring him home. I didn't have to pay him any money and I didn't have to pawn anything. I didn't ask him how he was going to bring him home or why, but I stated to him that if he brought Allen home I would see that he got his pay. I did not know anything more about the matter until I saw Allen on last Friday April 24th at about 12 o'clock.

Aaron Allen testified by affidavit as follows:

I am making this affidavit for use on the hearing of the extraordinary motion for new trial of Leo M. Frank. I am a negro, having been born in Alabama. I lived in Atlanta, Ga., off and on for the last nineteen years. I have done some work as a detective for the police officials of the City of Atlanta, and am well known to Chief Lanford. Mr. Harry Scott, the Pinkerton man, and Mr. John Black, city detective, put me soon after Mary Phagan was murdered into the cell with Newt Lee, and instructed me to do all I could to find out who murdered Mary Phagan. They wanted to know what Newt Lee knew, and told me that if Newt Lee was guilty they wanted to know it. They said to be mighty particular about everything I did or said because they wanted nothing but the truth and didn't

157

こうそのない 人をはいるいかいかい

want to swear anybody's life away.

I left Atlanta of my free will and accord and went North. I was in Indianapolis, Indiana, for about six months sick. I was under the care of the city dispensary there, being unable to work, and was being cared for as a stranger. I have just this day been to see a doctor here in Atlanta. He tells me that I have consumption and that I cannot live long, and I promised him I would go to the Battle Hill Sanitarium.

A white man, who said that his name was "O'Neal" came to me in Indianapolis the 30th day of March, 1914, and said among other things, that he wanted me to go down to Chicago and catch a negro who was sleeping with some white woman and getting all of her money. He didn't call him a "negro" but called him "a colored gentleman". He also addressed me as "Mister Allen". I caught him in two or three mistakes but I knew I had done nothing so I told him I would go on to Chicago or anywhere else in the world he wanted me to go. I wanted to get away from where I was and wanted to come to Atlanta and had a ticket to Atlanta at that time. This man took my ticket away from me and I went with him to Chicago.

I remained in Chacago five days and was paid \$15.00 and all expenses. I was paid by somebody connected with the William J. Burns detective agency in that town. When I first got there this man sent me out to spend the night with a negro detective by the name of Bell. This man Bell had a wife who looked to me like a white woman. Though I would not say she was white woman I believe she was white. They told me to go to a certain place, but then somewody met me and told me that was the wrong place, and at last they brought me into the Transportation Building into the office of William J. Burns. I saw Mr. Burns' picture hanging on the way, and I saw big offers of rewards. The rooms that I went into were on the 6th floor of the 'ransportation building, and had the name of the Burns detective agency printed on the door. I didn't know what they wanted me for and I let them do most of the talking. After I got up into the office I met William J. Burne himself. He put everybody else out of the room and this is about what occurred between us: He asked me did I know my wifewanted

3

to see me and how I was feeling. He asked me did I know Mr. Jacobs of Atlanta. He asked me whether I was sick enough to have a doctor, if I was he would have one come in right away. I said "No, sir, not now". He went away just then and sent in Mr. Jake Jacobs, a man who keeps a pawn shop at 120 Decatur st., Atlanta, Ga. Mr. Jacobs shook hands with me and called me "Mr. Allen". (Mr. Burns called me Mr. Allen when he talked to me too.) I asked Mr. Jacobs: "Why do you call me Mr. Allen, now that you got up here too?" He told me I was in a different country, and I was just as much here as anybody else. I told him "Well, I knew that". He said my wife was wanting to see me mighty bad. Mr. Jacobs asked me: "Why did you leave Atlanta?" I said: "Why, Mr. Jacobs?" He said: "Your wife told me that the detectives all told her to keep Allen out of the city because they are wanting him here". How came you to leave Atlanta", he asked me again. "I left Atlanta_of my free will", I told him Jacobs said: "You know you are lying. I am a good mind to knock you over from this table". I asked him "Why do you speak that way?" He answered, "Because you know you are lying. You were paid to go away from that place and you gave your wife \$35.00 to pay her rent with and you paymed your things to me the day you left". He said, "You know who gave you that money for you to leave town--those city detectives --- and you won't tell it because you are afraid of them. You don't have to go back down there. You are scared of them. How many times have I seen John Black say 'Come here, you black son of a bitch' and slap your jaws". I told him it wasn't true that I had not been paid anything to leave at all.

Before I finished talking with Mr. Jacobs, Mr. William

J. Burns came in. He said at first: "Mr. Allen, the only
thing we want of you is the truth and nothing but the truth.

You know when you said that you didn't know any of the parties personally---Jim Conley or Leo Frank or Newt Lee---that
you is absolutely lying. You know when you say that you had
\$320 of your own honest, clean money, that you worked and
shoveled and got hold of in Atlanta-- that you are a dam lie!

159

これは いんしんしょうかん

His voice was loud. "Why, Mr. Jacobs says he has known you from five or six or ten years and never known you to have over \$20.000 Now, Mr. Allen I am sorry I said what I did but if you will you can state the truth and nothing but the truth, and that is what we want out of you". He didn't say the detectives gave me the money, then, but he said: "You know somebody gave you that money to leave town with and you are a liar every time you say they didn't do it".

When Mr. Jacobs left Mr. Burns had a talk with me privately. He asked me what did I know of the Mary Phagan case. I replied: "Mr. Burns, do you want me to tell you the truth or to tell you a story"? He said: "Mr. Allen we don't ask anybody to tell us lies, but the plain truth". He said: "Now, Mr. Allen go ahead, just state to me what you know about it". I replied again: "Mr. Burns, truth is the light, ain't it?" He said "Yes". I said: "I knows nothing at all about the lady getting killed, no more than I just heard". I says, "I was one time asked by Mr. Black, a city detective in Atlanta, Ga., and also Mr. Harry Scott, a Pinkerton man. I was charged to go down and get in the cell with Newt Lee and find out what Newt Lee had to say fully and in detail. I told him Newt said he was innocent. Then I went into detail and told him what happened about as follows:

"When I got in the cell with Newt Lee in the city of Atlanta, I was in there about 20 minutes, and I spoke to Newt Lee first. I asked him what was his trouble. He replied to me that he had no trouble whatever. I says "Why are you in here?" He asked me had I knowed about the white lady had got killed at the pencil factory. I told him no, that I was a stranger in town. In order to get in with Newt Lee I told him a galse-hood about killing a white man. Lee told me that he hadn't done nothing and that he was innocent. Lee told me that he knowed nothing in the world concerning what he was put in there for. I told him if I was him I would tell the truth, I wouldn't lay here in jail, I would tell just what I knowed about it. I said, "If you thought or knowed that white man

160

そのとう いん あいはいとうないはんないしょかんとうしょ かん

killed this girl with you working there, and had you to help him do away with her, I would go up and tell the detectives so they would turn you out". He said, "Lord have mercy, hush, . you are trying to get me in trouble, because Idon't know nothing in the world about it, no more than I found the lady, and I hope and trust the Lord will show everybody on earth who killed her. The Lord knows and detectives will know some day . just who killed the lady, because I am a innocent man". I asked Lee who he thought killed this lady, asked why they had to arrest her him, because he found her. He answered and told me he had no thoughts, and he didn't know, but he knowed one thing, that my boss, who was over me, he acted very strange. He told me to come back at half past three or four o'clock -- I have forgotten what it was, half past three or four- I did so, as he asked me to, and I come back, he told me I was just a few minutes early, or late (I forget which he said) but that was all right, and I did not begin work I could go on out in town and come back. Well, friend, I will say to you it looks mighty strange that Mr. Frank called me up about 7 or 8 o(clock, and asked me how was everything. He had never called me and asked me before. I thought that looked mighty suspicious and strange, but as to what I know, brother-friend I don't know nothing, I don't know nothing. If they hang me, or kill me, or turn me aloose, or do whatever they want to with me, it will be all right, because Lord knows, the detectives will know, everybody on earth will know that I know nothing concerning that lady getting killed". Newt Lee was taken out of the cell from me, and when he come back I asked him where had he been. He told me they had taken him and put in the cell with Mr. Frank. I say, "Well, partner, you better make it up in yourmind to tell the truth and nothing buth the truth, because these white folks kill and lynch a nigger, whether he is guilty or not. If I knowed who killed her I would sure say so. I am going to tell the truth in my case". He told me he didn't know. He said, "I'll tell you what did happen. Mr. Frank told me if I kept talking so much

161

できない こんまないなんないいんしいしょうけんし

until its going to get us both in trouble". I was then taken out of the cell with Newt Lee and had a talk with Mr. Black and chief Lanford and Mr. Scott, and told them all I knowed that Newt Lee said. They told me to go ahead "We will see you again, Allen; if we need you, we will let you knpw". I was then never asked any further questions apy more in that case by none of the detectives or any of the officers until I was questioned by detective Wm. J. Burns, Mr. O'Neal, Mr. Jage Jacobs and Charley Isom, in the city of Chicago, Ill., April 2nd.

When I got through this statement, Mr. Burns asked me what was my opinion about the case altogether. I told him I had no fixed opinion about the case, that I knowed nothing concerning the case, that I wasn't implicated and never worked in the case but one night and half of a day. He asked me how much money was I promised to go down in the cell. I told him not any moneyuwas promised to me, that Mr. Black told me that he would see that I got paid for my work, but he had not paid me a penny up to that time.

これの これにはいいという

him the last time a negro boy who was called in the office of Mr. Burns, Mr. Bell, and who was one of Burns' men, told me that he wouldn't tell any story for Mr. Charley Isom or Mr. Jacobs or anybody on earth, if he was in my place. Bell told me after I had had a talk with Charley Isom. I knew Charley Isom in Atlanta. After Eurns talked to me the last time then Charley Isom came. I had been up to Burns's office going on the third day before Isom came. After I saw Burns the second time they turned me over to isom. The following is what occurred between myself and Isom after I had been there about three days and had already seen Burns Twice:

Mr. Isom met me and shaken hands with me and said he was glad to see me man called me "Mr. Allen". I asked him did he call me "Mr. Allen", and he said "Everybody puts the mister in this part of the country, so they say". He told me that he come after me and I would have to go with

him. After he had teased me along, he told me he was just teasing and joking with me, and he was going to tell me the facts and the truth, and he was working for Mr. Burns, and asked me why did I leave Atlanta. I told him I left there of my own free will. He says, "They all say around there that you was paid to leave Atlanta, two or three hundred dollars, is that the fact"? I told him, "No, sir". He said, "No need of lying to me. Me and you have been friends; I have done you plenty of favors, and you have done me some, how come you can't tell me the truth"? He says, "I don't want you to tell nothing but the truth. You know you was in the cell with Conley. We want you to tell the truth about being in the cell with Conley". I told him, no, I was not, I didn't know Conley, never had seen Conley. "Well", he says, "to make the story long and short, I'll tell you, Allen, let's get down to business. You know Jim Conley, and he has already got a year conviction, and that Jew is already convicted to break his neck, and between you and me I'll tell you what they heard down there, whether it's true or not, that you did find out from Conley in the cell, that he did tell you he killed that girl, and your statement was going to be against Conley, and they gave you three or four hundred dollars, and run you out of town. Is that true or not?" I told him, "N "No sir, ". He says, "Have you ever told the truth"? I told him yes. "Did you ever tell a lie"? I hemmed and hawed and finally I told him I had told funny tales, but when it come to swearing a man's life away I wouldn't like to tell a lie and no other science business". He told me, "Well, you have tolda lie, and it didn't hurt you. I went you to tell this lie, but I am trying to show where, if you told all. kind of lies in this case, it wouldn't hurt you but would get you money". He says, "It is a feather in your hat, would be a feather in my hat; probably you will have a job as long as you live with these people at the rate of \$120 or \$125 a month, and maybe I will, too. If I was you, I would go on and tell, because this man Frank aint never going to walk on

the ground no more nohow, "says, "it is only just to save neck, that is all. Don't you know I know that that man never will come clear". He says, "why don't you just go ahead and make them feathers for me and for yourself and go ahead and make them feathers for me and for yourself and go ahead and make go back up the country if necessary. Make an affidavit and get hold of them feathers, and if you think it is wrong, go down there and change it. Conley made 5 or 6 changes, why can't you change them". I told him I would just be telling a lie, because I had never seen Conley in my life. "If I was to tell anything concerning Conley, I would be telling a story, because I have never seen him. "He says, "Is you going to do it?" Says, "Give me your hands". I says, "I will give you my hand. I am going to tell the truth".

So he left me, and Mr. Burns told me "Mr. Isom says that you are ready to tell the truth, and I am ready to talk with you". He called his stenographer and started off. When they got through dictating the matter he asked me, "What was you scared to talk about, why was you scared to talk? I you was going to tell me something, and here you come telling the same old story that was printed in the paper and we knowed all that before hand. Now, don't you know that you are scared of them city detectives. I know that every colored man in the South has got to be humble and stay in his place." Burns says to me, shaking his finger in my face, "You know something". This was after I had told him twice already and told Isom and told all'of them up two or three different times during all the five days that I stayed there, that I didn't one thing in the world except what I know. He said I knowed something, and wouldn't tell him.

When I got ready to leave, Mr. Burns told me, shaking hands with me, that the only thing he regretted was, he had handled two hundred thousand colored men, since he had been in the detective business "and had never saw one what wouldn't tell the truth but you". I shaken his hand, and told him, "well, Mr. Burns, if I never see you no more, I hope some day that the Lord will show to you that I have told you the truth

right, I believe you are honest and straight, but you have made an affidavit down in that part of the country, and you are scared to tell what you know. I would take care of you, but as it is by hope to see you again".

One day I was in one of the offices in Mr. Burns! place in the Transportation building, and there were several of Burns' men in there. They all went out and left me in there, and then they called me out into another room, and kept me for about 20 minutes, and then took me back in the big room. When I left that big room, there was no money in there that I saw. When I got back in that big room, there was nobody in there at all but myself, and I saw on the table some money, both greenbacks and silver. It was lying up on the table where I couldn't help but see it, and I was left alone in this room with this money about 20 minutes, and then a negro detective who called himself "Mr. Bell" came in. I did not touch the money and did not count it. I sat off and looked at that money and looked around and tried to see how much there was there, but I would not go near the table, because I thought somebody was looking at me. The paper money was stuck all around, and the sliver money was poured up on top of it. It was not piled up, but just scattered like you had poured it out of a sack.

I sat down in the presence of William J. Burns and dictated myself, an affidavit, to his stenographer, and afterwards she brought it back to me and I read it over three times and signed it. I signed two pages, but there were four pages of dictation. I didn't put anything in that paper except the absolute truth, and if he has got any paper that he claims I signed, stating anything except just like I have stated it in this paper, it is absolutely untrue and they have changed it. If he put anything in that paper about me knowing anything about Conley it is false, I didn't say it. After I signed the paper Burns said to some of his men, I don't know who it

pay Allen whatever he thinks he wants, or whatever Mr. O'Neal has promised him, and let him go on home, or wherever he wants to go, because he won't tell the truth nohow. He knows something that would do us some good, but he is scared to tell it. Then I left his office with Mr. Bell, and he took me to the depot and he told me on the way that he thought I was the wisest colored man he ever saw or met, in not telling no lies. He told me in the presence of Mr. Burns that if he was me he wouldn't tell no lies. Well, he buys me a ticket from Chicago to Indianapolis, Ind., and told me he wished I would get well and do well, and to always stick and tell the truth, that it would always be better for a colored man in the long run. He said he certainly was afraid that Mr. Isom and Mr. Jacobs was going to make me tell the wrong tale, and he said he was certainly glad that I didn't do it, and believed I had done my part, and I shook hands with him and told him good bye and got on the train, and I haven't heard any more from him.

I remained in Indianapolis two weeks or more, then I went to Cincinnati, and from Cincinnati I went back to Indianapolis, and then I come from Indianapolis to Atlanta.

After I got to Atlanta, Ga., the first man I saw who asked me anything about this case was Mr. Jacobs, before I reached home. He told me to come in, that he wanted to see me. He asked me if I wanted to stay here, or go where my brother was or go where my mother was, and didn't I think it was too low for me to stay at my wife's house in my condition and that I had better go somewhere to a higher climate, and I told him I didn't know, and he said, "well, "won't do for you to stay down there, it is too low", and he said "wait just a minute", and he called somebody over the 'phone. My house is within a block of the station house. Mr. Jacobs know where my wife lives, and he knew where I was going when I done this talking. I told Mr. Jacobs that I thought I would stay here until I got better, or maybe always, that I just went off for experience, and now I felt like I had seen as

much as I wanted about that good country they claimed, and he said "it was not so good to you, was it, you went up there and and got tuberculosis", and I said "no", and he said "but the people here take better care of you and I would advise you not to stay here, I think there is some trouble out about you but if you do get into any trouble come and see me. I went on home, and the next day I was coming up the street and I passed his shop, and the young man that worked there told me that Mr. Jacobs wanted to see me right away quick, and I told him all right, and Mr. Jacobs wasn't there, and he called up somebody in the Temple Court Building, and he said Mr. Jacobs told me to meet him at the steps, and I did so and he carried me to the Fourth National Bank Building on the 11th floor, and and he kept me there about an hour in a private room, and he went away some place, I don't know where, and he told me Mr. Burns would be there in a minute, and wanted to see me, and to just tell Mr. Burns where I wanted to go and he would send me wherever I wanted to go. I waited and waited and waited and he didn't come back, and nobody called for me, and I was sick and needed air, and I goes out and catches the elevator, and a gentleman met me and says "are you the boy that was in the office" and I said "yes", and he said "Mr. Jacobs said to come to his place right away", and I went down there and he , said, "Mr. Burns said that was all right, to stay here, he don't think he will need your affidavit for it isn't any good anyway".

Yesterday, which was Monday April 27, 1914, I was standing on the corner of Piedmont avenue and Decatur sts., and Chief Lanford passed by me and he and I spoke about the same time, I told him howdy and he told me howdy, and he told me as soon as I had time to come down to his office that he wanted to see me. I goes down to his office in the afternoon, about three o'clock, and he and I had a private talk in his office, and he asked me if I was ever in Chicago and I told him yes sir, and heasked me had I ever made tanuaffidavit tone. Burnsiand I told him jes sir, mand he yes at I well in his in the same in I was ever in Chicago and I told him yes sir, and heasked me had I ever made tanuaffidavit tone.

12

that, but I didn't know how true it was, I know I never missed you until a day or two back, some of them were saying something about you and I thought you were in town", and I said "Yes, I went off on an experience and had bad luck, got tuberculosis in that country, and he said, "Allen, go ahead and come back here about six o'clock Mr. Black wants to see you", and I goes to the hospital for an examination and when I got back the chief told me I was too late, and I told him I had to go to the hospital and was examined, and then he told Mr. Starnes and Mr. Campbell to take me in a private room and he will tell you all about what happened in Chicago. They did so and I told them all that I knew or could think of that was true. After I stated to them everything I knew, Mr. Starnes told me that the only thing he wanted was nothing but the truth and also Mr. Campbell said the same, and that it was hardly necessary to go over things that were not true, the only thing he wanted was the truth and nothing but the truth. Mr. Starnes told me if I could so arrange to meet him at the station house the next morning at 8 o'clock or past half past 8, and I told him I thought 1 could if I wasn't sick, and if I was able I would be there sure, and he said all right, if you are sick and can't come I will come to your house. But I come down there and met Mr. Starnes and Mr. Campbell and Mr. Black and Mr. Starnes told me to come in there and we could fix it up right away, get Mr. Febuary to take it down, and Mr. Black told Mr. Starnes he thought it would be wise to take me to Mr. Dorsey's office, and Mr. Starnes asked me if 1 would go to Mr. Dorsey's office, and I told him I would. I went over to the Solicitor "eneral's office and this affidavit was taken down nearly all of it being distated by myself. I have read the same over carefully and have signed my name on each and every page hereof, and the same is true in every respect.

C. A. Isom testified by affidavit as follows:

I am personally acquainted with Jimmie Wren, who is in the employ of C. W. Burke, and he is the brother of George Wren, who has recently finished a jail sentence of 12 months for simple larceny (stealing \$50,000.co worth of diamonds from an express wagon) and I am also personally acquainted with George Wren. I have seen Jimmie and George Wren with C. W. Burke on several occasions, in front of the Grant Bldg., in which Mr. L. Z. Rosser's office is located, who is an attorney for Leo M. Frank. I saw Mr. Bufke come out of the building and talk with Jimmie and George Wren, and I have seen them together at other times, one time in front of the Fourth National Bank Building, in which Attorney Haas' office is located. I know that Jimmie Wren is working for C. W. Burke, but I don't know whether George Wren is working for him or not, but I see the two boys together a good deal.

Nellie Wood testified by affidavit as follows:

I live at No. 8 Essie Avenue. I have been a trained nurse, and have just finished a course at a millinery school 94-1/2 Whitehall St. At this particular time I am not coing anything.

I have read over from Vol. 7, beginning at p . 3418, what transpired in the court room when I was tendered as a witness by the State. The whole transaction is as follows:

MISS NEILIE WOOD. Called in behalf of the State,

DIRECT EXAMINATION,

BY MR. DORSEY:

Mr. Dorsey: I want to show by this witness the general character; second, I want to prove a specific incident that occurred between her and Frank, in his office, that he made her an indecent proposal, and she was working in the pencil factory at the time, and I submit it is material, because they have proven and undertaken to set up that no such proposal was ever made. This is in rebuttal of his statement.

Mr. Rosser: Your Honor let in certain statements over

our objections; Conley spoke about some girls on the fourth floor, and we had a right to go into it and see what girls on the fourth floor; but are we going to try four or five different fornication cases now? Let's settle it right now, Your Honor.

Mr. Dorsey: They have asked certain witnesses if they had been to Frank's office on Saturday afternoon and drank and did anything immoral. Now, if they can put that in, why can't we go on with this witness and show that such things did occur with this witness?

The Court: That came in without any objection, and came in absolutely in rebuttal of something that you had gotten in there, that they sought to rule out, a piece of evidence Conley testified to, and if it had been objected to at the beginning, I think I would have been compelled to have ruled it out, but I thought, inasmuch as they had cross examined Conley on it for a day or so, it was right to let it in.

Mr. Dorsey: Isn't it right for me to tzke this question and substituting the word "Mrs. Small", and say, "Miss Wood, you are a lady that worked on the fourth floor of the National Pencil Company two days, I'm going to ask you a question that they, the defendant's counsel have asked every lady that worked on that floor, so they say, 'Have you ever been down in Mr. Frank's office after hours drinking or doing anything immoral, at any time in that factory', and then can't I add, "or did Frank propose anything?"

The Court: To my mind, it isn't debatable at all.

Mr. Dorsey: Then we are absolutely shut out.

The Court: Well, I don't care, then the law shuts you out, if that's the case. According to that, you could put up everybody here and prove anything else he has ever done in his lifetime.

Mr. Dorsey: Now, they wanted it all covered at the same time. Now, havn't I got a right to show by a witness that worked at the National Pencil Company, the situation that she saw Frank in with a woman there?

The Court: I don't know, it's a good deal owing to what it shows.

Mr. Rosser: He means showing an immoral act on his part.

Mr. Dorsey: I am simply illustrating -- have we got a right to show or not what this man did to girls when he went through the factory slapping them and all that?

The Court: I don't know about that, if it's relevant to this case, you could do it.

Mr. Arnold: Relevant to this case, what some other woman did?

The Court: When you put up these witnesses and prove bad character, then they can go into that and ask what makes up that bad character, what have you heard, and so on. Now, he never said anything about any immorality except to dispute what Jum Conley had testified te, he didn't say a word, as I remember it, about the general proposition, he simply denied what Jim Conley had said about those things. I'll let you show by this woman or any other person, bad character on the part of this man, but no specific acts or any specific crimes. That's the law.

MISS NELLIE WOOD, Witness for prosecution in rebuttal, was then sworn.

DIRECT EXAMINATION.

questions by Mr. Dorsey:

Q. What is your name? A. Nellie Wood.
Q. Miss Wood, where do you live? A. Live in Ormewood Park.
Q. Are you acquainted with the general character of Leo M.
Frank? A. No sir, not knowing him but two days. I didn't know him but two days.
Q. That's what people say about him, general character, what people say about him, that is prior to April 26th, 1913.
Were you acquainted with tge general character of Leo M.
Frank? A. I am not positive about it.
Q. Just answer the question, yes or no, whether much or little

(Mr. Arnold: -- Now, if the Court please, she says she didn't Mr. Dorsey: She hasn't answered the question yet.)

Are you acuquinted with the general character of Leo M. Frank? A. No sir, I only knew him two days. No sir, I do not know it. Q. Miss Wood, you had a conversation ----

(Mr. Arnold: Now I object to that, Your Honor. Mr. Dorsey:- I have been misled by the witness. Mr. Dorsey:-

I told the Solicitor-General before he put me on the stand that I was in the office of Leo M. Frank on one occasion when the said Frank made an indecent proposal to me. My experience as a trained nurse enabled me to fully understand and know what the said Frank intended. His language to me on that occasion was about as follows:

He said, "You know I am not like other people", and drawed his chair closer up to me; says, "I don't think you will understand me", and put his hands on me, and I resisted and got up and opened the door. He said, well he wasn't going to hurt me anyway, says, "You don't understand what I mean", and then he tried to pacify me, and convince me tjat he didn(t mean it the way I had taken it.

Soon after I appeared as a witness on the trial of the case of the State vs. Leo M. Frank, some man, whose name I do not now know, came to see me. Afterwards C. W. Burke came to see me. The first man who came to see me came in an automowhich I recognized to be the same automobile that C. W. Burke visited me in. C. W. Burke did not himself ever offer me any money to swear for Leo M. Frank, but the first man who approached me, the man who came in the automobile in which C.

W. Burke came, did make me a proposition to pay me money if I would swear to certain things in favor of Leo M. Frank. C. W. Burke afterwards came to see me and told me that the court had ruled out my evidence, and insisted that I should give him an affidavit. I gave him an affidavit, which stated that I personally knew nothing about Leo M. Frank prior to the murder, except as to what occurred between me and him in his private office. This occurrence, which I have heretofore referred to in this affidavit, occurred at a time when nobody was present in his office except Leo M. Frank and myself.

I have no interest at all in the case of the State vs. Leo M. Frank, and it has embarrassed me to relate what I have in this affidavit with reference to Leo M. Frank's deportment to me when I was in his private office. That part of this affidavit was dictated by me personally to a lady stenographer.

W. J. Laney testified by affidavit as follows:

I, W. J. Laney, do solemnly swear that I have carefully examined the brief of evidence, in the case of Leo M. Frank, plaintiff in error, vs. the State, defendant in error, now of file in the office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Georgia, and I find that said brief shows that on the trial of said case in the Supreme Court, the State introduced the following witnesses, to-wot:

Gantt.J.M. Gheesling,W.H. Anderson, W.E. Mangum, C.W. Barrett, R.P. Parry, H.L. Beavers, J.L Grice,L.O Rogers, W.W. Harris, Dr.H.F., Haslett, B.B. Black, John R. Harris, Dr.H.F., Rosser, S.L. Haslett, B.B. Scott, Harry, Hicks, Miss Grace, Smith, Dr. Claude, Coleman, Mrs.J.W. Conley, James, Dalton, C.B. Holloway, E.F. Hurt, Dr.J.W. Stanford, Mell. Darley, N.V. Dobbs, L.S. Starnes, J.N. Jefferson, Mrs. Geo. W. Stever, Miss Monteen Lassiter, R.M. Waggoner, R.T. Epps, Geo. February, G.C. Lee, Newt, Ferguson, Miss Helen McKnight, Albert, White, Mrs. J.A.

Said Brief of Evidence shows, that after introducing the above named witnesses, the State rested.

Said Brief of Evidence shows that the State introduced in said trial, the following witnesses in rebuttal, to-wet:

Ballard, N.J. Donegan, Mrs.D. Duffy, J.E.
Epps, Vera,
Elder, W.J.
Floyd, J.R.
Funk, Dr. John Goddard, R.M.

Ballard, N.J. Graham, B.K.
Born, J.T., Griffin, Miss Magg:
Boyce, Leon, Gordon, Geo.
Benedict, Dr.S.C. Hale, W.C.
Caldwell, M.G., Heifner, F.P.
Carst, Miss Marie, Hunt, A.W.
Carson, Miss Rebecka, Hendricks, J.H.
Cato, Miss Myrtle, Hewell, Miss Dewey,
Westman Henry Graham, B.K. Craven, R.L., Herfman, Henry,
Cook, W. M. Hellis, W.T.
Carr, Henry, Hearn, J.T.
Coleman, J.W., Houston, A.B.
Davis, Miss Mary, Ingram, L.
Dobbs, Sergeant L.S. Johnson, Dr. Clarence
Dobbs, W.C., Johnson, Mrs. H.R. Johnson, R.V. Jones, Ivy Kendley, Geo. Kendrick, L.T. Kelley, N. Kitchens, Miss Mamie Matthews, W.M. Maynard, C.J. Merk, W.P.

McGinnis, C.B. Maggie McKnight, Albert, McEwing, J.C. McCoy,M.E. Niles, Dr.G.M. Owens, W.B. Patrick, W.C. Pettis, Miss Mellie Pickett,E.H. Reed,J.D. Robinson, Mass Ruth, Rogers, W.W. Rice, J.S. Scott, Harry Smith, Len. Smith, Miss Carrie Starnes, J. N. Tillander, C. Turner, W.E. Wallace, Mrs. Mary E Winkle, Miss Estelle Wright, W.M.

* 治

となったましているのかって

Of said list of witnesses introduced in rebuttal by the State the following were "character witnesses", who testified to the bad character of the defendant, Leo M. Frank, to iwi

Miss Myrtle Cato. Mrs.H.R. Johnson, Mary Davis, Carrie Smith

Goddard, A.L.

Mrs. C. D. Donegan, Miss Nellie Pettis, Maggie Griffin, Miss Marie Caret, Mrs. Mary E. Wallace Estelle Winkle.

Deponent does not construe certain witnesses who testified to specific acts of the defenant, reflecting on his character as "character witnessee".

I have also carefully examined a document handed me by Mr. High M. Dorsey purporting to be a copy of the Extraordinary Motion for New Trial filed by the defendant Leo M. Frank. In said motion it is alleged that the following witnesses, introduced at said trial have repudianted their testimony, or certain material parts thereof, to-wit:

Albert McKnight, Miss Dewey Hewell, Miss Ruth Roberts Miss Mamie Kitchens, C. B. Dalt Miss Marie Karst (Carst) Ivy Jones, C. B. Dalton, J. E. Duffey.

of said list of witnesses, who are alleged to have repudiated their testimony delivered on said trial, only one to-wit:

Miss Marie Karst (Carst)

was a "character witness".

The following is a numerical summary of the foregoing list of witnesses:

Total introduced by State ---- 99 ---- Total alleged to have repudiated

Character witness interduced by the State------10--

Character witnesses allegleged to have repudiated testimony----- 1

Deponent further says that he is an attorney at law, and have been practicing at the Atlanta bar about seven years.

The State further introduced the following transcript of the testimony of Miss Grace Hicks as given at the original trial:

the witness Miss Corinthia Hall:

"Q.How did you know that that was Mary Phagan? A.I just knowed her by her hair being so long.
Q.Knew her by her hair? A. Yes sir.
On cross examination counsel for the defendant asked said witness, among others, the following questions, and received the following answers, viz:

answers, viz:
"Miss Grace, what sort of hair did little Mary Phagan have? A. Well
she had a kind of sandy color of hair.
Q. Was it lighter than yours or less light? A. It was darker than mine.
Q. Darker than your hair? A. Yes sir.
Q. Much darker? A. Well, it was about two shades darker than mine.
Q. You would say about two shades; she was still a blond girl,

though? A. Yes sir."

The State further introduced the following transcript of the testimony of Miss Magnolia Kennedy as given at the original trial:

"Q.Did you discover any hair on there anywhere, identify any hair? A. Mr.Barrett called me and showed me the hair at the machine. Q.And you identified it, didn't you A. Yes sir. Q.Whose hair was it? A. It looked like Mary's hair. Q. Where was it when you saw it? A. It was on the lathing machine. On page 2253 of said record, these cross questions were asked and

these answers given: Q.Now, what was the color of Mary's hair, and what was the color of this hair you found there? A.Mary's hair was a light brown, kind of a sandy color. Q. Was this light brown that you found? A. Yes sir."

The State further introduced the following statement made

by Frank's attorney at the original trial in the examination of

"Now I will ask you a question that I am asking every lady who works on the 4th floor, - Did you ever meet Mr. Frank at the factory, or at any time or place for any immoral purpose?"

and the question asked by Frank's attorneys of the witness Miss Ida

Hayes: "Now I am going to ask you a question that I am asking every lady who works on the 4th floor, - Did you ever at any time or place meet Mr. Frank for any immoral purpose down at that office, or anywhere else?"

Nellie Wood testified orally before the Court as follows: I am the Nellie Wood who worked at the National Pencil Factory. Worked there two days. I quit because Frank insulted me. I don't remember just what he did say, but I didn't like it. I do not care to go into details of what he said and did if it is not necessary. This man, L. P. Eubanks called me up over the phone and askid to speak to me. I says, "This is her". He says, "This is Mr. Eubanks". I says, "I don't know anything about any Eubanks". He says, "You would if you saw me", he said he wanted to see me, but wouldn't tell me what his business. I says, "My little brother is sick now and I cannot talk to you on business. You can come out to my place. I knew I had went on some people's bonds. I didn't know who in the world it could be. I asked him what his business was, what he wanted with me. He said, "I will tell you when I come out, I don't care to tellyou over the phone". He come out, he come there, the doctor was there and my father and everybody. I didn't know what he wanted to talk to me about, I thought maybe he wanted to tell me about somebody in trouble. I says, "I can not talk to you this afternoon". He says, "It won't take but a few minutes to tellyou what I want to know". He says, "If you care to you can come out and take a ride in the car"? ,He says, "it will not take more than fifteen minutes". I wasn't dressed to go out and he says, "You can put on your coat and come". I put on my coat and come on out and got in the car and as he was driving around he asked me if I remembered a sensational trial here in Atlanta. I says, "What do you mean?" He says, "The Frank case". I says, "Yes". He says, "What do you know about it?" I says, "Very little, why?" And he just dropped it. He says, "I want to make an appointment with you to talk with a party about it". I says, "The baby is sick and I can not leave home, but for a few minutes at a time". He said he wanted to make an appointment He said he had a man who would come out the next day and. talk to me about it. I told him the next day I had to go to the doctor and to meet me at the car, and he did and a man

175

かんか 一大大は はん いだししてもんかっしょ

named Mr.Barke awas there and Mr.Burke didn't tell me anything and Mr. Burke and Mr. Eubanks asked me to to Mr. Burke's office, and asked me what I knew about the case, and I says, "I don't know anything at all." Eubanks told me I could make some money if I went to work on the case for them; that I was a working girl and needed the money, and I told him that I could not do it; that I didn't know anything about it. He didn't state any amount that he would pay me. Mr. Eubanks was the man that came in Burke's car. He told me he worked at the Southern Railroad. I am engaged to be married. This talk about my walking up and down Decatur Street is ain't true, it is scandalous, it has just ruined me. I lived right around the corner on Daniel Street, for a while and the negroes got in that section in the property around there, and we moved, we wold our place and bought another one. The only way he knew me he seen me on the streets there going home, and he didn't know me by name even, and didn't know me only that somebody may have gossiped around. I didn't know his name or anything. I testified before the Coroner's jury I told them everything I knew about Frank. I have never been arrested or in the police station. Only I was down there as a witness. I live on Pulliam Street, with my mother and father. Before that I lived at Ommewood Park. Before that I lived on Corput Street with my mother and father. Before that, near the Fulton Bag and Cotton Mills, where we lived six or seven years. I went on bond at police court to please a friend of mine two or three years ago. I went on the bond of a man named Ward, He was charged with seduction. He was a very dear friend of a lady friend of mine, and she wanted me to go on the bond. I am a milliner. I just learned and I stopped off for the purpose of getting martied. Before I studied to be a milliner, I was a telephone operator. I never did anything disrespectable. I. B. EUBANKS, Sworn for the State. I know J.E. Duffy, I have loaned J.E. Duffy money recently and have notes for same. I have the notes with me. I know C. B. Burke. I am the L. P. Eubanks who was a witness against Mell Arnold and Duffy and several other people, prosecutions for car robbery in the Superior Court. I was working 176 for the Southern Railroad at the time. I never worked for C.W. Burke. I was present at a conference between Burke, Mell Arnold,

こうそうしていて、大の前にはあいましていてく

J.E. Duffy, and Burke's chauffeur, Lynn at myself at my house. money was given Duffy at that time. I made him loans on April 4 and April 11th. I leaned Duffy money when he worked under me at the Southern Railroad in the year 1910 and 1911 and at various times I loaned him small amounts of money. I think \$3.60 was the greatest amount I had ever loaned him prior to that time. He got the money at three different times. He got \$5.00 at one time and then on May 4th, I put that on a note of \$10.00 I let him have and on May 11th I put it on a note with \$10.00 and let him give one note for it \$25.00. I said May a minute ago and that should have been April of this year. He made an affidavit on December 11, 1913, and I never loaned him any money at all, in fact until April 4,1914. I did not give him one cent at that time. It must have been 7;00 o'clock when the parties met at my house. I never let Duffy have any money at all that night we met at my house. Tht was on December 11.1913. He made an affidavit for C.W. Burke and on April 4,1914, I let him have the first money I ever let him have since long before this trouble in 1912. I did not tell him he would never have to pay it back. I did not make such a statement to Duffy's father. Old man Duffy came over there to the railroad to my place of business - -I went to see Nellie Wood about the 25th of Jamary, 1914. I knew in the case she was a witness for the State/against Leo M. Frank. I went in C.W.Burke's automobile, and I went at his instance. Burke asked me to go there, he said he didn't know her himself, and I did. I had been a foreman out there for the Southern Road at Decatur Street for a long time and everybody knew of Nell drifting up and down Decatur Street. I never had anything to do with her. I think she is a woman of bad character. I can give names as to who said she was a bad character, a fellow named Bishop. He was not one of the men indicted in that crowe. His initials are J.E. Bishop and is not related to B.B. She told me that, while I was talking to her, that anything and everything would be all right, in connection with what she was doing . If telling me would mean I did know, I do know of my own knowledge. Anybody down there who will say enything about her will talk of her general bad character. Bishop can be found on Decatur Street. He is working there for the Southern Road as car inspector. He is in my office there all the time.

He did not make an affidavit in the Frank case for me. He told me he gave her a dozen bottles of beer one night and she was to meet him and he said she went off and never came back. He was not asked to make an affidavit. I was not talking to him about the Frank case, I was talking about Nell Wood. I brought up the subject. We talk about all these kind of characters, you know, down there. I was not making any investigation for C.W.Burke at that time. Mr. CROSS EXAMINATION. Reaser I have never talked to Mr.Arnold or to Mr.Rosser about this case at all. I went to an office and said a few words to Mr. Heasabout this case yesterday. Burke was at that time and prior to that time, under-taking to have some of the boys reinstated with the Southern Road and had been mixed mpmx up in some cases and he had been working on Mell Arnold's case and while he hadn't gone back to work, he was promised the first opening that would come up. He went back to work on Jamuary 1, of this year. Burke was down there one day talking about that and he made the remark to me "I want to see Duffy too". I naturally supposed he wanted to see him about going back to work. Mr. Burke and everybody else knew I was not interested in the Frank case and did not care anything about it. He asked me where he was going and about going out to see him. I told him he was working at Kampers. He says "I can not get hold of him for some cause or other. Can't you get him?" I told/I will ask Arnold to go out there and catch him and get him to come to my house tonight. Burke says "I would not like to go all the way out to where he lives. I told him he put up right down below me and I had no bajection to his coming out to my house and I know he (Duffy) will come, as I know he is anxious to get back to work at the Southern Railroad. Arnold went and found him and told me that he said he would be there at 7;30. I went to the telephone and told him that Duffy had consented and was even anxious to come and he came and Mr.Burke did too. Arnold, Lynn, Burke and myself were there too. Arnold and Duffy had been charged with car robbery and indicted for it. I guess Duffy's case has been disposed of. I understood it was nol prossed. As to what occurred at my house, we all went in the room there and sat down by the fire and talked along for awhile. We always felt pretty friendly towards one another. We hung around 178 Burke's office nearly a year off and one and he has always made us

welcome. After a while Mr. Burke commenced talking about the Frank wase and he presented his theory of the case and told Duffy,"I want, if you have not already told the truth, or if you have, I want to get an affidavit from you". They discussed it on for awhile and Duffy agreed to make the affidavit, and they discussed the point of the possibility or probability of blood dropping on the floor, and then there was something mentioned about \$7.60paid for court costs and I remember those things as having been gone over prior to the making of the affidavit. After the affidavit was made, and before it was signed, I heard Burke reading it over to Duffy and Duffy signed it. Burke did not ask anything but the truth. I was there all the time. The statement was the boy's own statement, ,according to the way he made it, voluntarily. He said it was abo solutely true and he repeated that and asserted it positively and he was glad to do it for nothing. Burke did not threaten him in any way. I have not been riding in the automobile with Duffy recently.

WM. J. BURNS, Sworn for the State (by deposition). I am employed to investigate the Mary Phagan murder. I was first employed by Leonard Haas and Mr. Herbert Haas, attorneys for Leo M. Frank . There is still some money due me. There was a contract in writing. There was no stipulation as to my being paid more in the event I should report a certain way. There was not to be a particle of difference. My understanding was that I was to make my own investigation and find out the facts. I have been in conferences with Frank frequently. I concluded after the kinngfin kxxfix kxkalkxix aw first time I saw him that he was no pervert. I talked with him many times, and my opinion was strengthened each time. A man will indicate in his looks or his actions that he is no pervert, or is. I have made a close study of human nature for many years and I have dealt with all classes of people. In view of the fact that I have times arrested men who were considered perverts, I consider my opinion, formed on personal conferences and my knowledge of human nature, accurate and trustworthy. I did not have any personal conferences with James Conley. I should say after reading the letters, that I felt sure Conley wrote, and after examining the clothing of little Mary Phagan, I gave it as my positive opinion that Conley was a per-

vert. As to wan how I know Conley wrote those letters, I have examined the writing of the letters you are referring to and what is known as the "murder notes". I would not say that I wm a handwriting expert, but there are many characteristics in the writing of the murder notes which show very plainly in the notes written to Annie Maud Carter My definition of "pervert" as applied to Frank or Conley, there are many phases of the pervert. The sexual pervert is a man who satisfies his sexual passion in an unnatural way. , Considering Conley in connection with the letters, I would call him a fiendish pervert, that is, a man whose sexual passion is such that he would commit murder in order to satisfy it, in an unnatural way. You might take that term, it might take that turn, it might take a natural turn. He would be a pervert if it took the natural way. There are warious phases of the pervert. who mutilates the person and is a pervert in the definition I gave is not usually a man of ignorance or a man of education, he might be a very cunning man. It is a crime of both the educated and uneducated. In my opinion, after reading those letters, I would say Conley satiated himself in an unnatural way. Mr. Smith, attorney for Conley, gave me opportunity to see Conley whenever I wished, in his presence. I didn't avail myself of that privilege because I didn't think I would have the opportunity of talking with Conley in the way I wanted with the restrictions there were trause thrown There is a man named Adams who works for me, also about him. Botts Rogers. Charles Ison is not mm my payroll. He was not in Chicago with me. He came to my office in Chicago. He came to see a negro by the name of Aaron Allen. He came there with my knowledge and consent. It is not true that I had Isom there for the purpose of getting a statement from Allen after Ihad interviewed Allen myself for three days. I didn't send for Ismom at all, I received a telegram from here that a man by the name of Isom was coming on there. I think the telegram was from Mr. Herbert Haas. Mr. Jake Haas was there at the same time with Alaron Allen/ I have named O'Neal in my employ in Indianapolis. The negro didn't look very well, but I don't remember his being very sick. He could go around. I interviewed him twice. I did not hear from Isom when he interviewed Aaron Allen. He was sent on from here. They said Mr. Isom knew him

I didn't get a statement from Aaron Allen which amounted to myongx much. I never made any statement to Isom that I couldn't get anything from Aaron Allen I wanted. Isom didn't make any report to me. I told him he told me about being put into a cell with Newt Lee and about Newt Lee telling him he was innocent and then of a white man being put in the next cell and Newt Lee kxix being placed there with him and overhearing the white man say "If you don't keep your mouth shut, you will get us all in trouble" and that the white man was brought back into the cell with Aaron Allen and he reported to Aaron Allen this same thing. I know Mr. Hopkins was there about the same time Allen and Isom were there and got the affidavit. J. Jacobs was there about the same time. I don't know anything about Jacobs' swearing to the good character of Annie Maud Carter, who gave these notes to you. I didn't hardly say anything to the fellow Aaron Allen. I didn't curse him, nor abuse him. No such thing ever happenned as some money being put out on a table in the room where Aaron Allen was, where he could see it, and nobody else in there. I didn't pay Allen anything. I didn't authorize O'Neal to tell Allen he wanted him over there to do some work in connection with catching some negro who was sleeping with some white woman. I didn't take Aaron Allen from Indianapolis to Chicago at all, I didn't know he was there at all. He was not detained there at all. I don't know any negro detective there by the name of Bell. I don't know that Charles Isom was patel \$100. through my agency to go up there and get that Megro Aaron Allen and get firom him a statement favorable to Frank. I never heard tell of it. I think Jacobs stayed there a day or two. Charles C. Tedder is not employed by me. I think he was employed by Mr.Lehon. I don't know how long Tedder had been drawing pay from my agency here. I don't know a thing in the world about Tedder being on the pay roll of the Wm.J.Burns Detective Agency. He didn't work on the Frank case that I know of. He worked on the Conley case, you might say. I am employed to work on the Frank case and the Conley case, everything connected with the Mary Phagan murder. I did not put Tedder to work on anything anywhere. I don't know what Lehon did. Lehon doesn't 181 make reports to me. He is manager of the Southern Office here and

also conducts the New Orleans office. He is making intestigation into the Frank case and Conley case and the Mary Phagan murder like me and getting angles over the country. He does not report to me in writing. He sometimes reports to me verbally. I do not get all the reports eventually and ultmately from all men working on this case. Mr. Sears has charge of them. Mr. Sears and Mr. Haas get them. Mr. Sears is just the local man here. I do not get, either verbally or in writing full and complete reports as to the investigation going on. These matters are not reported to Frank's counsel before they come to me, they come to our office first, they should. I am not the man who makes the reports to Frank's attorneys They report to Mr. Lehon and Mr. Haas. The purpose on object in dividing it up and my object in making subordinate reports to these men is so that they will know everything that is going on. I visited Mr.Wm.M.Smith in company with Mr.Dan Lehon some week or ten days ago, about eight o'clock in the morning. I knew that Carlton C. Tedder was reporting to Mr.Lehon at that time. Yes, I asked Mr.Smith if he trusted Mr. Tedder implicity on that occasion. I wanted to know whether or not he was frank with us in stating he would get come facts or gather some facts in connection with Conley. I was not anxious to convict Conley and Save Frank, not if Conley was innocent. I could not tell exactly when I first came into possession of facts with reference to this Ragsdale matter. The first time I ever heard of it, Mr.Lehon spoke to me about it. He stated that there was a preacher, and he was back in the alley, and when he got this far I stopped him. I didn't want to hear about any more people being in the alleys. Yes, I had sufficient of that, back of the pencil fact ory. I had a man in Chicago, who claimed to have picked up tha pocket book and memorandum book back in the alley of the National Pencil factory. I forget his name. He said he was a Salvation Army men. I did not get his affidavite Mr. Hopkins, I think took an affidavit from him. I guess it is in the possesion of somebody here in Atlanta. I heard so many alley propositions, there just have been three or four hundred propositions, I got disgusted. I didn't find them anywhere, either in Chicago, New York or Atlanta. The operatives kept telling me about them. Mr. Lehen and I were continually joking

182 about the number of men who were down in the alley. Yes, I talked

to this fellow in Chicago that picked up some books or things in the alley. He shoed me a memorandum book and told me about it that certain writing was in the book when he got it. I examined it, and concluded it was not Conley's writing and told him so and that ended it, so far as I was concerned. I thought it was his own writing. He also had a pocket book. I never made the statement in my life that I had that pocket book in my possession. I have no pocket book or purse that any one claims to have belonged to Mary Phagan? I have no mesh bag. I never authorized the statement in any newspaper to that effect that I had it. I never at any time made any claim that I had it. I never made any statement in Cleveland, or any other city that the guilty man was at large. The newspapers have said many things that are not true about this in quoting me. They often misquoted me. At the time I asked Mr. Smith if he had implicit confidence in this man Tedder, I was not concerning myself about Ragsdale at the time. Mr. Tobie is my Chicago man. I have learned that he was down here on this case. I only know by hearsay who employed him. Mr. Tom Felder employed him. Mr; Tobie did not make any reports to me. I never heard of any reports he made, except what I read in the newspapers here. When I first heard of the Ragsdale incident, I told them I didn't care to hear any more of it. I first heard of it probably a week or ten days beforethe affidavits were made. Mr. Lehon spoke of it to me in my office. I don't know whether Charley Sears was present or not. The next time I heard of it Mr. Lehon came to me and told me the preacher had made an affidavit and that they had run out his record and found it alright. He did not tell me anything about Barber. He didn't say he had run out his character, he said it had been run out. I did not pay any attention to it. Yes, I did have a conversation with Mr. Lehon previous to that, he came to me again and told me about this preacher, and did say that he was corroborated, and I told him that I wouldn't have anything to do with a man who would keep that information all this time, and that he was not worthy of belief, and that I would not have anything to do with it, and to send them to Mr. Rosser or Mr/Arnold and after investigation if they saw fit to take it to do so. I don't know whether Mr.Lehon carried out my instructions or not. I suppose before the filing of amendment

before the filing of amendments he did. I think it was two or three days /before I heard that he

had made the affidavit. I never saw Arthur Thurman in my life. I do not know whether Messrs. Arnold and Rosser were talked to about this matter or not. I never talked to them about it. I do not knowof any money being paid out, either to Ragsdale or Barber, nor to Thurman or Tedder. I never paid a cent and never authorized a cent to be paid. I do not think this thing would be hendled by somebody on the side who would not report to me, in order to keep me from knowing it. I don't think such a thing would occur. He would be violating the rules of our agency absolutely to do anything of the kind. I did not hear through any source connected with this case or in any way that Carlton C. Tedder had been supplied more money for the purpose. I am/familiar with the Annie Maud Carter proposition than with the Ragsdale proposition. No, it was not my particular discovery. I didn't work up that angle. I don't know who worked upmthat angle. I found the girl at Mr. Haas' office, on the day she made the affidavit. I never heard of her before. Yes I came in contact with a Mr.Wrenn. I have seen both of them, Jimmie Wrenn and George Wrenn. I did not see George Wrenn before he was released from the Tower. I saw them while they were working for C.W.Burke. I never saw Mr. Frank in the jail in company with Mr. Burke in my life. I never saw Exxwrmm Mr. Frank when Dr. Wrenn was there. Nothing was said to me about what was going on when Dr. Wrem was down there. Nobody ever handled anything through Wrem. The first time I ever saw Wrenn I sent for him and he came to my office. I asked who was familiar with those letters and they said Wrenn was, and I sent for him and asked him to sit down and translate them, which he did. It was a young fellow about 25 or 26 years old. He is the taller of the two. Wrenn read it. Leomard Heas afterwards furnished the translation. I am telling you Dr. George Wrenn did it first and ten Leonard Heas did it afterwards. Dr. Wrenndid it for me. Two of the counsel were present, Leonard and Herbert Haas. I could not say how long that was previous to the beginning of the hearing up here on the extraordinary motion. It has only been four or five days ago. It was two or three days, I think before that that I had been in possession of these notes. I do not know that they have sought to have them photographed before that more than 184 that length of time. I got the notes from C.W.Burke, a little

while before Wrenn interpreted them. Yes, Burke is the man who deserves credit for the discovery of the notes. I kerexheenxheenxl went over the evidence in the case. I read all the briefs in it; went down to the pencil factory, went ever all the briefs in the case and interviewed all the witness at the pencil factory, went up to your office and examined the clothes, examined the evidence in the care and made my report to them that in my opinion that they did not need any evidence outside of that used at the first trial. That is my opinion now. I suppose there were over one hundred witnesses introduced by the State of Georgia in the case. I don't know how many were introduced. I didn't read overall. I did not read the stenographic report. I just read the briefs. I interviewed Schiff, Derley, Hollowey, Lemmie Quinn at the factory, Frank himself, I don't recollect the others. I don't remember the States witnesses I exemined. I employed Botts Rogers, who was one of the State's witnesses. He has not given an affidavit changing his evidence. I did not interview John Starnes and Pat Campbell. I tried to interview Monteen Stover. I did not interview Newt Lee. I tried to interview Monteen Stover twice. The first time was at Mr. Boorstin's office. I told Mr.Leonard Heas I would like very much to talk with this little Monteen Stover, except with her permission, and he said he thought he could arrange it. Later I met Mr. Boorstin and asked him if he could make arrangements for the interview with Monteen Stover and he said he could. I told him to be sure and get the permission of the parents of the girls and the girls permission. Later Mr. Haas telephoned me, told me that Monteen Stover and her father and mother were at the office of Mr. Boorstin. I went up there and as I walked in the door, Mr. Boorstin said "Come in Mr. Burns" and the little girl jumped up and went out the door and the mother after her. They went after her and called her back. I said "What is the trouble," I says, "Well, if she doesn't want to speak to me, let her go, don't call her back." I said to Mr. Boorstin, "Did you make the arrangment" and he said "No, I did not. I thought I would get her here and she would consent." Theny. I said "You gentlemen ought not to have brought me here without having made satisfactory arrangements for the interview." I did not see anybody grab hold of the girl and try to hold her. I did not have any conference with the girl who stays in Loorstin's office at all about detaining her, or directing

her to close the doon on her mother when she went to go out and tell her to stay there. I did not talk to Mr. Edmondson, the stepfather of this little girl, right there. I never saw him but once in my life. I did not talk to Dr. Claud Smith, the city Bacteriologist. I did not talk to the two doctors who were employed by the defense to ascertain whether or not that was blood on the second floor. I did not get their names or know anything about their report. I made a thorough inquiry about it. I made an investigation at the factory and the witnesses attached to the factort. I did not talk to Mell Stanford, Mrs. Jefferson, or R.P. Barrett. I did not find out from Frank who that was present with him when he got down on his knees and examined those blood spots. I never heard of it. I never saw Duffy in my life, and didn't discuss that with him. I don't know him. I never interviewed him. I never talked to Newt Lee. Mrs. I examined the blood spots on the first floor, where they ohipped/up. I did not examine the/blood spots down in the area where Jim Conley is said to have been sitting, but I had an interview with Mr.MoWorth, "r.Whitfield, and they told me what they had found and then we examined some spots still there, and where they said they had been chipped up there. I think Whitfield has been working for me. I did not take him into my employ, Mr.Sears did. I talked to him about those blood spots. I did not have an analysis made of them, I never saw those chipped up from there. I never saw those around the elevator area; they were turned uper to the Pinkertons and thrown away/ It is my understanding that they were turned over to the Pinkertons. I have been there and seen the floor. There was something chipped up there, on the street floor near the scuttle hole. I know what Mr. Whitfield and Mr. McWorth told me, that they chipped them up and turned them over to Scott, or Pierce, I forget which, of the Pinkertons. McWorth is now an assistant at my office. He is retained to handle all matters. I saw the club MoWorth and Whitfield reported to me. I saw it in Mr. Haas' office, and there was blood on it. I am more capable of looking at a man and saying he is a pervert, then looking at spots and tell they are blood or not blood. My next business engagement is out in 186 Oklahoma, Oklahoma City. I will return here in about ten days.

いん 大田 とれいないしているととう

I have no evidence now with reference to this murder I have not re ported to Lee M.Frank, or to his attorneys. I keep reporting every day and right along. I have made my final report to them. I have not it in written form. I mm going to make a written report. I have advised them not to publish it, because of the fact that it looked to me as though every witness that is found here is bemboozled, or turned about, and I determined and advised them when they found a witness to send the witnesses out of town to preserve them. Annie Maud Carter was sent out of town on my suggestion. Mr. Lehon attended to that. I sent her to New Orleans. After she made the affidavit I advised the attorneys to send her out of town. I do not know where she is stopping. I do not know that she is stapping at 314 Lower Line. She is not working for the agency down there. I do not know who is living at that place. Nothing was paid Annie Maud Carter that I know.of. I do not know of anything paid her for her evidence by Wrenn. I found Annie Maud Carter in Mr. Haas' Office. Leonard Haas and Herbert haas, both in the office together. I told Annie Mand Carter's mother that I would arrange for her to go and see her daughter. I have never seen her since. I do not know that she came to my office prepared to go to see her daughter. I did not personally tell her that I would let her telephone her daughter. I think Lehon man attended to the details of getting Annie Mand Carter out of town. I have no evidence of a physical nature not already before the court tending to expose who the murderer of Mary Phagan is. I have not anything of a documentary nature that has not been turned over to the attorneys. I am report ing to them every day. The last report was made to them probably a day or two ago. The last time I talked with these men was this morning, and last night. I have not seen a copy of their motion and amendments. I have not read them in the rapers. No, I know of no evidence illustrating and any issue in this case I have not apprised these gentlemen of. I don't know anything about anything that they have not brought to the attention of the court. CROSS EXAMINATION. It was extremely difficult to investigate the facts of this case, owing to the intense feeling on the part of some members of the public. The most difficult of any case I have Sver imaginat inquired into in all my experience. I never in all

my life met with such unreasoning prejudice as there is in this case, to give the facts, just the simple truth. I have never known a case where it is as hard to hold a man to his story, to just the simple truth as in this case. I have never heard tell or reas of anything as outrageious as was resorted to in this case to secure the evidence of Minola McKnight, or the treatment accorded to Albert McKnight. I talked to Albert McKnight after he was lately arrested. I have read the affidavit made by Albert McKnight that was put in this motion for a new trial. He stated that what he had sworn for the defense was absolutely true, and he wwas induced to tell the story he did on the stand by Claborne or Craven. I read the brief of evidence in this case. I would say the brief of evidence covered the truth in this case completely. I read the evidence of Jim Conley. I have never known of a white man with a hitherto unblemished make reputation being convicted on the testimony of a low criminal negro, who himself admitted to having written the notes found by the body of the girl, or of any court, jury, or anybody accepting any such criminal explanation of the crime. My conclusion as to who was the murderer of Mary Phagan, so far as all the evidence on the trial of a case is concerned, is unquestionably Jim Conley. I read the testimony of the Pinkerton man, Scott as to how Jim Conley changed his testimony, starting out that he knew nothing about it, and then he claimed he had written the notes on Friday, and then changed and said he had written them on Saturday, and that whenever he said enything that would not fit, they would tell him to put in something that would fit. My statement first made as to the conviction of Frank is based on the which I read. I have never talked with Jim Conley. So far as my information goes, he has been kept ever since this prosecution at the jail. I understand that nobody has been allowed to talk to him. My information as to the terms on which this man Smith w would allow me to talk with him, was provided that he was present and I think he wanted a reporter of the court present also. I dont think under such circumstances I could get anything out of Jim Conley. I examined the letters he wrote in the jail and the e clothes of the little gir. The contents of these letters were very vile. I never saw a case more filled with that character of per-

version in my life. I have never attempted to get anything except what I considered the truth. There have been hundredsof rumors of a great many people wishing and proffering to tell ridiculous and what pur considered absurd things in this case. It is difficult frequently to tell just what motives were prompting them or why them wanted to get into it, whether it is the truth or not. REDIRECT EXAMINATION. One instance I can name where obstacles have been thrown in my way as to getting evidence and ascertaining the truth, is the Conley incident and Monteen Stover situation, and I considered outrageous, perfectly outrageous. As to why it is outrageous for a private individual not to submit to cross examination byfour or five different men, all in the pay for a convict and his friends, I suppose the object was to get at the real facts and find out who was the actual murdered. It seems a lot of extraneous matters have been injected into it, and I could see there was a feeling here on the part of lawyers that intended to interfere with a proper investigation of the case as for instance, yours, (Mr. Dorsey As to what obstacle you put in the way of a full investigation, you refused to discuss this case with me, after I told you I would report that Frank was innocent. I asked you if I could discuss the case with you and you said, no, not then. Yes, you said you would see me at any time afterwards. Yes, I told you that I would report in writing in a few days that Frank was innocent and Conley guilty. I had already made up my mind at athat time. You told me if that was true there was no use to discuss it with me. Yes, you told me I could come back if I wanted to and that you would be glad to see me and give me the opportunity of convincing you of his innocence. As to whether you told me you didn't care what my opinion was, that I need not give waste any time in giving my opinion attacking the verdict, that if I had evidence, I could take all the time I wanted to convince, yes, you said about that. You added that for some reason. after you came out into the hall. Mr. Alexander had gone almost down the stairs. As to what other obstacles, other than the Monteen Stover and the Jim Conley instances were thrown in my way, I asked the attorneys for the defense whether it would be possible to see all the witnesses for the State and the defense and they told me it would be impossible to get to ghem, after we had started on Monteen Stover.

The Heas' told me that. Perhaps Mr. Arnold, I am not sure. Mr. Rosser may possibly have told me. I do not recollect any other obstacle that was thrown in my way. Perhaps if I had known you were going to ask that question I could have thought it over and refreshed my mind. As to why I did not go to see Jim Conley, because, as I have said, of the obstacles thrown in my way. As to why I could not/get valuable information, I saw and read the notes and saw the clothes of the murdered girl and the manner in which the under garments were cut. He admitted writing the murder notes. As to how I know that Conley ever saw or had his hands on those garments, why the way in which thosegarments were cut, indicates to my mind that it was the act of a pervert, such a perverted mind as Conley betrays in those notes. The most ridiculous thing that has been brought to my attention in this case, if the fellows who claim to have been behind that factory, or the alley on that day, were lined up you would think it was a parade, also those who sa claimed to have heard screams there that day. Mr. Haas told me about some man who heard screams in that factory. I don't recollect he told me the names. I did not ever talk to a man who claims to have heard screams. I would never talked to a man who claimed to have seen Jim Conley, but the Salvation Army man in Chicago. Yes I heard the Marty/Rich said that Conley bought a lunch from her downthere. I was not therefore looking for anybody in particular who saw him come out of the factory. I was looking for anybody that had any information on the subject. The instances that have occurred throughout the course of this investigation that showed prejudice on the part of any people, were the handling of these witnesses, the Albert McKnight matter, and the statements that I read as havingbeen madenever knew of the effort that Burke made to send McKnight out of town when he was wanted as a witness in the Conley case. We made Annie Maude Carter a witness, she was our witness, and we wanted to take care of her, and we were satisfied what would happen to her if we left her here. In the investigation of Conley's record, this is the only instance, his connection with this case, I discovered. As to what criminal act Conley committed, I have only hearsay.

そうじちゃ 八大大衛 いよいかきょう

DAN S. LEHON. Sworn for the State (By deposition) My position is that of Manager of the Southern Division of the William J. Burns National Detective Agency with headquarters in New Orleans. I have . been here on the Frank or Mary Phagan case for the past four or five weeks / I cannot recall just when I came here. Occasionally we call Mr. Burns "Governor". Mr. Burns came a few days before I arrived. I take charge of the work in general, nothing in particular. I did everything that came to me I thought was necessary to have to do in this matter. As to what I am paid in connection with my work in this Frank business, it doesn't make a particle of difference to me as to my compensation, I am paid a salary by Mr. Burns and this case has absolutely no bearing on my salary. I am not interestedin the retained Mr. Burns receives. I am interested in the money Mr. Burns pays this agency here in Atlanta the same as any other employee would be in any concern or firm. I have no interest at all personally in the money that is received from Frank or his friends and paid to Mr. Burns or the Burns' Agency. I have been paid on account of the agency, some monies from our client. Yes, I have signed and receipted for the mongies that have been paid for the services of Burns and myself and his agents here. I have. not handled all of it. I don't know who has handled others. We got the money from Mr. Herbert J. Haas, of counsel for the defense. I can not recollect how much money we got from Haas at the time Ragsdale made his affidavit. I don't recollect whether or not I got any money from Haas at that time. Immediately previous I got five hundred dollars by check. I did not talk with Haas about the Ragsdale affidavit when I got this money. I recollect previously mentioning something about an affidavit that was to be made by a preacher and I never knew Ragsdale's name until the day he made the affidavit. As to my knowing my subordinates or the subordinates of the Burns' Agency, or Burns himself had been in touch with a preacher, I had heard there was a preacher from one of our operatives named Whitfield, the same man who used to work with Pinkertons under Pierce. I heard it from Rogers, a witness for the State. I also heard it from C.C. Tedder, also in my employ. I employed and paid Tedder. I advanced Tedder at the time that I employed him, which I think was the 15th or 16th of April, a month's salary, Two hundred and

fifty dollars. I also advanced him \$250.00 for expenses of making

191

* 祖

to introduce some witnesses that he told me were living in Birmingham, or Chattanooga, or Nashville. One of the witnesses he told me was a prostitute in a house of prostitution at either Chattanooga, or Birmingham, who had information would swear to Frank being a pervert and I instructed him to go and get this information by all means; and he also informed me at the same time that he had a very important witness in the person of Mark Wilson, a negro, who would testify that he saw James Conley buy a lunch from Mary Rich, the negress near the pencil factory on the day of the murder and that Wilson would also state that he saw Conley going back to the pencil factory, in the alley and coming out of the alley. He also told me there was another witness named Hodge, a negro, who could verify and support or corroborate the statement of Mark Wilson, and it was for that purpose I gave this money to Tedder to defray his expenses and he explained to me he was broke and needed money and asked me to advance him a month's salary, which I dia . It the time I advanced this \$250.00 I had never heard of the preacher. I do not know a man by the name of Petrie, If he is working for the Burns' agency, I don't know it. I don't know anything about him. I never heard of the name Petrie before. At the time I advanced Tedder the second ' \$250.00 I had not then heard of this man Ragsdale. The first time that Tedder discussed Ragsdale with me I think was on the 22nd of April, Teddel told me that Arthur Thurman, a lawyer here, had a preacher client of his, who claimed to know a great deal about the Frank case; that he had overheard some negroes talking in an alley way and one of them admitted the killing of a girl in the pene il factory, and that they were willing to make an affidavit to that effect. I told him I would be very glad to get it. That was all that was said at that time that I can recollect. The next time, I think, was on the 23rd of April, Tedder called me up on the telephone and said, "Thurman is bringing those two men to your office, the preacher and another man, to make a ffidavits." I said. "All right have them bring them down." Thurman came into our office and said, "Mr.Lehon, this is Dr.Ragsdale and this is Mr.Barber" pointing to the men, "they desire to make affidavits in the Frank case". I said "All right" and Thurman withdrew. I asked Barber and Ragsdale to step into my private office and they were only seated a minute and I got

my hat upon the rack and said "Come on over to Mr. Rosser's office, the attorney's." I brought them to Mr.Rosser's office where they were first interrogated by Mr. Brandon, as Mr. Rosser was not present It was during the noon hour. Later Mr . Kosser came and in my presence and in the presence of young Mr. Tifton, I think it is, the stenographer, and Ragsdale and Barber, their affidavit was taken by Mr. Rosser. They were not brought in by Mr. Thurman and Tedder, they were brought in by Mr. Thurman. Tedder called me up on the talephone and stated Mr. Thurman was coming to my office with those men. After Mr.Rosser had taken the affidavits of both Ragsdale and Barber and then asked them for the names of some of their associates or some reputable men here in Atlanta or elsewhere in the State of Georgia that could vouch for their good characters, and both Ragsdale and Barber furnished Mr.Rosser with a number of names, mostly of Atlanta citizens, and Mr.Rosser then furnished me with his list, and I immediately instructed our toward operatives, and went per- . sonally to some of them myself, and interviewed two gentlemen at leadt in regard to Ragsdale. They are connected with the State Mission Board here; one of them was a preacher named Page, and the other man was acting secretary of the State Mission Board, Bernard, I think his name is. Idon't know whether Bernard is an auctioneer. He was acting secretary of the State Mission Board in the absence of J.J.Bennett, who was sick in the hospital and whose name Mr .Ragsdale had furnished us, I called at the State Mission Board for the purpose of interviewing J.J.Bennett and found that he was sick. That is the first time I made an investigation, that is the first time I knew Ragsdale and Barber's names. I think it was a day or two previous to when I first got the affidavits that I obtained the information from Tedder that affidavit could be made. When I first mentioned the matter to the Governor about a preacher being in exp istence who knew something about this and when I mentioned he was in an alley-way he says,"No more of that alley business. We have run enough of that", and didn't take the thing seriously at all. I could not say exactly how long it was previous to the time that affidavit was actually executed that I and W.J.Burns had this talk, but it was only a few days. I don't think I communicated this matter immediately and directly to the Governor. The reason for the delay

was because I didn't think it was very important myself. From the time Tidder first mentioned this matter to me until I got this affidavit, I only made one payment to Tedder; that was the day I employed him and that was an advance of a month's salary and \$250. for expenses to go to look up those witnesses. I got the money from Herbert J. Haas on account of our services. To the best of my recollection it was by check. I am not able to say whether it was by check or by money. I have been paid cash by them and also been paid by check. I have been paid so often by cash, I can not recollect how often it was. I can not recollect how often I have been paid by check. I could not state of my own knowledge whether the check was signed by Herbert J. Haas individually or as treasurer. I paid very little attention to the check. I could not tell you what bank the checks were on. I turned them over to the local manager, Mr. Sears. I don't recollect endorsing any checks. I don't recollect any cash or check transaction at all at the ti immediate day the Ragsdale matter was on. We pay Boots Rogers a per diem basis. We have no usual custom of paying our agents. It varies. I did not pay Tedder any money the day this affidavit was made. I do not know of his getting any money. Tedder was not in my office at any time that day previous to the money being paid. I do not and do not think he was at the office of Messrs. Haas. No. Ragsdale and Barber did not refuse or delay the execution of those affidavits. They were only taxious to make them and was wondering why the thing was being delayed so long when they were extremely anxious to make the affidavite. I met Arthur Thurman twice. Tedder told me he went to Birmg inahm, Nashville and Chattanooga. He made verbal reports. I did not make daily reports to the Governor or to any of the Burns Central offices. Several men who have been working on this case down here have received cash payments frome. We pay nearly all of them in cash money. I don't know whethey they are paid by check or not. Mr.Sears attends to matters of that kind. I have advanced seve eral of the operatives expense money in cash and not by check. We usually take receipts and are filed in our office. I don't know anything about Jimmy Wrenn in connection with this case at all. I do not know him. I don't know anything about George Wrenn. I have not received reports from our men with reference to what they had done in this case,

George Epps testified in affidavit in substance as follows:"I am the George W. Epps who swore on the trial of the state of
Georgia vs Leo M. Frank.

"On April 26th, 1913, I lived with my father and mother at 246 Fox Street, city of Atlanta, and I knew Mary Phagan well. I had known Mary about a year before she died. I rode on the car with her on April 26th. We reached the corner of Marietta and Forsyth Streets about 12 o'clock. Mary got off the car at this place and went on down toward the National Pencil Company's place of business. As we were coming into town on the car, Mary talked to me, told me she was going down to the National Pencil Company to get her pay. She also told me that Mr. Frank had been trying to flirt with her and that she was afraid of him. The evidence that I gave on the trial was the truth and nothing but the truth, and I here and now say again that what I swore on the trial is the truth. Soon after the case was ended a man came to me who said his name was Terry. I will describe that man as best I can. He was a short, skinny, low man and always wore a sour grin on his face. He told me when he first met me that he wanged me to take a message for him to Capitol Square and Washington Street. I took the It was to somebody by the name of Smith. I was never able to find this man Smith. I found a place where somebody by the name of Smith lived, but I never could find Smith. The next morning I reported back to Terry, or the man who called himself Terry, that I could not find his man Smith. Terry was in front of the Aragon Hotel and stopped me as I went along up the street and wanted me to take another message for him. This time the message was to Mr. Smith again, over at the same place. I took this message, which was a note, but I couldn't find his man again. I then reported back to Terry that I could not find Smith again. This time I reported to Terry at the same place, in front of the Aragon. When I reported back this last time, this man who called himself Terry asked me if I did not want to travel with him. He said he had a show company and wanted me to travel with him and that I could get \$10.00 a week and expenses, - that I would have a good time. He said he was going to take some girls along with them. He asked me would I go. I teld him I would see about it.

He told me to report to him right across from the library that night. He didn't say anything more; said he would see me again that night. That night I reported where he said meet him, across from the library. He told me to stand in front of the House that Jack built. I had to wait for him. When he came, he came along. He said 'let's walks along, and we walked down Cain Street, on out to West Harris Street and came back up to Peachtree Street. He said he wanted me to go with him - said I would have a good time, and get to go to New Orleans and would get out of this ... Brank case. He kept begging me to go. He orfered me \$10.00 a week and expenses. I said 'Yes, I will go.' He said he would get me some nice clothes. He told me that night to meet him again the next night in front of the Carnegie Way Fire Engine House, between 7 and 8 o'clock. I met him that night, ready to go. I had to wait a long time. When he met me he said he couldn't get off but would have to wait a week - said he wasn't ready, couldn't get his show girls up. He told me to meet him again the next Saturday night in front of the Winecoff Hotel. I went there Saturday night and had to wait a long time. He stood on the corner and motioned for me to come in the dark. He said he was going to be ready to go Wednesday night and would have my clothes and everything ready. He told me to go ahead and work for Mr. Miller until he got ready for me. He told me to keep this secret; not to let my father and mother or anybody know that I was going off. I did this, and did n't tell my mother and father. I saw him Sunday, coming down Forsyth Street, Kelly (C.W.Burke) and Terry were together, and they stopped at the corner of Walton and Forsyth Sts., at the corner of the Post Office building and Kelly left as I came up. Wednesday night I met him at the corner of Carnegie Way and North Forsyth Street. He gave me some of his old clothes and gave me one dollar in money and told me to go ahead and get a bath and to get ready, that we were going off that night at 11 o'clock and for me to meet him at the corner of Forsyth and Hunter Sts. I went ahead and got my bath down tewn and hung around and got some supper. At about 10:30 I went to the corner of Forsyth and Hunter and met him there and he already had my ticket; said we were not going to New Orleans but were going to Birmingham. He had said before that we

196

そうした。 いたれいない

were going to New Orleans. He said to go on over to the Terminal Station - that he had some girls to get. I went over to the Terminal Station and when I got there I met a man that had on glasses, who looked like a fellow I saw in Birmingham that passed off as Kelly. This fellow pretended he could not see good and asked me to help him down the steps. When Kelly and I got on the train, Terry was alreedy on the train and pretended to get mad because I helped this man down the steps. Terry got off the train and told me to stay in there - that he would eatch the train before it left; that he had some business to attend to. I went on in the train and sat down. Just as the train was pulling out of the Terminal Station. I saw this man Terry hop on to the train, but he did not talk to me until we got nearly to Birmingham. I do not know what became of the man who pretended to be blind and who looked like the Kelly I afterwards saw in Birmingham. I didn't see him after we got on the train. Terry then, when we got nearly to Birmingham, came in and began to talk to me. He said he was going to stay a week in Birmingham with the show. He did not stay with me long; said he was going back to stay with the show girls. I never saw any show girls.

"When we got off at Birmingham I was with Terry. When I got about two blocks from the station, that man that pretended to be blind, came up and grabbed me; but he had pulled off his glasses. I do not say positively that he was the same men I helped down the steps but I think so because it locked exactly like him. The glasses made the difference. Terry asked the man that grabbed me what his name was and why he was grabbing me, and the man - who afterwards passed off as detective Kelly - said to Terry that I had stolen \$10.00 from the Miller Minute Messenger Service. Kelly said he was going to take me to jail. Terry told him not to take me to jail; take me to the hotel, and said he would pay my expenses at the hotel.

"Kelly took me to the Birmingham Hotel and Terry was with

me. After they got me up to the Birmingham Hotel in Birmingham, Ala.

Terry then wanted to pay my fine and told Kelly he would pay it if

he would let me go. Kelly then smaid he would call up the chief of

detectives and ask if it would be all right to take the money.

197He called him up, or pretended to call up, and Kelly then said ...

after he had pretended to talk over the phone - that the detective chief said that wasm't the only case that they had against me; that they had me also for perjury in the Phagan case. Kelly said the chief of detectives said to keep methere until he came. Then both Kelly and Terry commended talking to me while they pretended to wait for the chief of detectives.

"After awhile somebody came in thet they said was the chief of detectives. He didn't have any uniform. The best description I can give of this man who pretended to be the chief of detectives is as gollows: He was a tall man, about like Mr. Pat Campbell, whose beard came down to a sharp point and whose moustache was clipped off short. All three of them them began to talk to me about the Frank case and what I had sworn on the Frank case. All three of them told me I had sworn a lie and had to come up and tell the truth or go to jail. I said I had told the truth about it. He said No. I had not told the truth and if I did not tell the twuth about it, I would go to jail. I said 'I told the truth and that is all I know.' He said 'You know you never came to town with Mary Phagan on the car. ' He said 'You know that Black put you up to this, and we are going to make it hot for him. He rung a bell down stairs and they brought writing paper and a penciland the chief of detectives commenced writing, and wrote three pages and then read them over to me. He commended talking to me and told me I was going to have to say this and if I didn't stick to it, I would have to come back to Birmingham and serve a sentence there. About this time he said he had to go home and get his breakfast; that he would see me after breakfast and bring a stenographer.

"This was in Nevember, 1913. I got to Birmingham about 3 o'clock in the morning and they kept talking to me and did not let me go to sleep all night. Terry then took me out to get something to eat and told me to go ahead and stick to this story; he was going to take me to New Orleans and they could not bether me after I got out of Birmingham. He took me on down and got me something to eatand brought me back up to the Birmingham hotel. This time the chief of detectives brought a man, a stenographer, with him. I didn't say anything, but the chief told me to listen; that I was going to have to say that. He said he was going to have it copied

on the typewriter and he would bring it back to me at four o'clock and take me to a lawyer to be swom in on it. Mr. Terry taken me down stairs and gave me \$2.00 and told me to buy a clean shirt and take the rest of it and go to the shows. About 3:30 I came out of the Benita Theater in Birmingham, and went up to my room. I was sitting in the window looking into the street, when I saw the chief of detectives and Mr. Kelly coming. They made a motion for me to come down stairs. They asked me where was Terry and I told them I didn't know. Then they took me ever to a building I don't know the name of and they took me by the jail and said I was going to be sworn in and for me to say that was the truth - that is: what they had written on that paper. They took me to the office and started reading it to me, and when it was done they made me hold up my right hand and swear to it, and when they got done Mr. Kelly took me back to the Birmingham Hotel and there we met the chief of detectives, and Mr. Terry was with him. Mr. Terry got me and we went walking around. That night he sent me on to the hotel and told me to wait until me come; that he wanted to see the show girls. I went up to my room and went to sleep. I don't know when Mr. Terry came in. The next morning he said he was going to move to the Empire hotel, and we went down stairs and turned in the key and went over to the Hotel Empire. He told me to go on up in the room and that he would see me later, and he give me some money. About 8:30 Mr. Terry came back and took us into the cafe which is in the Empire hotel and bought us some breakfast. Mr. Terry got two telegrams and he claimed they were from Atlanta and that the show had to come back to Atlanta before we could go to New Orleans, and that night I got ready and we left about 3 o'clock, and we got on the train and came back to Atlanta. We got to Atlanta about 7 o'clock in the morning. I think it was. Mr. Terry didn't ride with me all the way, but just before we got to Liberty Springs, he gave me a follar and a half and told me to go to the Gate City hotel and stay there and don't let anybody see me; that he would come up there about 12 o'clock and get me. I waited a long time and Mr. Terry didn't come until one o'clock, and he told me to go on back home with Mama; that he was coming out to see my folks. He gave me a dollar and a half in nickles and dimes and told me to go out to the

football game; and I didn't see any more of Mr. Terry until one day just before I was arrested, and he told me that Mr. Kelly said I had not told the truth and they wanted me to come back and tell the truth about it. I said 'I have done told the truth, and I don't know anything about it, and there is some crooked work now; and he left me at the corner of Edgewood Avenue and Ivey Street and told me to go ahead and go back to work.

"The reason I signed the affidavit for them in Birmingham was because they threatened me and I was seared and wanted to get back home. They said if I didn't sign it I would have to go to the Birmingham Reformatory, and that if I did sign it I would have to stick to it; that if I came to Atlanta and changed it, they would take me back to Birmingham, and that Birmingham would fix me.

"About three weeks after I came back to Atlanta from Birming-ham, Judge Tindall sent me to the Reformatory at Milledgeville on a complaint in the Childrens' court. Judge Tindall said he would be willing to place me on probation, but remembering the threats made to me in Birmingham by the 'Chief of Detectives' and 'Mr. Terry' and 'Mr. Kelly' - to take me back to Birmingham if I did n't stick to the statement they made me sign, I asked Judge Tindall to send me to the Reformatory; I was afraid if I was placed on probation that when I repudiated the Birmingham affidavit and told how it happened, that they would take me to Birmingham and put me in Jail for signing the statement over there.

"While I was in the Reformatory at Milledgeville, Ga., Mr. Stiles Hopkins came over there to see me; he told Capt. Lovvorn he wanted to see me; that they had an affidavit which I had made in Birmingham and they wanted me to sign it. They said they just wanted to change it from Birmingham, Ala. to Atlanta, Ga. Mr. Hopkins told me to take one copy of the affidavit I made in Birmingham and he would read over the one he had copied and see if it was the same one I signed in Birmingham; and I told him Yes, that was the same one, and then he had me to sign it - the typewritten one that he had brought with him, and after that a lawyer swore me to it, but I don't know his name. He asked me if it was the truth and I told him Yes, it was true that it was the same one I made in Birmingham; and I signed it, and Mr. Hopkins and the man who claimed

he was a lawyer, left.

"I was brought to Atlanta from the Reformatory at Milledgeville, Friday morning, May 1st, 1914. Since coming here I have seen
a man who was pointed out to me as C.W.Burke. I have seen him
twice since I came from the Reformatory. On both occasions I had
ample opportunity to see him well and to watch him; and I am now
prepared to say, and do say under oath that he is the man who was
with me in Birmingham, passing under the name of Kelly; that is the
name the man known as Terry called him; and it was what I called
him, as he was introduced to me as being Mr. Kelly, and it was the
name which he recognized and responded to. Mr. N.A.Garner was with
me when I saw and identified Mr. Kelly as he was coming out of the
National Pencil Company's factory on Friday, May 1st, 1914. The
man who I pointed out to Mr. Barner as Mr. Kelly, got in an automobile - a Fard touring car - and Mr. Garaer told me that the name
by which he was known in Atlanta was C. W. Burke.

"When they had me up in the room in Birmingham all three of these men got me over in the corner right at the window and pulled down the shades. They cursed John Black, city detective of Atlanta; they talked to me entirely about the evidence that I had given on the Frank case and insisted that I should change it and would not let me hardly say anything to them. They talked low, but I was afraid; I am now just 15 years old.

"I have read over the above affidavit and it is all true, and I have placed my name on each and every page thereof after having read it."

Another affidavit of GeorgeW. Epps, in which he testifies in substance as follows:

Auburn Avenue and Peachtree Street, and not at Miller's Messanger Service, but four blocks away, or about that.

"Wrenn did not discuss the Frank case with witness casually or otherwise in Atlanta but decoyed witness out of the city and out of the state to discuss it with him.

"Witness did not state to Jimmie Wrenn (as C.W.Burke swears Jimmie Wrenn reported to him) that the testimony witness had given at the trial of the Leo M.Frank case was in most part false; nor

did witness tell Wrenn he had told some lies; nor that witness had been made to tell the lies by detective John Black. Witness did not tell Wrenn that he was going to take a hobo trip; that he was in bad with the Probation officer and the detectives and that witness was afraid of John Black. Witness did not promise to make any affidavit for Wrenn anywhere or say that he was afraid to make an affidavit in Atlanta.

"Each and every statement charged by Burke in his affidavit of May 5th, 1914 as having been made by deponent to Jimmie Wrenn in Atlanta, is absolutely and unqualifiedly false. And if Jimmie Wrenn made these statements to C. W. Burke as coming from Assessment witness, said Jimmie Wrenn was "stuffing" said Burke with falsehoods which hem the said Wrenn, manufactured. Witness's affidavit given to Hugh M.Dorsey, Solicitor and sworn to and subscribed before an officer on Monday, May 4th, 1914, is a true statement of witness's dealings with Jimmie Wrenn."

John R. Black testifies in affidavit in substance as follows:

death of Mary Phagan, Mr. J.W.Coleman, step father of said Mary Phagan, told me about 2:30 o'clock in the afternoon that there was a boy down in the lobby of the station house who had told him on Sunday and Monday nights that he had gone to town with Mary Phagan on Saturday, April 26th, 1913, and that this boy told him he sat on the same seat with Mary. Mr. Coleman also stated to me that this boy down in the lobby had described to him Mary's leaving the car at Marietta and Forsyth streets, her going south along Forsyth St. toward the National Pencil Factory, her conversation in which she told him she was afraid of Leo M.Frank, etc.

"Mr. Coleman and I went to the lebby and talked to the boy who was George Epps, later a witness in this case, - and George Epps admitted to witness the things Mr. Coleman had communicated to witness. George made a statement to witness embodying what he later testified in the case. Witness called the coroner out and .George Epps repeated the same things to him.

"The next I heard of said George Epps was the next day when George called me up by phone and asked me to come to the Spring

202

Bed Company's place, where he was working. This was out on Jones
Avenue. I went to his place in company with Mr. Harry Scott. Said
George Epps communicated certain names to us with the statement
that these parties could furnish us information on the case. He
volunteered to go with us, stating that he could carry us to these
parties, and we accepted his offer to go; and we three went out to
gether to look up these parties.

"I never was in Mr. Dorsey's office with George Epps before the trial, as I had been detailed by Chief Lanford to work
with Scott of the Finkertons and report to Starnes and Campbell
what we might learn. It not being my duty to report to Mr. Dorsey
at that time, I did not go about his office. Furthermore, witness
never did, at the station house, in the Solicitor General's office
or elsewhere direct, tell or suggest to George Epps what he should
swear in this case. And any statement or insinuation by anyone
whomsoever to that effect is utterly false.

"Regarding the alleged statement of Epps that witness put a statement in his mouth to fit the Jim Conley situation, - this is false and could not have been true because George Epps made his first statement before witness knew anything of Jim Conley in the case, Jim not having been arrested when George first made his statement to witness."

J. W. Coleman testified by affidavit in substance as follows:

"That the statements in the above affidavit of John R. Black are true, in so far as they relate to things done and said by this witness."

N. A. Garner testifies by affidavit in substance as follows:-

"I have read the affidavit of George Epps, Jr.; I am the N.A.Garner referred to in his affidavit. I was with George Epps Jr. when he pointed out a man as having passed in Birmingham, Ala. under the name of Kelly. I am personally acquainted with the man pointed out by said George Epps and know the man to be C.W.Burke who has been working on the Frank case. I was also with George Epps and city detective S.L.Rosser when said George Epps pointed out a man by the name of B.Bernard as being the man

who represented himself to be the chief of detectives in Birmingham, Ala., as referred to in the affidavit of said Epps attached. I am personally acquainted with said Bernard and knownthat the man pointed out by said Epps as the chief of detectives of Birmingham is B. Bernard of Atlanta, Ga."

R. P. Barrett testifies by affidavit in substance as follows:-

"My name is R.P.Barrett. I live at 549 West North Avenue in the city of Atlanta; I worked for the National Pencil Factory about four years in all; I was in the employ of said company in April, 1913 and at the time of the murder of Mary Phagan; I was a witness for the state on the trial of Leo M.Frank charged with the murder of Mary Phagan.

"I am well acquainted with Jimmie Wrenn, he having worked in the machine shop of the National Pencil Factory at the same time I was in their employ.

"On a Sunday morning not long after the trial of Leo M. Frank, Jimmie Wrenn met up with me at or near the corner of Marietta and Forsyth Streets and entered into a conversation with me about the Frank case. We walked down Forsyth Street to the cor ner of Trinity Avenue and stopped there for a little while. Just before we separated, Jimmie said to me 'Barrett, you are in a good position to make a barrel of money if you will go to New Orleans and change your statement in the Frank case.' I asked him: 'What do you want me to do?' and he replied, 'I want you to go to New Orleans and change your statement in the Frank case. I said 'Jimmie, whom are you working for?' And he replied "For Mr. Burke.' I did not then know Mr. Burke or what Mr. Burke he had reference to. Before I left him, he said Barrett - if you don't want to do this, don't tell anybody. If you do, tell me first and give me a chance to leave town. We were together there only a short time after this, and I left him. Pretty soon after this conversation at the corner of Forsyth street and Trinity Avenue, Jimmie Wrenn came out to my house early one morning. I was just leaving home and had walked down toward the carline. Jimmie called me and I

waited for him. He came up and said 'Barrett, you haven't said anything to anybody about that yet, have you? I told him No. He then said 'Well, don't tell anybody about it; but if you do, let me know before you tell, so I can leave town.' I probably said 'Allright' - I do not recall positively.

"this was the last of the matter between Jimmie and me until just before or about the time the Supreme Court rendered its decision in the Leo M. Frank case. Early in 1914 - I think it was in February - Jimmie Wrenn met me in the post office in Atlanta. He asked me if I wouldn't like to make \$4.00 a day for about 6 days and my expenses to New Orleans and return. I asked him what to do. He replied he was working for a press agent from Chicago who was going to write a book on the Frank trial; that this man, the press agent, wanted to get a statement from every witness who testified at the trial. I told Jimmie I would go and he said for me to meet himat the Terminal station at 3:30 P.M. the following Saturday afternoon, which was the next day. He said he would have passes for us. According to my promise, I met him at the Terminal station the next day at 5:30 o'clock in the afternoon, and he showed me two passes to New Orleans and return. I told him I would have to go home first before I could get off. He handed me a one dollar bill saying 'Here's a dollar for car fare. Hurry back. "

"Instead of going home, I went to Solicitor General Dorsey's office to report this to him, but he was out of the city, I was told. I gave the information to Mr. E.A. Stephens, an assistant to Mr. Dorsey, who advised me not to go to New Orleans. He advised me to delay the trip and talk it over with Mr. Dorsey on his return.

"When I went back to Jimmie at the corner of Madison Avenue and Mitchell Street, we walked down to Whitehall and Mitchell. I told him I could not go. He said 'Well, if you are scared, Mr. Kelly will be in Atlanta Monday and I will carry you around to the Kimball House to see him, at 2 o'clock in the afternoon."

Mr. Kelly was the name of the man he waid was the press agent from Chicago.

1:30 Monday afternoon and he said we were thirty minutes too early. That Mr. Kelly would not be there until 2 o'clock. We waited around until 2 o'clock and went up to the Kimball House to a room the number of which I do not recall. Jimmie knocked at the door and a man I did not know epened the door and invited us in. After we got inside Jimmie introduced the man to me as Mr. Kelly from Chicago. This man asked me to have a seat and told me he was a press agent and that he wanted a statement from all of the state's witnesses in the Frank case. I told him to go to the courthouse and he could get my statement. He said that would not do, it would have to come from the witness's own mouth and have his own signature to it before his house would receive it.

"During the conversation, he said 'Barrett - what do you do?! I told him I was a machinist, He says 'I have a brother who is the master mechanic at the Southern Railroad shops; I might get you s good job at Hutcherson, Kansas. I know the people there. He asked me if I was a married man, and I told him I was. He said 'Barrett, do you know that I am the man who caught the murderer of Pearl Bryant, in New Castle, Pa?'

"In discussing the blood spots which I testified I found on the Pencil factory, he said: 'When you found that spot it was only a white spot.' He asked me if that wasn't all I knew about it. I told him that when I found it, the white spot was mixed with blood and he replied 'I didn't know that.'

"He tried to keep it uppermost in my mind that he was writing a book and he said 'If you let me win this point you will be rewarded with enough money to get you a handsome house and lot.'

At this time we were talking of the spots and whether they were just white spots or had been mixed with the white.

"This man said to me 'Barrett, I believe you think I'm trying to trick you.' He added 'If I were to put down a lie and send
it to my house they would write back down here and say 'Burke, what
in the Hell ------'; then he stepped without finishing the sentence, for he saw he had given himself away. I thought I was
talking to a Mr. Kelly from Chicago, but I afterwards found out
was
that this man C.W.Burke, formerly a special officer for the South-

ern Railroad.

"It was getting late by this time so I told him I would have to go as I had some business I must attend to. He had been writing while we were talking. When I was about to go he asked me to read over what he had written and if I found anything in it I didn't like to make a check mark by it. I said I didn't care to do it, but he pleaded with me to just sit down and read it over and check off the part I didn't like. I told him he could write down all he liked and check off what he pleased but that I would check nothing off. I then left him after promising to see him next day, which Mowever, I did not do...

"The above is a true statement of events that transpired and conversations that took place between Jimmie Wrenn and me and also between C.W.Burke (the man introduced to me as Mr. Kelly) and me. During the conversation in the Kimball House between Burke and me, Jimmie Wrenn was in and out the room, coming and going as he pleased. He seemed to be thoroughly acquainted with 'Mr. Kelly' as he called Burke, appearing to be quite intimate and confidential with him.

"The following week after the murder of Mary Phagan, Mr. Dorsey or someone had an electrician to run electric lights down in the basement of the pencil factory and a very thorough search was made in the basement for anything that would throw light on the murder. Those down there were hun ting for any clues that might be found. There were in the crowd Mr. Dorsey, a stranger whom I did not know, but understood was a detective, - Mr. Pat' Campbell, Mr. E.A. Stephens, Mr. Plennie Minor, Mr. Dan Goodlin, Mr. N.A.Garner and I think several city officers. Every nook and corner of that basement was searched, every box and berrel moved and every bit of the trash moved. There was not a book or scratch pad down there that we saw or found. Waste paper and trash, when carried to the basement was always piled in front of the furnace and kept there until it was burned in the furnace. It was constantly burned every week, and there was no accumulation of paper and blanks books or other kinds of books down there.

"Becker left there in January, 1913, I think. I understood that he was going to New York with the Fabre Pencil Co."

N.A.Garner testifies by affidavit in substance as follows:

"I am personally acquainted with W.W.Rogers, otherwise known
as 'Boots' Rogers. On April 28th, 1914, I had a talk with Boots
Rogers and asked him who the other Rogers was that was working
for Burns and he said Burns had no other Rogers in the city of
Atlanta. He admitted that he was now in the employ of Burns. He
also stated that he had not changed or modified in any respect
his evidence as given on the stand and it was the truth and nothing but the truth and he would repeat it again.

"Some time along in the early part of the year 1914, probably about the month of February, 1914, I saw R.P.Barrett come to the office of Hugh M.Dorsey, Solicitor General. The Solicitor General was not present. I was directed by the Assistant Solicitor General E.A.Stephens to follow said Barrett. I followed said Barrett and saw him meet Jimmie Wrenn, a man known to me personally, and the brother of George Wrenn who was comvicted in the Superior court of a \$50,000 theft of diamonds. I saw Barrett and Wrenn both at Sig Samuels' beer saloon on Mitchell Street, between Broad and Forsyth. Wrenn had a grip. They stood there and talked a while. I am personally acquainted with both of these Wrenn Boys and know that they are brothers."

S.L.Rosser testifies by affidavit in substance as follows:

"On Tuesday morning, April 28th, 1914, while at work on investigating witnesses with reference to the extraordinary motion
of Leo M.Frank, I was in search of a negro by the name of Frank
Reese. I found said Frank Reese in an alley running from Hunter
street between Frazier and Terry Streets, known as Kingley's Alley.
I had information that Frank Reese lived in the first house leading from Eunt. Street and as I turned in the alley and started
towards this house on the right I looked at the end of a little
blacksmith shop or old building of some kind on the left, and
there stood George Wrenn, Frank Reese and Dan Goodlin standing
qlose together in conversation. I know Geofge Wrenn, the man
convicted of a \$30,000 theft of diamends and who has just finished serving a sentence in the Fulton county jail therefor. He was
commonly known among the inmates of the jail as 'Dr. Wrenn.'

This said George Wrenn or Dr. Wrenn being the brother of Jimmie Wrenn, - said Jimmie Wrenn being the man who has been assisting C.W.Burke, - said C.W.Burke being a witness signing the affidavit of C.Burtis Dalton in Florida and the notary public purporting to attest what purports to be an affidavit from an old negro woman by the name of Mary Rich."

Dan M. Goodlin, Jr. testifies by affidavit in substance as follows:

"On Tuesday the 28th of April, 1914, I went in company with Charles Sigels, whom I had arrested on a warrant from the Municipal court of Atlanta, to the vicinity of Bubler street and Fraser street. We went there to look for a man who had agreed to go on Sigels' bond. We found the man we were looking for and all three of us stepped into a blacksmith's shop to fix up the bond. Just as I came out I saw a young negro boy by the nickname of "Peavine", - and he said 'You seared me; I thought you wuz comin' after me.' And then I said: 'Where do you live?' He pointed to where he lived. I then said 'Well, I'll know where to come when I want you.' George Wrenn had been standing nearby, in front of Peavine's house. Just then he came up to me and asked me something about how the sheriff's race was coming. About that time Bass Rosser walked up. There was no conversation at all between myself and George Wrenn or Peavine about the Frank case or anything connected with it. We just had a few casual words comversation."

George W. Epps, Jr. testifies by affidavit in substance as follows:

"I am the George Epps who testified in the trial of the case of the State of Georgia vs Leo M.Frank. Since signing an affidavit this morning with reference to what occurred in Birmingham, Ala., I have seen on the street the man who took me from Atlanta, Ga. to Birmingham, and who passed with me under the name of Terry. I picked him out on the street at a bootblack stand near the corner of Hunter and South Pryor Streets. I spoke to him and he spoke to me. I called him 'Mr. Terry.' I am positive that he is the man. I never knew him under any other name except the name Terry. Mr. N.A.Gerner was with me at the time I saw him and addressed the man as Jimmie Wrenm, and Terry asked:

'What did you call me, Kelly?' I said 'No, I didn't call you Kelly; I called you Terry - the name you gave me.&"

Fred Perkerson testifies by affidavit in substance as follows:

"My name is Fred Perkerson. I am employed by Mr. J.J.Woodside
About the latter part of the summer of 1913, I was sentenced to
serve a term in Fulton county jail for drunkenness on the phi public highway and I served this sentence at the county jail and for
practically this entire time I was allowed the freedom of the jail
as a jail trusty, as the prisoners serving sentences are used to
do the labor around the jail.

"There was also a white prisoner serving a jail sentence whom the prisoners knew as Dr. Wrenn, as he helped the county physician and gave the sick medidines and looked after them when the county physician was not present at the jail. I have seen several of his brothers visit him at the county jail but their names I do not know. I have shined their shees for them when they visited him at the jail. I have seen Jewish friends of Mr. Frank give Dr. Wrenn cigars and buy him drinks and I have carried papers from the jail office up to Mr. Frank, and Dr. Wrenn would sometimes request me to ask Mr. Frank to let him have some of the papers to read, when Mr. Frank got through reading them, and I have told Mr. Frank of this request of Dr. Wrenn and have carried some of the papers from Mr. Frank's cell to Dr. Wrenn.

"While I was there I often carried meals to Conley's cell and also cleaned up his cell for him. Dr. Wrenn has talked to me and Frank Reese, whe was also serving a jail sentence and who was also a trusty and who had access to Conley's cell and who helped clean up his cell at times. Dr. Wrenn would talk to us usually when Deputy Gilleland would go to the front to get his dinner. Dr. Wrenn told us that we had good chance to make some money. He said that both of us could go into Conley's cell wing together and then come out and say Conley had confessed to us that he had killed the girl. He told us that we could get lots of mency for this, - that the Jews would pay us well if we would do this. He told us that we would get out of jail after Christmas at the end of our jail sentences and that we would have no money and that this waspur chance to get some money.

210

16

八大大名 いれいだらしていなって

"Both of us told him we wouldn't do this; he stated that Conley was not any relation to us. He said all you want is the money when you get out. He said he would soon be out smoking good cigars and we would be broke. I remember he talked to me at least a half dozen times about this, sometimes talking to me by myself and sometimes to Reese and myself.

"I remember at one time Dr. Wrenn was talking to Conley in the presence of myself and Reese, and he told Conley the thing for him to do when he got his sentence was for him to take the murder on him self and in this way free Mr. Frank. He told Conley that he would only get about 6 or 12 months sentence and maybe that in jail and that he could never be tried again and that if he would take this murder on himself, that Mr. Frank would go free and that Conley would get a lot of money for it and that he could never be tried for it. Conley declined to do this. Dr. Wrenn was in Conley's cell wing very often. I have been looking for him at times to get medicines for some sick maximum prisoner and find him in Conley's cell.

"I told Dr. Wrenn finally when he kept on after me to tell
that Conley had confessed to me that I didn't see why Dr. Wrenn did
n'tdo this himself; I told Dr. Wrenn that he had as much opportunity
as I had to go in Conley's cell and he could swear that Conley had
confessed to him as well as I could that he had confessed to me. Dr.
Wrenn replied: 'You're a damn fool > I am not going to get mixed in
it.' I told him I wouldn't get mixed in it either. He said 'You
negroes are damn fools; when you get out, money will be brief; when
I get out everybody will have money."

"I knew Annie Maud Carter; she was a prisoner and was turned loose on the run around or round the jail as a trusty by deputy Roberts to wash clothes and clean up the hospital. Her work was on the fifth or hospital floor and in the laundry, on the 4th floor.

She was turned loose every morning by Deputy Roberts and she was locked up by Deputy Allen when he came on duty every day about 3:30 P.M.

Deputy Roberts had charge of the cleaning up of the jail and the laundry work and Annie Maud Carter was under his control and direction until 3:30 when Deputy Allen came in and she was then locked

211

"I have seen Dr. Wrenn and Annie Maud Carter talking together just in the same manner as he had talked to me us. I could not hear what was said between them. I have seen them talking together a good many times. Dr. Wrenn roomed in the hospital where Annie Maud Carter cleaned up, and the medicine room was also on the fifth floor, and it was in this medicine room where Annie Maud Carter did her ironing. She did the washing for Dr. Wrenn.

"I saw Annie Maud Carter start into Conley's cell wing one day and we called to her and told her she would be locked up if she went in there and she stopped at the door and talked to him. I never saw Annie Maud Carter go into the cell wing of Conley."

Mrs. George W. Jefferson testifies by affidavit in substance as follows:

"I am working for the McClelland Bakery company on Hunter Street.

I was in attendance as a witness on the trial of the State vs Frank

for 2 weeks and went on the stand on Thursday.

"On Monday after the trial I went back to the Pencil Factory and went up to see my forelady and she told me they had given my machine away. And I went to see Mr. Darley, and Mr. Darley said that he did not understand that the firm intended to lay me off but that he thought I misconstrued the thing and didn't tell the truth and would rather I would go back to Mr. Dorsey and say that I swore an untruth about the strings at the factory and about the blood spots on the floor. I told him I could not do that - that I had sworn the truth and nothing but the truth. He gave me back my job on Tuesday and I went back to work.

"As I swore on the stand, the strings with which pencils were tied were always kept in the polish room, but the morning I went back to work after the trial, Mr. Darley called my attention to strings hanging around in the metal-room and all around in the building and I said I never had seen any strings in there before, and Mr. Darley said: 'Well, they had been there and I probably never noticed them.' But I never had seen any strings before except in the polish room, where a few were kept.

"My forelady Mary Pirk also insisted that I had not told the truth on the stand and tried to get me to state that the stains might have been paint spilled there by some of the girls, - but I stated to her, as I swore on the stand, that paint had never been carried into the metal room that lever saw and I had been there to years.

"When I went to Mr. Dorsey's office to have my subpoens signed so that I could get my money - this being on Saturday after the trial - Mr. Dorsey stated to me that people all over the state had been sending him produce of different kinds, - tomatoes, melons, corn, etc.; he had a cake there that someone had sent him and asked me if I would like to have some, and gave me the cake which I ate and found to be very nice indeed, and enjoyed.

"After I went back to work, the Pencil Factory people let me alone for three or four weeks, after I had refused to change my testimony, until just after Christmas Mr. Burke came to me and wanted me to sign an affidavit. I told him that what I swore on the stand was the truth and I would stick to it. He said 'Mrs. Jefferson, would you answer that that blood could have been on the floor on Friday before Mary was killed?' And I says: 'No, I couldn't swear that I couldn't swear anything about it because I never had seen it there before; but I could swear I saw it there on Monday morning after she was killed.' He says: 'The alleged blood spots could have beenthere on Friday?' And I said:'If they were there I didn't see them, but I couldn't swear that they were there or were not there; but I did see them Monday.' He said that that paper was only a statement for the office of Rosser and Arnold but wasn't an affidavit, and I signed it. I said that I didn't want to sign it if it would get me in any trouble, and Burke said: 'Why, you wouldn't mind signing if if it would help Mr. Frank and help you keep your joh, would you?' I understood that the paper had in it just what is related above.

"When Mr. Burke was talking to me he laid a great deal of emphasis on the cake Mr. Dorsey had given me, and asked me if I knew Mr. Dorsey intimately; was I very intimate with him, and I told him no indeed, that I had never seen him before the trial but once and that I only knew him in connection with the trial.

"I did not swear to this paper which I signed but merely signed it, thinking it was only a statement.

"On the 19th of February, after Mr. Frank didn't get a new trial, - I was laid off. Mell Stanford, who testified for the state, was also laid off some little time before I was.

"Last Monday afternoon Mr. Dan Lehon also came to see me,

and told me he had an affidavit he wanted to get me to sign for anextraordinary motion for new trial. I said: 'Wait a minute; I don't sign anything unless I rread it.' It didn't read like I said it and I wouldn't sign it. I told him I didn't sign anything I didn't know what I was signing. He asked me if I wanted to see Mr. Frank hang. I told him if he was innocent I didn't, but if he was guilty, I did. I was so mad I wouldn't talk to him any more. "

Mrs. H.W. Edmondson testifies by affidavit in substance as follows:

"In reference to the evidence of Mr. W.J. Burns before the court in Saturday, May 2nd, 1914 in which he claimed amongst other things, that my daughter Monteen had failed to interview him at any time, is untrue. Mrs. Leo M. Frank came to my home in company with Rabbi Marx, and arranged an interview between my daughter Monteen and Mr. W.J.Burns for four o'clock that same afternoon; and at four o'clock Mrs. Frank, Rabbi Marx and W.J.Burns came to our homse. My daughter Monteen and myself were present at the interview. Mr. Burns apologised to Monteen for the treatment she had received at Mr. Samuel Boorstein's office and said he had nothing whatever to do with it; said he was called over there after she got there. He told Monteen that he wanted her to tell him just how she went to the factory and back and she replied that she had already told it and if he wanted to see it, to go to Mr. Dorsey's office and he would show it to him. This made Mr. Burns very mad because Monteen would not go over the occurrences for him, and he turned and spoke to Mrs. Frank and said 'You are an unfortunate woman; you are up against it; you will have to wear the thernal it might as well be you as anybody.

"When Mrs. Frank was here in the morning, she told me that a lot of people censured her for not going to see Mr. Frank at first but she said the reason she didn't go was on account of family affairs.

"When Monteen told Mr. Burns he could go to Mr. Dorsey's office and see what she said at the trial, Mr. Burns said: 'Are you
sure he will let me read it?' and I said 'No, sir, I am not sure, but
I suppose he will.'

Mrs. H.W.Edmondson testifies by affidavit in substance as

"About three weeks age on Friday before Mr. Burns went to New York on his last trip, Mr. Samuel Boorstein, a lawyer, sent for my daughter Monteen, to come to his office. He said he just wanted her to make the same statement to him that she made on the stand at the trial of Leo M.Frank; that he did not hear the evidence then and had not heard it and he wanted to hear her statement personally because he felt a great interest in the case and because he was a friend of the family. Mr. Edmondson thought he was a friend to us all. We consented just because of that friendship and asked Mr. Boorstein if there would be anyone else there, and he gave me his word of honor that no one would be there except us, so I decided to let her go up there, and I went with her; and Mr. Edmondson went with us. It was about 12 o'clock noon when we left home and we went right to his office and there was no one in his office when we got there - not even Mr. Boorstein himself; but he came in a few minutes later, and the first question he asked Monteen was 'if she had ever been to school any. Then he went on and asked her a thou sand questions, some of them relating to the case and some of them didn't touch it. He asked all about the boarding house I was running and he asked Monteen 'if she didn't go to the pencil factory that Saturday for some other purpose than just to get her money.' We were in Mr. Boorstein's private office and we had been there for a long time, and I told Mr. Boerstein I would have to go home; that it was time I was going home, and then Mr. Boorstein asked us not to go then - to wait awhile and to have an ice cream seda or something; and we talked on for a few minutes, and Mr. Edmondson spoke up and said I would have to go home and that seemed to hurry Mr. Boorstein and he commenced asking questions just to hold us, and in a minute or so in come Mr. Burns. I knew it was Mr. Burns because Mr. Boorstein said: 'Why, howdy, Mr. Burns.' And I said: 'Monteen, we will not be done this way; if that man wants to talk with you, he will have to talk with you at home; and I went out of the private office into the outer office and I looked back for Monteen, and the lady stenographer had shut the door and told Monteen she would have to stay, and I told her she didn't have to stay and I took hold of the lady stenographer (if she was a stenographer) and slapped her

and told her that Monteen would come out toe; and I opened the door and got Monteen and we come on out of the office, and Mr. Boorstein ran out and followed us to the elevator and insisted on having Mon teen come back and that girl followed us out there and said 'Come back; you don't have to answer any questions if you don't want to.' And I caught the elevator and come on down and in a few minutes Mr. Edmondson caught up with us and we went on home. Nobody said anything to us outside of the office except Mr. Boorstein and that lady in the office but there were half a dozen or more men out there, but I didn't know them; and there were two men in Mr. Boorstein's outer office who were newspaper men. There was no one in the private office except Mr. Boorstein, Mr. Burns, Mr. Herbert Haas, Mr. Edmondson, Monteen and myself and Mr. Rauzin."

Monteen Stover by affidavit, testified that the facts stated by Mrs. H.W.Edmondson were true.

Mr. H. W. Edmondson by affidavit, testified to the same facts as Mrs. H.W.Edmondson, and in addition that:after my wife and daughter left Mr. Boorstein's office, Mr. Boorstein, Mr. Burns, Mr. Herbert Haas, Mr. Rauzin and myself were in the office and Mr. Burns says to me 'Do you believe Monteen went to the factory that day?' And I said 'Yes, sir; I know she went.' And Mr. Burns replied:
'She didn't go to the factory and I have evidence to prove that she didn't.' And I thought and believe yet that he said that to draw me out to say something against Frank, and I just composed myself and let it go at that and went on out of the office and caught up with my wife and daughter and come on home; and I haven't seen Mr. Burns since. This happened on the Friday before Mr. Burns went to New York, about 3 weeks ago."

C. A. Isom testifies by affidavit in substance as follows:

"Some time about the latter part of March or the first of April, 1914 I met C.W.Burke. Burke was at work on the case of the State vs Leo M.Frank. He asked me to try to locate a negro by the name of Mark Wilson and also another negro by the name of William Calhoun, also a negro by the name of Ed Whatley. Burke stated that these nugroes Wilson and Whatley were working at the time Mary Phagan was killed in a livery stable next door to the National Pencil Company's place of business and he wanted to show by them that they

heard a girl crying in the National Pencil Company's place of business about 2:30 o'clock in the afternoon, in the basement. He said that they wanted to find William Calhoun to show by him that the detectives had him down at the station house to talk with Jim Conley. He said that Conley stated to the detectives that he could show by Calhoun that he didn't pull the staple on the basement door at the basement of the factory and that if the detectives found Calhoun and had him down there and confronted him and Conley, that Calhoun would swear that he, Jim Conley, did not pull the staple. The detectives, said Burke, got Calhoun and had him down, but Calhoun stated that he did not know Conley. Burke said that Calhoun might know a whole lot and he wanted to get hold of him. Burke promised to pay me and did pay me while trying to locate these negroes - three dollars a day. I found out and reported that Mark wilson had gone to Virginia. I found out at the home of William Calhoun that he was at 4232 Wabash Avenue, Chicago. I found that Whatley had been in the chaingang. I went to the chaingang and found that he had just gotten out, which I reported to Burke, and then I discovered that he had been living in Dovers Alley in Atlanta; and then I dropped that part of the investigation.

"I am personally acquainted with one Jim Wrenn. Jim has been working with C.W.Burke on this Frank case and is at work on it now. I received a note about the first of April from Wrenn, telling me tosee Burke. I saw C.W.Burke and he wanted me to go to Chicago to get an affidavit from Aaron Allen, a negro that I had known in Atlanta. Burke also stated that he wanted me to talk while in Chicago to William Calhoun. I was paid three dollars a day and given one hundred dollars to cover expenses on this trip. Burke said he wanted to show by Allen that he had been in the cell with Jim Conley and that Conley had confessed to him that he murdered Mary Phagan. Burke said that Make Jacobs, a Jew living in Atlanta, had been up in Chicago trying to get this affidavit. He also stated that Stiles Hopkins, an attorney in the office of L.Z.Rosser, one of Frank's attorneys, was them in Chicago. Burke said that Allen was sore with Burns' men'and didn't know those men and that if it took any dinners, cigars and setting up, for me to use whatever money was necessary

ne

to get Allen in a good humer. Burke said "Tell Allen that the detectives and Dorsey will all be down and out and we will be up; so don't be afraid on their account and make an affidavit."

on April 3d. I went to the office of W.J.Burns' Detective Agency in Chicago in the Transportation building. I met there Aaron Allen. Allen told me that Burns' crowd had arrested him in Indianapolis and had brought him to Chicago. Allen furthermore stated that he had consumption and was nearly dead and had been in a hospital about six months in Indianapolis. Allen came into Burns private office and there I talked to him alone. Allen told me he was not in the cell with Conley at all and did not know Jim Conley and never spoke to Jim Conley in his life. Allen further said that no detective had ever spoken to him about Jim Conley until he was approached some time recently in Indianapolis, Ind. by one of Burns' men.

"I talked with Allen two hours, and after my talk with Allen I personally reported to W.J. Burns that Allen said he didn't know anything at all about the matters that Burke had instructed me to ask him about, and that he didn't know Conley and that he had never talked to any detectives about Conley except at Indianapolis. Burns throwing his hands out to one side, said to me' Well, why did he leave Atlanta?' I said to him: 'Allen tells me that he left Atlanta of his own free will and accord. Burns then said 'Well, where did he get hold of three hundred dollars?' I said 'Allen tells me that he got that money selling whi skey and running a gambling house.' Burns said He is a God Damm lie and just loyal to the police and he is afraid that if he goes backthere, they will jump on him.' Then Burns said'Go on back and talk to him again; you can make him come across. I told Burns that I was hungry and was going out to get a lunch and I then left and was gone about an hour and a half. When I got back to Burns' office after lunch I found Allen locked in a little room in the rear of a larger room on the door of which was printed 'Fire Escape.' In the room where I found Allen was a large cage, which one of Burns' negro detectives showed me could be set up in a very short while, made to resemble a cage in a jail. I talked to Allen in this reom. Allen on this second visit told me: 'Mr. Isom, I will make that affidavit, but it will be a lie. I told Allen I didn't want him to make this affidevit unless it

was the truth. I then went in and told Mrz Burns that Allen said he would make that affidavit but it would be a lie. Then Burns said 'I will talk to him in a few manutes.' In a few minutes Burns went back and got Allen and brought him in his office. Burns then said to Allen 'You God damn bastard; you are just loyal to those policemenand you are telling me a damn lie and you just as well come on across and tell me all about it. After this the door which led into Burns' private office was closed and I heard loud talking but could not undwrstand what was said. I afterwards came from Chicago to Chattanooga on the same train with W. J. Burns; and the next morning on the sleeper Burns told me that Allen gave him the very affidavit that he want ed after I left Burns' office that night.

"While I was in Burns' office talking to Allen, on the day I got to Chicage, Burns was talking to William Calhoun in the next room. Stiles Hopkins came out of the room in which Calhoun was, for the purpose of talking to me in the hall, leaving the door open into Burns' room. When he opened the door I recognized Burns' voice and saw Calhoun, and I heard Burns say: 'You are a damn liar, you bastard, you!' Calhoun told Burns he was not at the station house in Atlanta and that the detectives had never talked to him about Jim Conley and that he did not know him. 'This man's name was never mentioned to me until your men came out to my house the other day' Calhoun said. Hopkins passed out of the room in which Burns and Calhoun were, into the hall, and said to me: 'Don't say anything to anybody about mybeing here. Don't tell Allen that I am here.' Hopkins said that Burns' son said that Allen wanted to talk to him, and Hopkins said 'Tell him that it is not Hopkins who is here, but somebody else' - whose name he gave me but which I have forgotten. I then went back and talked to Allen. A little while after that they let Calhoun go. Burns' son told me that they got an affidavit from Calhoun but I did not see it and do not know what it was.

"After Calhoun left a white man went into Burns' office and Burns and Hopkins talked to him. He was in appearance a Jew. I do not know his name. Burns' son afterwards showed me an affidavit which he said was signed by the man that I saw going into the office to talk to Hopkins and Burns. I read the affidavit. It was signed 219 by someone whose name begins with "S", and as I remember it, the

10

name was something like 'Stoll'. I am not sure about this name. The affidavit stated that the maker was 28 years old; that he was a citizen of Chicago, Ill. and said that he was with the Salvation Army in Atlanta when the murder of Mary Phagan happened and was at the rear of the National Pencil Factory's place of business on the afternoon of April 26th. 1913. The affidavit said he left because sentiment was so strong that he was afraid he would get into some trouble. The affidavit stated that he told a detective about the metters testified to in this office and that the detective's name was 'Shott' or 'Scott'. That the detective said to him that he, the detective, was running that business and for him to go ahead and attend to his business, and that he, the detective, would look after that matter. The affidavit stated that this man saw, on the afternoon of April 26th, 1913, a tell, black negro come out of the back end of the pencil factory and go up to Hunter Street and buy a lunch from an old negro woman and as he came out of the National pencil factory he dropped a pocket book and a day book which he, the said Stoll (or whoever he was) picked up. There was a pocket book and a day book lying there on the table which Burns' son told me was the one. It was a small, black pocket book, something like a card case, and the day book was longer than the pocket book, black, and had written in the back end of it the word 'Conley' plain, with a little acratching before the word 'Conley'."

The State further introduced the following transcript of the testimony of Leo M. Frank at the Coroner's inquest:

"Q. What time do you say it was when you left the building? A/It might have been a trifle after 1, two or three minutes, four minutes; it was a trifle after 1." On page 59, occur the following questions and answers: "Q. When you went out of the office, 5 minutes after 1 o'clock, tell us where you went, just what direction you took, etc.? A. I went up from the factory to Alabama Street, went up Forsyth to Alabama, down Alabama to Broad and Alabama, and I think I caught a car there. Q. Do you remember the car you caught? A. I think it was a Washington Street car. Q. It came first? A. I don't remember which came first."

The State introduced the following downmentary evidences to-wit:

Certified copy of an indictment against George Wrenn found at May Term, 1912, of Fulton Superior Court, in which it was charged that on the 17th day of April, 1912, the said George Wrenn did steal certain jewelry, a detailed description of which is set forth, of the alleged value of \$28,437.88, the same being the property of S. and H. Gilsey. Upon said indictment was a verdict of guilty dated October 30, 1912, and the sentence by the court that the said George Wrenn serve twelve months upon the Public Works of Fulton County.

The State introduced an indictment found at March Term, 1912, of Fulton Superior Court, against Mell Arnold, L. P. Eubanks and Jesse Duffy, charging the three parties named with the offense of car breaking, in the county of Fulton, on the 12th day of November, 1911, and upon said indictment was an entry signed by the presiding judge, that the same was nolle pressed in open court on the 27th day of June, 1912.

The State likewise introduced an indictment found at March Term, 1912, charging B. B. Bishop, Hal Cline, McHenry Hatmaker, L. P. Eubanks, J. R. Miles and A. L. Jesse, with the offense of car breaking, and upon said indictment is an entry of nolle press, signed by the presiding judge, dated the 27th day of June, 1912.

The State likewise introduced an indictment found at March Term, 1912, of Fulton Superior Court, against Mell Arnold, John B. Hairston, Paul B. Jarnagan, L. P. Eubanks, A. L. Jesse, Jesse Duffy and Pate Duffy, charging the parties named with the offense of car breaking on November 5, 1911, and upon said indictment is an entry of nolle prose, eigned by the presiding judge, dated June 27, 1912.

The State likewise introduced an indictment found at March Term, 1912, of Fulton Superior Court, against Hal Cline, McHenry Hatmaker, E. F. Durham, L. P. Eubanks and J.

R. Miles, charging the parties named with the offense of car breaking on the 2nd day of February, 1912, and upon said indictment is an entry of nolle pross signed by the presiding judge, dated June 27, 1912.

The State likewise introduced an indictment found at March Term, 1912, of Fulton Superior Court, against Hal Cline, A. Casey, McHenry Hatmaker, Jesse Duffy, A. L. Jesse and L. P. Eubanks, charging the parties named with the offense of car breaking on February 8, 1912, and upon said indictment is an entry of nolle pross, signed by the presiding judge, dated June 27, 1912.

Likewise the State introduced an indictment found at March Term, 1912, of Fulton Superior Court, against W. T. Smith, J. R. Miles and L. P. Eubanks, charging the parties named with the offense of car breaking on the 3rd day of Desember, 1914, and upoj said indictment appears an entry of nolle pross signed by the presiding judge, dated June 27, 1912.

Likewise the State introduced an indictment found at March Term, 1912, of Fulton Superior Court, against J. H. Hilton, Mell Arnold, L. P. Eubanks, J. R. Miles, A. L. Jesse, and Jesse Duffy, charging the parties named with the offense of car breaking on October 9, 1911, and upon said indictment appears an entry of nolle pross, signed by the presiding judge dated June 27, 1912.

The State likewise introduced an indictment found at
March Term, 1912, of Fulton Superior Court, against McHenry
Matticle, W. H. Fowler, W. R. Winent, J. R. Miles, W. T.
Smith, L. P. Eubanks, V. F. Ransoms, Q. Casey, Hal Cline, E.
F. Durham, Jesse Duffy and A. L. Jesse, charging the parties
named with the offense of car breaking, on the 13th day of
January, 1912, and upon said indictment appears an entry of
nolle pross signed by the presiding judge, dated June 27,1912

222

大き こうそか あって 大は

March Term, 1912, of Fulton Superior Court, against L. P. Eubanks, John B. Hairston, B. Z. Ellis, A. L. Jesse and J. R. Miles, charging the parties named with the offense of car breaking on the 27th day of November, 1911, and upon said indictment appears an entry of nolle pross dated June 27, 1912, signed by the presiding judge.

S.L. BOS TP. Sworm for the State. I was with Ivey Jones on Tuesday, April 26 1.14, when he left the office of Dorsey, Brewster, Howell & Heyman in the Miser Building for the purpose of going to look at a man who had been standing watching the people go into that office for several hours. Lev. Iones pointed out to me Jimmie Raine as being the man referred to in his affidavit, which had just been dictated. I am personally acquainted with said Raine. Raine spoke to me when I was with Ivey Jones and called me by name. Raine was walking up Pryor St. when we saw him.

GEO. W.EPPS. JR. Sworn for the State. I am the George W.Epps who testified in the trial of the case of the State vs. Leo M.Frank. Since signing an affidavit this morning with reference to what occurred in Birmingham, Ala., I have seen on the street the man who took me from Atlanta, Ga. to Birmingham, and who passed with me ander the name of Terry. I picked him out on the street at a bootblack stand near the corner of Hunter and South Pryor Streets. I spoke to him and he spoke to me. I called him Mr.Terry. I never knew him under any other mame except the name of Terry. Mr.N.A.Garner was with me at the time I saw him and addressed the man as Jimmie Wrenn, and Terry asked, "What did you call me, Kelly"? I said, "No, I didn't call you Kelly, I called you Terry, the name you gave me."

No Ao GARNER, Sworn for the State. I was present with George Epps on the occasion referred to in the affidavit attached and heard everything that was said between George Epps and Jimmie Wrenn. George Epps pointed Wrenn out without any suggestion from me, as being the man who had taken him to Birmingham, by the name of Terry. I am personally acquainted with Wrenn and know that his name is not Terry, but that it is Jimmie Wrenn, brother to George Wrenn.

八九百

さん はない ことかって

REBUTTAL ON BEHALF OF MOVANT.

GROUND 1.

DR. H. F. HARRIS, Movant introduced the following testimony of Dr. H.F. Harris, delivered on crossexamination at the original trial:

"Q.What did he (Mr.Dorsey) tell you to examine? What parts of the body did he tell you to examine? A. He told me he wanted me to examine the case and tell him all I could about it. examine the case and tell him all I could about it.

Q. Had you, in your own mind, what you were seeking to determine by the autopsy? What did you understand you were seeking? A. There was some question of poisoning at the time I went out there, but I saw at once there was no reason for assuming that. I failed to state on my direct examination that the stomach contents was tested for alkaleid posoning. There was no poison; of course I dismissed that from my mind; as soon as I saw the girl I saw it was a matter of strangulation."

Q. Did you call in any other chemist to make this examination with you? A. No sir, I did not.

Q. You made it all by yourself? A. Yes.

Q. And kept it all to yourself, did youM A. I did. I told Mr. Dorsey; Mr. Dorsey told me not to tell anybody.

Q. I understand, you told Mr. Dorsey and he requested you not to tell it. Did you call in any other chemist as representing this man, or anybody else, to see any of those things? A. No sir.

Q. When did Mr. Dorsey talk to you about making this sutopsy. A. I don't remember.

don't remember.

Q. How long before you made the examination did he talk to you? A. I don't recollect.

Q. Do you recollect when you made the first examination? A. It was on May 5th, if I remember correctly."

GROUNDS 2 and 3.

J. O. KNIGHT, Sworn for the Movent. On or about the 10th day of April, 1914, as a Notary Public for Fulton County, Georgia, I took the affidavit which purports to be signed by Jimmie Mayfield, in the case of the State of Georgia vs. Leo M. Frank. This affidavit was read by me to said Jimmie Mayfield and she stated that same was the truth after it had been read to her, and after so stating I swore here thereto and she thereupon signed the same. There were present when this affidavit was taken, Dan S . Lehon, C. W. Burke, Herbert Schiff, and perhaps others.

W. BURKE. Sworn for the Movant. On the 23rd day of March, 1914, Mrs Cora Falta made before me, as a notary public, an affidavit which is hereto attached and marked in exhibit. A. I read the affidavit over to Mrs. Cora Falta before she signed it, and she stated that it was the truth and she agreed to it just as it now is in its present shape.

"State of Georgia vs (Exhibit A mentioned above is as follows)
f Georgia vs (No. Fulton Superior Court
rank) Extraordinary Motion for New Trial.

Personally appeared Mrs. Cors Falts, who upon oath deposes and says that she has worked at the National pencil company in the encil d

the City of Atlanta, for almost five years passed.

Further deposing, deponent says that she is acquainted with
Leo M.Frank and also R.P.Barrett, and knew Mary Phagan well, and
knew the color of her hair.

Further deposing, deponent says that on Monday, April 28th,
she was at the National Pencil Factory, and Magnolia Kennedy called
her attention to the hair on a certain machine that R.P.Barrett
was alleged to have found there, and at that time she gave it as
her positive opinion that the hair on the machine was notathat of
Mary Phagan, as it was entirely too light to be the hair of said
Mary Phagan.

Deponent now states that she is most positive that the hair of

Deponent now states that she is most positive that the hair she saw on the machine could not have possibly been Mary Phagan's hair and that the hair on the machine was much lighter in color than the hair of Mary Phagan.

Deponent further states that during her entire employment at the factory, she never saw Mr.Frank joking with any of the female employees or acting in any familiar manner with any of them; and deponent further states that she never heard any girl or woman say that Mr.Frank had ever made any advances toward afly of them.

Deponent says that she makes this statement of her own free will and accord, and without any promise of reward. Aside from

will and accord, and without any promise of reward. Aside from this deponent sayeth not.

人無論

これ ないしているかって

(Signed) Cora Falta

Sworn to and subscribed before me this the 23rd day of March, 1914. (Signed) C.W.Burke, Notary Public, Fulton County, Ga."

H. G. SCHIFF, R. W. LOEB, EULA MAE FLOWERS, Swom for the Movent, We were present when the affidavits of Cora Falta and Jimmie Mayfield were swom to in the Frank case on or about the 10th day of April, 1914, before J.O. Knight, a Notary Public for Fulton County, Georgia; that the affidavits were read over to each of them and each of them said that the statements contained therein were the truth, and they signed them without making any request for any change whatever-

GROUND 5.

E. D. THOMAS. Sworn for the Movant. I am Chief Judge of the Municipal Court of Atlanta. On January 19, 1914, C. W. Burke, a Notary Public for Fulton County, Georgia called mm at my office in the Temple Court Building in the City of Atlanta, accompanied by a negro who swore that his name was Albert McKnight. I attested the affidavit that said Albert McKnight had signed and sworn to on said date above mentioned, and said affidavit was read to said Albert McKnight, who said that every work of it was the truth. I read the affidavit referred to and identify my signature and attestation affixed there to.

C. W. BURKE, Sworn for the Movant. It is not true that I promised Albert McKnight to get him a job at the Terminal Station where he could make \$10.00 per week and that the tips he would get around 225there would average \$100.00 per month. I did not ask Albert-McKnight

whether he would rather have a job around the Terminal Station then one just making \$28.00 per month. I did not ask McKnight if he (mcKnight) could learn to drive an automobile, nor did I tell him then if you would not like the job around the terminal station I will learn you to drive the car and give you a job. McKnight did state after he made his affidavit that he believe harm would be done him by the people at the place he was working and by the detectives, and I did tell him that there was a little negro house in the rear of my house, and if Minola would consent to go to work for me, I would be glad to have her, and that I could protect McKnight if he was living that close to me. McKnight told me that he would be afraid to go back to Beck & Gregg's to work after he had told me the truth and asked me if I did not know of some place where ke I could secure a job for him. I told him that I would see Ike Schoen who operated a guano plant in the city and ask Schoen if he could use another men. I did see Mr. Ike Schoen and told him the circumstances surrounding Albert McKnight and asked Mr. Schoen if he had an opening for another man, and Mr. Schoen told me to send Albert around and he would take him to the foreman and if there was any possible chance for him going to work they would as soon have Albert McKnight as anyone else, until they found out that he was worthless, and of they did find out he was not a good workman, they would discharge him. I told Mr.Schoen that of course I expected McKnight to hold the job on his merits. I then informed McKnight in accordance with the above statement and McKnight went to Mr. Schoen's place and McKnight was put to work. I never told McKnight that anyone was looking for him for the purpose of making him a witness in the Conley case. I never told McKnight to leave the city, but as a matter of fact I did not know that McKnight had left the city and did not know where he was until I read in the papers that McKnight had been hurt on a railroad crossing on McDaniel St. I did not know and do-not how know that McKnight had a mother living in Stockbridge, Ga. and I never told McKnight to go there. I did call on McKnight at the Fairhaven-Hospital after he was injured, and was accompanied by W.J.Burns, Herbert Haas and Dr. Hancock. Burns went over the contents of the affidavit I had taken from 226 McKnight, with McKnight and McKnight told Burns and the others

that every word he had stated in his affidavit to me was the truth. And while Burns was talking to McKnight I interrupted and asked McKnight to tell Burns and the others what, if anything I had promised him for making the affidavit, and McKnight told them I had promised him nothing, or made any inducement to him of any kind for making his affidavit, that he had simply done so because and his conscience and had hurt him and he was sorry he had lied about Frank. I did not ask Albert McKnight while we were at the hospital If the city detectives had beat him up, but did ask him if he had not been fighting and if it was not a fact that he had gotten injured while fighting instead of being struck by a train. After Albert McKnight made his affidavit to me, he asked me where he could locate me in the event that he, McKnight, should want to see me at any time, and I promptly gave McKnight my business card, explaining that both my office and home phones were shown thereon. But I did not tell McKnight to call me up if he got in trouble of any kind or was arrested at any time. It is true that I explained to McKnight how horrible it was for him to lie when a man's life was involved in it, and while he might fool the people here he could not fool God hereafter, and I stated that I wanted him not to enswer me then but to think about it and wait until I came back and then to tell me the truth, and I went back the following day and the affidavit was made.

MINOLA MCKNIGHT. Sworn for the Movent. I was present at my home on Georgia Avenue, City of Atlanta, when C.W.Burke called upon me and myar husband, Albert McKnight. I saw and heard C.W.Burke secure from my husband an affidavit setting forth the fact that my husband had testified falsely at the trial of Leo M. Frenk and I have carefully read the affidavit made by my husband to said C.W.Burke on January 19,1914. I recognize in said affidavit referred to just what I heard my husband tell C.W.Burke and just what I heard him swear to on said date above mentioned. Said C.W. Burke did not make any promise of reward of any kind to my husband for making said affidavit. My husband had repeatedly told me, previous to the visit of C.W.Burke, that he had testified falsally at the trial of Leo M. Frank and that he was sorry he had done so, and my husband told me, after he had made his affidavit to said Burke.

and told the truth, as it had relieved his mind and that he hoped the affidavit would undo the wrong that he had done to Leo M. Frank. The first time C.W.Burke called on me and my husband, the said C.W. Burke did not ask my husband to sign an effidavit, but that he had outlined to Albert the great wrong it was to lie and told him that even though it was possible to deceive the people on this earth, he could not deceive God Almighty. Mr. Burke told Albert on the occasion of his first visit, that he would again come to see him and when Mr. Burke called the second time, my hus band made the affidavit referred to here.

DAN S. LEHON, Sworn for the Movant. On Tuesday, April 14, 1914, I was in an automobile with Dan MacIntyre and C W. Burke and Fred Lynn, who was the chauffeur driving the car. When the streets of Glenn and Pulliam, in the city of Atlanta were reached, we met a negro, who said his name was Albert McKnight and who C.W.Burke said was Albert McKnight. I questioned said Albert McKnight with reference to an affidavit which he had made to C.W.Burke on January 19, 1914, and read said affidavit to him, and said McKnight stated that it was the truth in every respect and that he was ready and willing to sign the affidavit again, if desired. I was in possession of a copy of the affidavit which Mcknight had sworn to before C.W.Burke on January 19,1914; and after it was read to McKnight it was discovered that McKnight had changed his address and place of employment, and it was decided it would therefore be necessary to write another affidavit, changing the same to his present address and place of employment, before securing McKnight's signature thereto. -McKnight told me that as soon as the affidavit in question had been changed with reference to the address and place of employment, he would gladly and willingly swear to same, as it was the truth in every respect. I and the gentlemen referred to in the above part of this affidavit then left said McKnight with the understanding that they would return within a day or two for his signature, which McKnight said he would give. On Wednesday, April 15,1914, atikl accompanied by Dan McIntyre and C.W.Burke, I met the said Albert McKnight in the Terminal Station in the city of Atlanta, Georgia. I had with me a copy of the affidavit which McKnight made 228 to C.W.Burke on Jamuary 19,1914, the only changes being the address and place of employment of said McKnight, and the affidavit was read

to McKnight, who held up his right hand and swore that every word contained therein was the truth in every respect.

DAN McINTYRE, JR. sworn for the Movant. On Tuesday, May April 14, 1914, I was in an automobile with Dan S. Lehon and C.W.Burke, and Fred Lynn, who was the chauffeur driving the car. When the streets of Glynn and Pulliam in the City of Atlanta were reached, we met a negro who said that his name was Albert McKnight and who C.W.Burke also said was Albert McKnight. I heard Dam S. Lehon questioning said Albert McKnight with reference to an affidavit which he had made to C.W.Burke on January 19,1914, and I heard Dan S. Lehon read said affidavit to McKnight, and McKnight stated that it was the truth in every respect, and said that he was ready and willing to sign the affidavit again before me, who am a notary public for Fulton County, Georgia. I was in possession of a copy of the affidavit which McKnight had sworn to before C.W.Burke on January 19,1914; and after reading it to McKnight, it was discovered that McKnight had changed his address and place of employment, and it was decided it would therefore be necessary to write another affidavit, changing the same to his present address and place of employment, before sewuring McKnight's signature thereto. McKnight told me that as soon as the affidavit in question had been changed with reference to the address and place of employment, he would gladly and willingly swear to same, as it was the truth in every word and respect. We then left said Albert McKnight with the understanding that we would return within a day or two for his signature, which McKnight said he would give, On Wednesday, April 15,1914, still accompanied by Dan S. Lehon and C. W. Burke, I met the said Albert McKnight in the Terminal Station, in the City of Atlanta, Georgia. I had with me a copy of the affidwit which McKnight had made to C.W.Burke on Jamuary, 191914, the only changes being made therein being the address and place of employmentof said McKnight, and I read the affidavit to McKnight who held up his right hand and swore that every word contained therein was the truth in every respect. FRANK ESKRIDGE, WM. S. ANSLEY, E. V. CARTER, JR, Sworn for the Movant. We know D. I. MacIntyre, Jr. and know his general character for truth and veracity. The same is good and we would believe him on oath.

FRED LYNN, Sworn for the Movant. On Tuesday, April 14,1914, I was in an automobile with Dan S. Lehon, Dan McIntyre and C.W. Burke, and I was driving said automobile. When the streets of Glenn and Pulliam were reached, we met a negro who said his name was Albert McKnight and who C.W.Burke said was Albert McKnight. I heard . Dan S.Lehon questioning said Albert McKnight with reference to an affidavit which he had made to C.W.Burke on Jamusru 19,1914, and I heard Dan S.Lehon read said affidavit to McKnight and McKnight stated that it was the truth in every respect, and said that he was ready and willing to sign the affidavit again, if desired. After reading said affidavit to McKnight, it was discovered that McKnight had changed his address and place of employment and it was decided by said Dan S.Lehon and C.W.Burke to write another affidavit, changing the address and place of employment before securing Mc-Knight's signature thereto McKnight told us that he was ready and willing to sign another affidavit as soon as it had been changed with reference to the address and place of employment and that he would gladly and willing sweer to same, as it was the truth in every respect. We then left the said Albert McKnight with the understanding that they we would return within a day or two for his signature, which said McKnight said he would gladly give. W. J. BURNS, Sworn for the Movent. On Sunday, April 22,1914, in company with Dr. Thomas H. Hancock, Attorney H. J. Haas, and C. W. Burke, I called on Albert McKnight, who was a patient at the colored hospital known as Fair Haven Infirmary, on West Mitchell Street, Atlanta Georgia. I questioned Albert McKnight regarding the affidavit that McKnight had given to C.W.Burke on January 19,1914, asking him if it was the truth, and Albert McKnight stated to me that it was the truth in every way, telling me that he had lied in the testimony which he gave on the witness stand at the trial of Iroo M.Frank, that he was sorry for the lies he told and that he then wanted to undo all the wrong he had done Mr Frank, and that he made this affidavit to C.W.Burke of his own free will and accord, without any promise of reward, hoping that the affidavit would be placed in the hands of Mr. Luther Z. Rosser to be used in the interest of Leo M. Fran k. Albert Mcknight stated to me, then and there, that one R.L. Craven

230 employed at the firm of Beck & Gregg, hardware dealers in the city

Atlanta, was the man who induced him, McKnight, to swear falsely.

I heard C.W.Burke tell McKnight to explain to all present what, if any, offers said Burke made to him in order to secure his affidavit and McKnight replied that Mr.Burke had made no offers to him of any kind, and further said that Mr.Burke had only talked religion to him, and that he had only told Mr.Burke the truth. Before affixing my signature hereto I have carefully read the original affidavit signed by said Albert McKnight, dated January 19,1914, and recognize in the contents thereof precisely the same statement Albert McKnight made to me and others on the date referred to above in the first paragraph of this affidavit.

JOE THOMPSON. Sworn for the Movant. On Sunday, April 22,1914, I was a patient at the Fairhaven Infirmary, on West Mitchell Street, in the City of Atlanta, Fulton County, Georgia. I distinctly remember that Dr. Hancock, and three other gentlemen visited Albert McKnight at the hospital above referred to where I occupied the same room with said Albert McKnight. I heard one of the men referred to above, whom I afterwards learned to be Detective Burns, talking to Albert McKnight with reference to an affidavit he had made with reference to the case of Leo M. Frank, and I heard McKnight state that the affidavit he had made to one of the men who was present at the time of the conversation referred to, was the truth in every word and respect and that the said McKnight told Detective Burns that the testimony he gave on the witness stand at the trial of Leo

M. Frank was not the truth and that he had been induced to tell thies at the trial by some man with whom he was at work in the city of Atlanta previous to the trial. I also heard one of the men ask Albert to tell all that were present what promises had been made to said McKnight to make said affidavit that was the subject of the conversation referred to, and McKnight stated that he promises had been made to him at all and that he had made the affidavit referred of his own free will and accord. After the gentlemen referred to left the hospital, I talked with McKnight abouthe case of Leo M. Frank and about the affidavit which McKnight had made to one of the men present on the date of the conversation referred to, and McKnight told me that the affidavit which he had been talking about with Detective Burns was the truth in every respect, and he also

told me that he had given false testimony at the trial of Leo M. Frank and expressed his sorrow for having done so.

DR. THOS. H. HANCOCK, Sworn for the Movant. On Sunday, March, 22nd 1914 in company with Detective S.J. Burns, Attorney H.J. Haas and C.W.Burke, I called on Albert McKnight, who was a patient at the colored hospital known as Fair Haven Infirmary, on West Mitchell Street, in the city of Atlanta, Georgia. I heard Detective Burns questioning Albert McKnight regarding the affidavit that McKnight had made to C.W.Burke on January 191914, and heard the detective ask him if the affidavit was the truth, and Albert McKnight stated to us that it was the truth in every respect and he told Detective Burns that he had lied in the testimony which he gave on the witness stand at the trial of Leo M. Frank, and that he had made his affidavit to C.W.Burke of his own free will and accord, without any promise of reward. I heard Albert McKnight tell Detective Burns that one R.L. Craven, employed at the firm of Beck & Gregg, was the man who had induced him, McKnight, to swear falsely against Mr.Frank. I heard C.W.Burke tell McKnight to explain to all present what, if any offers said C.W.Burke had made to him in order to secure his affidavit, and McKnight replied that Mr. Burke had made no offers to him of any kind, and further that Mr. Burke had only talked religion to him and that he had told Mr. Burke only the truth. On March 21,1914, while visiting the Rixxxx Hair Haven Infirmary, and while in conversation with Albert McKnight, the said McKnight voluntarily told me that one R.L. Craven who was employed at the store of Beck & Gregg was the man who had come to him and induced him to swear falsely against Leo M.Frank, and in explaining to me how Mr. Craven had induced him to swear falsely, stated that Mr .Craven approached him and said "Albert, there is a large reward' offered for the person guilty of Mary Phagan's murder, and you and I might as well earn the reward as anyone else. McKnight further told me that when he had made his affidavit to the said R.L. Craven, he Mgs McKnight, told Mis wife Minola of what he had done and McKnight said his wife was very much excited aver the statement which he said he had given to Craven and told him it was a lie and further told him that he had better get the statement back, that it would get him in trouble if he did not and McKnight del me that

he asked Mr. Craven to give it back and Mr. Craven had refused and told him the statement had already been given to the detectives and if, he, McKnight, did not now stick to the story, he would surely get in trouble. McKnight told me that twice during his period of testimony on the witness stand he came very near coming right out with the truth and would have done so had Mr. Rosser kept after him a little longer. He also said that if Mr. Frank was ever tried again that he had told his wife Minola that he would tell the truth and repudiate the falsehood he had told at the trial. I have carefully read the original affidavit signed by said McKnight, dated Jamary 19,1914, and recognize in the contents thereof precisely the same statement in heard Albert McKnight repeat to Detective Burns, myself and others on the date referred to above. H. J. HAAS, Swom for the Movant. On Sunday, Manage 2012014, in com pany with Detective W.J.Burns, Dr. Thos. H. Hancock and C.W. Burke, I called on Albert McKnight, who was a patient at the colored hospital known as Fair Haven Infirmary, on West Mitchell Street. I heard detective Burns questioning Albert McKnight regarding the Mffidavit McKnight had made to C.W.Burke on January 19,1914, and heard the detective ask him if the affidavit was the truth and Albert McKnight stated to us that it was the truth in every way and that he had lied on the testimony which he gave on the witness stand at the trial of Leo M. Frank, and that he had made his affidavit to C.W. Burke of his own free will and accord, without any promise of reward. I heard Albert McKnight tell Detective Burns that one R.L. Craven, employed at the firm of Beck & Gregg, was the man who had induced him to swear flasely against Frank. I heard C.W.Burke tell McKnight to explain to all present what, if any, offers said C.W. Burke had made to him in order to secure his affidavit, and Me-Knight replied that Mr. Burke had made no offers of any kind to him and further said that Mr. Burke had only talked religion to him and that he had only told Mr. Burke the truth. I have carefully examined the original affidavit signed by Albert McKnight, dated January 19, 1914, and recognize/the contents thereof precisely the same statem ment I heard Albert MoKnight repeat to Detective Burns, myself and Southers on the date referred to above.

JOHN MINOR, Swom for the State. I am a reporter for Hearst's Sunday American, and as such on the night of February 21,1914,I

interviewed one Albert McKnight in the Gould Building, in the City of Atlanta, Georgia, and the result of my interview with Albert Mo-Knight appeared in the Sunday issue of the Hearst's Sunday American of February 28.1914, and said interview correctly appeared therein. I closely questioned Albert McKnight regarding the affidavit referred to, and McKnight stated to me that the affidavit made to C. W.Burke, a notary public, for Fulton County, Georgia, on January 19, 1914, was in every way the truth. I asked him if C.W. Burkemor any other person offered him any inducement or reward for the making of said affidavit, and McKnight stated to me that he made the affidavit of his own free will and accord, without any promise whatsoever from C.W.Burke or any other source, and made it simply because it was the truth. C.W. Burke was present and did not in any way interfere with my examination of said Albert McKnight. Mc-Knight stated to me repeatedly that he regretted that he had uttered lies against Mr.Frank and expressed himself as anxious at that time to rectify the wrong he had done Mr .Frank and set himself straight in the eyes of the world. I have read a copy of Albert McKnight's affidatit, dated January 19,1914, which is hereto attached and marked Exhibit A, and identify it as an exact copy of the original affidavit which I read to said Albert McKnight and which McKnight identified as his own affid avit.

(Exhibit A referred to above is as follows)

Leo M.Frank

"State of Georgia, vs. (Conviction of Murder, July Term, 1913.
Leo M.Frank Extraordinary Motion for new trial.

Personally appeared Albert McKnight, 21 years of age, residing at rear of 17 Georgia Avenue, in Atlanta, FultonCounty, Georgia, who deposes and said!

That he is at the present time employed at the store of Beck & Gregg, and deponent says he was at work through most of the month of April, 1913.

Deponent says he was a witness for the State in the case of kem State of Georgia vs. Lem M.Frank, and testified to a story that had been prepared for him by R.L.Gravens, a white man employed by Beck & Gregg. by Beck & Gregg.

Deponent says that the story prepared by R.L.Cravens was not the truth and that the evidence deponent gave at the above named trial was not the truth; deponent now says that it is true that his wiffe Minola was employed at the home of Mr.Selig-where Leo M.Frank resided, and it is true that on Saturday, April 26, he called at the Selig home to see his wife, but deponent says that he reached the Selig home a little before 12 o'clock noon and that he heard the 12 o'clock whistle blow at the Southern Railway Shops, and also heard the 12;30 o'clock whistle blow while he was talking with his wife; and deponent says when he heard the 12:30 talking with his wife; and deponent says when he heard the 12;30 whistle blow he left the Selig home and walked up Georgia Avenue to Pulliam Street, then up Pulliam Street to Bass Street and to his own home, which at this time was located in the rear of 351

Pulliam Street.

Pulliam Street.

Deponent says that when he reached the Selig home on Saturday, April 26th, that his wife was preparing the noon time meal, but had not yet served it, and that she did not serve the meal before he left the house.

Deponent says that he did not see Mr. Frank at all on April 26,1913 and that his evidence at the trial of Mr. Frank was the result of a plan perfected by R.L. Craven and others to collect the reward offered for the arrest and conviction of the murderer of Mary Phagan. of Mary Phagan.

Deponent says he told Mr.R.L.Craven that he did not want to tell any lies on Mr.Frank, but Mr.Craven would tell him to go right ahead and do what he told him to do, and that he would get the reward already referred to above, and he was weak enough to do as Mr.Craven told him to do.

Deponent says he is sorry for all the wrong he has done to Mr.Frank, and that he wants this true statement of facts placed in the hands of Mr.L.Z.Rosser, to be used by him with the hope that same can in some way undo the great wrong he was lead to do, by the white people he was working with at the store of Beck & Gregg.

Deponent again says that he did not see Leo M.Frank at any time, or place, on Saturday, April 26,1913, and that he willso testify when called upon at any time.

(S) albert McKnight

Subscribed and sworn to before me the 18th day of January, 1914 (S) C.W.Burke, Notary Public, Fulton County.

Sworn to and subscribed, signature acknowledged before me Jan. 19, 1914

(S) E.D. Thomas, Chief Judge, Municipal Court of Atlanta.

CHARLES T. PHILLIPS. JR., Sworn for the Movant. on I am a reporter of the Atlanta Journal, and as such on the night of Mebruary 21, 1914. I interviewed one Albert McKnight, in the Gould Building, in the City of Atlanta, Georgia, and the result of my interview with Albert McKnight appeared in the Sunday issue of the Atlanta Journal of February 22,1914, and said interview correctly appeared therein. I closely questioned Albert McKnight regarding the affidavit referred to, and McKnight stated to me that the affidavit made to C.W Burke a Notary Public for Fulton County, Georgia on January 19,1914, was in every word me the truth. I asked him if C.W.Burke or amy other person, offered him any inducement or reward for the making of said affidavit and McKnight stated to me that he made the affidavit of his own free will and accord, without any promises whatsoever from C.W. Burke or any other source and made it simply because it was the truth. C.W.Burke was present, but did not in any way interfere with dependants examination of Albert McKnight. He stated repeatedly to me that he regretted the fact that he had 235 uttered lies against Mr.Frank and expressed himself as anxious at that time to rectify the wrong he had done Mr . Frank and set kimself straight in the eyes of the world.

1 大 江

GROUND 9.

Movant. C. W. BURKE, DAN S. LEHON, Sworn for the Months We have been employed in investigating the Frank case. On or about the 9th day of April, 1914, each of us, together with J.O. Knight, went to the then boarding house of Miss Ruth Robinson, on Capitol Avenue. The affidavit purporting to be signed by said Miss Ruth Robinson, on the 9th day of April, 1914, before J.O. Knight, Notary Public, for Fulton County, and which has been introduced in evidence in this case, was signed in our presence, and said J.O. Knight swore Miss Robinson, after he had read/teame to her and she said it was true and then signed it. C.W.Burke had previously thereto acted as Notary Public in taking Miss Ruth Robanson's affidavit, but that inasmuch as that affidavit was taken sometime agom and that there might be no doubt about the correctness of the affidavit, it was decided that it would be again submitted to Miss Robinson for her approval and affidavit, and that was done as above outlined.

I am a court reporter and re-T. J. FREER. Sworn for the Movant. ported the case of the State vs. Leo M. Frank in Fulton Superior Court at the July Term, 1913. I reported the testimony of Miss Ruth Robinson, and said testimony is as follows:

"Direct examination by the Solicitor General: Q. Miss Robinson, did you ever worket the National Pencil Company's place of business? A. Yes sir.
Q. Did you know Mary Phagan? A. Yes sir.
Q. Did you know Leo M. Frank? A, Yes sir.
Q. Did you or not, ever see Leo M. Frank talking to Mary Phagan?

A. Yes sir.
Q. Tell when and where and how he was talking to her? A. Well, he was talking to her about her work, when she was at work.
Q. At work? A. Yes sir.
Q. How much or how often did you see him there talking to her?
A. Not very often.
Q. Well, how often, and describe to the jury how he did, what he did? A. Well, he just talked to her about her work, told her about her work.

Q. How would he stend and what did he do? A. Well, he didn't do anything, only he would just tell her about her work, two or three times a day, maybe.
Q. Not maybe, what he did? A. Two or three times a day, that is all he done.

Q. Where did he stand, and what did he do? A. Well, he would stand by her.

Q. Stand by her? A. Yes sir. Q. How close to her? A. Well, justclose enough to her to tell her about her work.

about her work.
Q. Close enough to tell her about her work; what did he do when he talked to her, what did he show her about the pencils, and how did he show it to her? A. Well, when she put plugs in the penciel, when I worked there, she put rubbers in pencils when I worked there.
Q. What floor was she working on then? A. She was working on the 4th floor.
Q. The fourth floor; all right, and she put rubbers in pencils or what? A. That was all.
Q. That was all? A. Yes.

Q. Now - (Mr.Rosser: Don't lead). Q. What would he do and what would she do, when he would be talking to her and she would be putting the rubbers in pencils. A. Well, she seemed to be all right, she would do jum as he said about it.
Q. What would he minument do when he would put those rubbers in pencils? A. He would just show her.
Q. How would he show her? A. He would just take upmthe pencils and show her how to do it.

Q. Show her, how would he show her? A. He would take up a pencilm

Q. Show her, how would he show her? A. He would take up a pencilm or two or three of them and put rubbers on them; he would pick up the pencils and show her how to put them in.
Q. Take that pencil now, and show, see if you can show me how he told her? A. There isn't any tip on it.
Q. Well, take that one, (tendering a pencil to witness). A, Well, you see the rubbers were down here in a box and he would pick them upand of course, they are made so that he would have to screw them in, you know, before they went to the packing machine.
Q. Show exactly everything Mr. Frank would do there? A. That was

exactly everything Mr. Frank would do there? A. That was

everything I saw him do.

Q. That is everything you sawhim dow A. Yes sir.

Q. Who had hold off the pencil? A. Mary.

Q. Mary, and where would Mr. Frank be? A. Well, he would pick up

some more pencils, and show her, stand there and show her.
Q. The time that Mary had hold of the pencil, where would Mr. Franks hands be? A. I don't know, he would have some of the pencils himself, I suppose.
Q. How of ten did you see this, and how long a period did it cover?
A. Mary quit didn't work there so very long before I quit.
Q. Before you quit; did you ever heard him call her name or not?
Q. Yes I heard him speak to her.
Q. What did he call her? A. Called her Mary.

Q. What did he call her? A. Called her mary.
Q. Called her Mary?
Cross examination by Mr.Rosser:
Q. Did you hear that, did you bou beally hear him call her name or are you just telling that from impression. A. I heard it.
Q. How long ago was that? A. That was last summer.
Q. Last summer, you just saw him helping her? A. It was about this time."

this time."

J. O. KNIGHT. Sworn for the Movant. On or about the 9th day of April 1914, I went, together with C.W.Burke and Dam S. Lehon to #34 Capitool Avenue, Atlanta, Ga., the then boarding house of Miss Ruth Robinson; I went there for the purpose of swearing Miss Ruth Robinson to an affidavit which has already been to the court shown, signed by Miss Robinson, and sworn to by Miss Ruth Robinson before me as notary public on the 9th day of April, 1914. I read this affidavit over to Miss Ruth Robinson. She said it was the truth and I same swore her to it. She signed it in the presence of myself and C.W. Burke and Dan S. Lehon.

AUSTIN G. DENNISTON. Sworn for the Movent. I reside at 600 West 161st Street, Borough of Manhattan, State of New York. On the 20th day of November, 1913, I was in the City of Atlanta, State of Georgia, and on that day Ruth Robertson, who gave her address as 74 Walton Street, Atlanta, Ga., personally appeared before me in my sitting room at the Weincoff Hotel, and related to me all of 237 the facts and statements contained in a certain affidavit signed and

swom to by the said Ruth Robertson before C.W.Burke, Notary Public of Fulton County, a copy of which affidavit is hereto annexed and made a part of this affidavit. When the said Ruth Robertson related the facts and statements contained in the affidavit, she and I were the only persons in my rooms at the said Hotel Weincoff. I personally wrote out her statement of facts in long hand as she talked and later dictated them to a stenographer in the form of the affidavit that she lafer executed. The said Ruth Robertson met me in the evening of the 20th of November, 1913 in a drug store, the name of the praprietor I camet give, now the street address of the drug store, though I could go to it and designate the place where she met me. I at this time called the notary public, C.W.Burke, and in his presence the said Ruth Robertson carefully read over the typewritten statement which she then and there executed and swore that the same was true. The said C.W.Burke was not at any time present in my rooms at said Hotel Weincoff during the visit of the said Ruth Robertson when she related the facts of this said affidavit, nor was he present during the time that I dictated from my longhand notes of her statement to the stenographer who later transcribed his stenographic notes as signed and sworn to by said Ruth Robertson.

人無益

(The affidavit above mentioned is as follows)

"State of Georgia, County of Fulton.

Personally appeared Miss Ruth Robertson, residing at 74 Walton Street, in the City of Atlanta, State of Georgia, who upon oath deposes and says:

oath deposes and says:

I was a witness in the case of the People against Leo in the Frank, and on the morping of the day that I testified in the case a police detective whose name I believe to be Bass Rosser, came to my house and conducted me to the office of Solimitor Dorsey. This was my first meeting with Mr.Dorsey. The meeting was in a room in a building that I believe is opposite, or in the vicinity of the building in which the trial was conducted. After being introduced to Mr.Dorsey by the detective, Mr.Dorsey greeted me very effusively. He said he was glad I had come down to see him, and he was sure I would make a good witness and would help him out in the Frank case. He questioned and talked to me in the room alone for about a half an hour, beginning at about eight thirty o'clock. As I remember it there was no proceedings in court on that day until later in the forencon, at nine o'clock I believe; it was on Wednesday, but the date I downot recall. In the beginning of the conversation with Mr.Dorsey, he asked me to go ahead and tell him all I knew about Mr.Frank, except that I worked for him, and so far as I knew he was a gentleman in every respect, or words to that effect. He asked me if I knew Mary Phagan and I told him I did He insisted that as I had worked at the National Pencil Company for a considerable time, that I must knew something against the character of Mr. able time, that I must know something against the character of Mr.

Frank and asserted that he walk a very bad man. I told him that I knew absolutely nothing against Mr.Frank's character. Mr.Dorsey insisted that I did, and persisted in the statement that he was of bad character. He asked if I had ever been in Mr.Frank's office. I told him that I had on several cocasions, always on business errands connected with the work I was performing at the factory. He then asserted that I had been in Mr.Frank's office, with him alone, to keep dates for purposes other than business, to which I replied that it was not true. He finally openly insulted me by affirming that I had had sexual intercourse with Mr.Frank lept for the purpose of meeting girls, and he insisted that I knew the location of such room and that I knew of other girls that had been to this room with Mr.Frank. I was shocked by the threat broad insinuation and affirmative statements of Mr.Dorsey, and I told him that all such statements and illusions were lies, and that I had never heard of any such thing ever occurring in the factory, or elsewhere, in which mr. Frank and any girl employee of the factory were parties to; and I state now, after mature deliveration and thought that I have never heard such insulting language by direct speech and inmendo, by any of the commonest laborers in and about the National Pencil Factory, as was used to me by Solicitor General Dorsey, when in his private room. He being the Solicitor General I, being in his office, believed at the time that he possessed some sort of right to thus accuse me and insult me; and, under this scandalous stdements, by direct speech and innuendo, without openly resenting them further than to deny every single one of them.

I wish to refer to my evidence, as given on the stand at the trial of Mr. Frank, as to my answers to questions of Mr. Dorsey wherein I was made to say that I had heard Mr. Frank call Mory Phagan by her first name, "Mary". Upon reflection, I wish to explain that my answer, as above repeated, was due entirely to my nervousness because of the badgerin

Referring back to my first call on Mr.Dorsey and where he had questioned and talked to me for about a halfan hour, at the conclusion of which I was directed to another large room, adjoining I believe where Mr.Dorsey talked to me, in which there were twelve or fifteen other girls and women - all witnesses in the Frank case, and called by Mr.Dorsey, according to my understanding Among these girls, I remember one Carrie Smith, Myrtie Cato, Maggie Griffin and Dewey Hewell. I remained there until about 12 o'clock when I went to the courthouse and took the witness stand.

when I went to the courthouse and took the witness stand.

I will also state that before Mr.Dorsey went over to the court house, he came into the room where the girls above described and I, myself, were, and gave us a lecture and toldus all that, when we went on the stand to go right ahead and tell everything we knew and answer his questions right off sharp. After the lecture I didn't see Mr.Dorsey again until I went on the witness stand in the court room.

the court room.

While remaining in the large room with the twelve or fifteen girls, before I was called to go to the court house, there was a great deal of talk and gossip among the girls there, some of whom said they know nothing against Mr. Frank and that they were of whom said they knew nothing against Mr. Frank and that they were timid and were afraid that they would be scared when they went into court. Maggie Griffin, however, appeared to welcome and relish the idea of going on the witness at stand and told several times how she was going to just tell everything that Mr. Dorsey wanted to know, when she went on the stand. Dewey Hewell said she did not know anything about Mr. Frank or Mary Phagan, or anything concerning the case, and Maggie Griffin voluntestay, with enthusiasm, to tell her what she had to say, and did tell her and rehearsed her at one side of the room. I heard Maggie Griffin tell Dewey Hewell that she must say that she knew Mr. Frank and knew that he was of bad character, and that she knew Mary Phagan, and to tell everything bad she could think of about him, and to say that she had seen Mr. Frank with could think of about him, and to say that she had seen Mr Frank with

his hands on Mary Phagan, and that she had seen him whisper to her or talk to her with his face close to hers. Maggie Griffin and Dewey Hewell left the large room described two or three times together, and returned together and I heard Dewey Hewell say repeatedly that she was afraid she would forget all Maggie had told her to say when she went into the court house, and Maggie said, "We will go over it again, so you wont forget it". This was repeated several times. I recall hearing Dewey Hewell say pointedly that she did not know where Mary Phagan worked and that she did not know her by name; but she was rehearsed to know her by Maggie Griffin, in that room, and to say whatever she did say on the witness stand. I have seen the evidence as reported as being given by Dewey Hewell, and recognize in her answers precisely what I heard Maggie Griffin tell her to say. I don't believe either of these girls appreciated what it was to swear fix falsely, as they were giggling and laughing over the evidence they were to give when they went on the witness stand.

I have read this statement, and subscribe my name hereto under oath. "

C. W. BURKE, Swom for the Movant. I am acquainted, and have been for years, with Austin G. Denmiston. On or about the 20th day of November, 1913, the said Denmiston was in Atlanta, and as I understand, was engaged in doing some special work in the Frank case. On that day I was asked bu Denmiston, as a notary public, to swear Miss Ruth Robertson to an affidavit, which affidavit is hereto attached, marked Exhibit A, and made a part of this affidavit. This affidavit was presented to Miss Robertson and I read the same over to her and she swore to the same in the presence of the said Denniston and myself. I had nothing to do with writing the affidavit, nor anything to do with taking the affidavit, except act as Notary Public, When said affidavit was read to Miss Ruth Robertson she said it was the truth.

(Sttached as exhibit a is an exact copy of the affidavit affached to the preceding affidavit, signed by Miss Ruth Robertson)

GROUND 10.

D. I. MACINTYRE, Sworn for the Movent. I am a Notary Public in and for the County of Fulton. As such Notary Public, I took an affidavit from Mrs. Mamie Edwards, formerly Miss Mamie Kitchens, dated April 13,1914, I read the affidavit over to Mrs. Edwards before she signed it, and she stated that it was true. After reading it over to her she signed it and I swore her to it. Dan S. Lehon was present with me when the affidavit was read to her and heard Mrs. Mamie Edwards say that it was true and saw her sign it. The affiant made no protest as to the substance or words of the affidavit, and after hearing it read, she signed it without protest saying that the same is true. Said affidavit was changed in accordance with the statements made at the time by Mrs. Mamie Edwards.

DAN S. LEHON. Sworn for the Movant. I was present when Mrs. Mamie Edwards made an affidavit, dated April 13,1914, before Daniel Irwin McIntyre, which affidavit was taken in the Leo M.Frank case to be used upon application for new trial. I heard said McIntyre read the affidavit to Mrs. Mamie Edwards, heard her say that it was true and saw her sign it.

GROUND 11.

LEMMIE QUINN, Sworn for the Movent. On the 26th day of January, 1914, I introduced Marie Karst to C.W.Burke, while they were in the store of J.H.Nunnally on Peachtree Street in Atlanta, Georgia, and the said C.W.Burke then and there told Miss Karst that he wanted to talk with her regarding the testimony she gave at the trial of Leo M. Frank. I heard the entire conversation between Maris Karst and C.W.Burke and heard Miss Karst give ti Mr.Burke the affidavit, of which a copy is attached to this affidavit. Miss Marie Karst made the affidavit of her own free will and I heard her tell Mr. Burke that every word of same was the truth, and Mr. Burke did not hold out any inducements to Miss Karst or offer her reward of any kind for making affidavit referred to.

(The following is the affidavit referred to above)

Georgia, Fulton County.

"Personally appears Miss Marie Karst, of195
Kelly Street, Atlanta Fulten County, Georgiawho upon eath deposes and says that she worked at the National Peneil Company about eighteen months previous to January 1912 and that she knew Mr. Lee M. Frank.

Deponent says that she was a witness for the State of Georgia at the trial of Leo M. Frank, who was charged with the murder of Mary Phagan. Deponent says that she testified that Mr. Frank's character was bad and that she was not cross maximu examined.

Deponent new says that she had been asked as to how she knew Mr. Frank's character was bad, and she could only have said because she had heard girls at the factory say that they were afraid to have Mr. Frank catch them leafing.

Deponent says that no girl or women ever told her that Mr. Frank nad ever in any way insulted them, and deponent says that Mr. Frank never did in any way eact in an unbecoming manner toward her. Deponent also says that she never at any time saw any woman in Mr. Frank's office; and she never heard any other girl or woman say that they ever saw any woman in Mr. Frank's office; and she never heard any other girl or woman say that they ever saw any woman in Mr. Frank's office and she never heard any other girl or woman say that they ever saw any woman in Mr. Frank's office of Mr. High Dorsey in the Kiser Building, twice before the trial, and questioned very closely by Mr. Dorsey. Deponent says that Mr. Jorsey told her to say that Mr. Frank's character is bad, just as you would say that Mayor Woodwards a man of bad charseter, and in the same manner you have heard that Mr. Frank is character was bad, he said; "I went you to state that Mr. Frank's character is bad, just as you would say that Mayor Woodwards a man of bad charseter, and in the same manner you have heard that Mr. Frank is a man of bad character. That is the way I went you to testify and I want you to answer my questions right eff sharp and quick. Deponent also says that when Mr. Dorsey was

prompting her and questioning heer in his office, he did not at any time use the word lasciviousness, but when she went on the witness stand, he did use the word, and did ask her if Mr.Frank's character for lasciviousness was good or bad, and she answered bad in the face ofathe fact that she did not know the meaning of the word "lasciviousness", and never had it explained to her until today; and since the explanation has been made, and since she understands the words, she most emphatically denies that Mr.Frank's character or reputation so far as she knew or knows, is bad for lascivbusness.

Deponent says that Mr.Frank always made the girls at the factory attend strictly to bus iness, and that he was not generally liked by them on account of his strictness with them in his dealings with them regarding their work.

(Signed) Marie Karst"

(Signed) Marie Karst"

C. WEURKE, Sworn for the Movant. I never told Marie Karst, or any one else, that I had taken Miss Lillie Pettis out to see her sister, Miss Nellie Pettis, that I told Marie Karst or any other person that Miss Nellie Pettis had admitted to me and her sister-in-law, Mrs.Lillie Pettis, that what she had sworn on the hearing before the coroner and on the trial of the case of the State against Leo M. Frank was untrue.

6. O. KNIGHT. Sworn for the Movant. I am a Notary Public for Fulton County, Georgia, and as such took an affidavit from Miss MarieKarst on the 9th day of April, 1914. I, C.W. Burke and Dam S. Lehon, together, went to the home of Miss Marie Karst and met her in her parler, and while there in the presence of the parties named, the affidavit dated as aforesaid was read to said Miss Marie Karst and she said it was true, and without making any objection to it, swore to it and signed it.

C. W. BURKE, DAN S. LEHON, Sworn for the Movant. Together, with J.O. Knight, a notary public, we went to the home of Miss Marie Karst, on the 9th day of April, 1914, and saw her in the parlor of her home. While there an affidavit, dated April 9th,1916, in the Frank case, was read to said Marie Karst by the Notary Public, J.O. Knight, and after it was read to her, she stated that it was the truth, whereupon said J.O. Knight swore her and she signed it in xix

our presence. GROUND 13.

RABBI DAVID MARK, Sworn for the Movant. Between one thirty and two o'clock P.M.on Tuesday, April 14,1914, accompanied by Dan S. Lehon Mrs Lee M. Frank and C.W. Burke, per called on Mary Rich, who conducts a small lunch wagon on the sidewalk located on West Hunter Street between Forsyth Street and Madison Avenue, said wagon being situated near the alley that runs at the rear of the National Pencil Com-

pany's factory. I read the attached blank affidavit to Mary Rich, which affidavit is here to attached and marked Exhibit A, and asked Mary Rich is same was the truth, and Mary Rich said, "Yes sir, it is true, except the time should be two thirty P.M. instead of two fifteen P.M. "explaining that the reason she was positive about the time was because she remembered that a white man passed her, with a grip in his hand going toward the Terminal Station; that she asked for the time of day and she said he looked at his watch and replied that it was two thirty o'clock. She further said that this happened just after Jim Conley had left her, but that she did not know where he(Jim Conley) went. Mary Rich stated that she had sworn to God not to sign anything and on this account and because of the newspaper notoriety that had been given her had hurt her trade, she would not sign anything. She said she was telling the truth and that was all she would ever tell.

DAN S. LEHON, Sworn for the Movent. Everything word that is outlined above is the truth. I heard Mary Rich tell Dr. Mark every word as sworn to in this affidavit and heard Dr. Mark read Exhibit A to her.

(The following is Exhibit "A" referred to above)

Georgia, Fulton County.

Mary Rich of 24 Walnut St., Atlanta, Fulton County, Georgia, deposes and says that she knows Jim Conley, and that at about 2;15 o'clock P.M. Saturday, April 26,1913, Jim Conley came to her lunch wagon lecated on Hunter Street near the alley between Madison Avenue and Forsyth Street, being the same alley that runs at the rear of the Mational Pencil Factory building. Deponent says that at the time and how referred to the said Jim Conley purchased a twenty cent lunch from her and she did not see said Conley that day any more."

GROUND 14.

C. W. BURKE. Sworn for the Movant. I know C.B.Dalten and met him at Fort Myers, Fla. about two months ago and secured from said Dalton an affidavit. I met him while at work in an orchard and teld him that I would like to have a talk with him in my room at the Bradford Hotel that night at eight o'clook. Dalton agreed to meet me, and I sent a bey after him, when we talked over the Frank case for about two hours. I teld Dalton frankly that I did not believe the testimony he had given at the trial to be the truth, also that I didn't believe Dalton even knew Leo M. Frank. Dalton

大きないこととないない いんかんない いいかいけんしょ かんせんしょ とっているしょ

stated to me that owing to the fact that I had been a former employer of his brother in law, W.N.Barber, and further because of the fact that said Arber had spoken very highly of me that he (Dalton) would tell me the truth about his testimony at the trial of Leo M. Frank. He then and there proceeded to freely give me the information contained in the affidavit which has been presented at this hearing. I wrote out Dalton's statement in long hand at the time he gave it, and on the following morning I gave the long hand copy to John M. Cameron, a stenographer at Fort May Myers and instructed said Cameron to typewrite said statement verbatim, which Cameron did. I then secured the services of a Mr. Hendry an attorney and a notary public, and in an automobile went to the country where Dalton was at work. Dalton at once came down to the automobile and I intooduced him to Mr. Hendry. Hendry read over very carefully the affidavit in I had had prepared and asked Dalton if the statements there in contained were true, and if it was his affidavit. Dalton answered it was true and that it was his affidavit, and then and there Dalton held up his right hand and swore to the same and signed it across the fender of the automobile. When Dalton stated he would like to meet me at Fort Myers that night at 7 o'clock, I agreed to meet him there. However, I did not know when I made that appointment with Dalton that the only train by which I could leave Fort Myers that day was scheduled to leave that point at 3 P.M/ and after ascertaining that to be a fact, I left there on said train, returning to Atlanta and I have never seen Dalton since. On the night I took Dalton's affidavit in long hand , Dalton asked me if I had any influence with some of the various master mechanics in charge of some railfoads running out of Atlanta, stating that he would like very much to secure a position as railroad fireman. I told Amm Dalton that I knew one or two master mechanics, but owng to the factthat Dalton was a witness in the Frank case and to the further fact that I was working on that case, it would be impossible for me to intercede with anyone to try to secure Dalton a position, and Dalton then agreed that he understood the situation. Dalton wanted to know if I was still connected with any railroad company, and I told him I was not. Dalton also wanted to know if I did not have some influence with officals of the Southern Railroad

by which I could secure him a pass from Jacks onville to Atlanta, and return, stating that he had a daughter or sister in law whom he desired to visit. I explained to Dalton that while I had friends with the Southern Railway, It would be impossible for me to secure a pass for him or even for myself, owing to the present pass laws. I explained to Dalton that it would be impossible for me to do him a favor of any kind while the Frank case was pending, and Dalton acknowledged to me that he understood that for that reason I could not do anything for him. There never was any conversation which took place between me and Dalton with reference to the Pardon Board of Georgia, and I positively never offered Dalton any money in any amount. At the time Dalton made his affidavit, same was read over to him by Mr. Hendry, and not by me, and Mr. Hendry said nothing about said affidavit being taken to be used before the Pardon Board. Dalton had plenty of time and took plenty of time to listen to the reading of the entire affidavit, and Dalton did not ask me or Mr. Hendry when he would get any money, and there was no mention made of any money at any time during the conversation with Dalton.

GROUND 141

HENRY A. ALEXANDER, Sworn for the Movant. The facsimile photograph of the yellow murder note appearing in the pamphlet issued and distributed by me in February, 1914, in reference to these notes, is identical with the facsimile of said note appearing in the original brief of evidence in this case, filed in Fulton Superior Court, and approved October 31,1913 by Judge Roan as a true, correct and complete brief of the evidence in said case; It is also identical with the facsimile of said note appearing in the certified copy of said brief of evidence on file in the Supreme Court of Georgia,/is also identical with the facsimile copy of said note appearing in the printed copy of the Brief of Evidence filed in the Supreme Court. A copy of said note is hereto attached, marked Exhibit "A".

(The exhibit attached to said affidavit consists of a photographic copy of one of the notes found by the body of Mary Phagan, the same having been designated on the original triel as State's Exhibit Z.)

TERBERT G. SCHIFF, Swern for the Movant. I have been employed at the National Pencil Factory since 1908. I worked at the National Pencil Company during the year 1912 and was in the city continually during the year 1912. I know Henry F. Becker, who was the

人無益

master mechanic of the pencil company. I know that Becker resigned his position during the month of December, 1912. Becker's office was on the fourth floor of the pencil company's place of business, and I know that Becker issued orders on order blanks from his office, and there were in Becker's desk at the time of his regignation duplicate order blanks, both in tablet forms and in loose leaves/ At the time that Becker resigned a mix change was made in the office and dressing room space on the fourth floor, the part where Becker's office was being changed to a men's dressing room, so as to enlarge the box room capacity. In making this change, Becker's desk was emptied into the room wherein it was located on the fourth floor. Becker's desk contained a number of blue prints which were very valuable, and these blue prints, which belonged to the company, were taken from Becker's desk and put into the steel cabinet which was in the room occupied by Becker as his office on the fourth flaor. The other contems of the desk were emptied out on the fourth floor and put into trucks to be hauled into the basement. I personally remember the sweepers getting the trash out of the way. After the desk was emptied, it was taken from the fourth floor to the stenographer's office on the second floor, where I personally used the same for several weeks until my departure on the road. On the day I left for the road, in January, 1913, this desk was in the stenographer's office and not in Frank's private office. I herewith attach invoice from the Cotton States Belting & Supply Company which said invoice is in response to order blank #1018 and #1019 issued by H.F. Becker, said order blank #1018 being the one upon which the notes found by the body of Mary Phagan was written by Conley. It was the oustom of Becker to issue requisitions on these order blanks and for the same to be acknowledged by imvoice when the goods were shipped.

(Attached to the foregoing affidavit was the following invoice: "Cotton States Belting & Supply Company, Sold to National Pencil Company, Atlanta, Ga., 9/16-12 09. Your order No. 1018 & 1019, 1 Po 1-1/8 x 22 X 18 Mch Steel, 1 Po 5/8 x 5 x 6 Eo - -\$2.00")

F. ZIGANKI, JOSEPH STELKER, HERBERT G. SCHIFF. Sworn for the Movant.

We know when H.F. Becker's desk on the fourth floor of the National Pencil Company's place of business was cleaned out out and removed from the fourth floor. This occurred about that time that H.F.

Becker resigned as master mechanic of the National Pencil Company in 240the month of December, 1912. The desk was emptied of its contents

which were thrown on the floor in the trash and certain blue prints found in the desk were placed in the steel cabinet on the fourth floor.

GROUND 15.

J. W. WRENN, Sworn for the Movant At the instructions of C.W. Burke I called on Ivy Jones at the railroad freight depot. I reporte information represented to Ivey Jones that I was seeking/about an accientand that the injured man had given his (Ivey Jones') name as a witness to the alleged ancident. I asked Ivey Jones what he knew regarding the accident that had taken place on April 26,1913, and Jones replied that he had witnessed no accident on that date. I told Jones that I could not understand why the injured man had used his name as a witness and asked Jones if he would not detail to me his entire movements on Memorial Day and to describe each person with whom he came in contact. This pretense of looking into an accident was adopted for the reason that I believed that if Ivey Jones' mind was taken sway from all matters connected with the Frank case, he would tell the truth as to his movements on that day. Thereupon Jones made the statement set out in his affidavit, I taking notes of his statement and carried them to C.W.Burke. Burke dictated from said notes the affidavit already introduced, dated February 6,1914. The affidavit as written was then carried to Ivey Jones and read over to him and Ivey Jones swore to it in the presence of said Burke signing his name to it. The paper signed by Ivey Jones did not have big letters at the top like a grocery store heading, and it is not true that Jones signed it with a pencil, but he did sign it with a fountain pen. No pretense was practiced on Jones to get him to sign the paper, but on the contrary . Ivey Jones signed the paper voluntarily after he knew just what it contained. C. W. BURKE, Sworn for the Movent. On or about the 5th day of

C. W. BURKE, Sworn for the Movent. On or about the 5th day of February, 1914, I instructed J. W. Wrenn to locate one Ivey Jones, a negro truck driver who appeared as a witness for the State in the trial of Leo M.Frank. I instructed Wrenn to pretend to Jones that

247 he was one of the claim agents of one of the railroads; that the railroad had been sued by a man who gave the name of Ivey Jones as a witness who had seen the accident, which occurred on Memorial

day. I instructed Wrenn to learn from Jones his entire movements on that day and the name of each person whom he met that day.

Wrenn afterward returned to me and stated that he had interviewed Jones; that Jones did not witness an accident of any kind on Memorial Day and that he very readily gave his movements on into that day, in detail. From the notes furnished me by Wrenn I dictated the affidavit which was signed by Jones on February 16,1914. After writing the affidavit we located Jones at the corner of Decatur and Collins Street. I read the affidavit over very carefully to Jones, who stated that it was alright, with one exception, which referred to that part, which said that he and Buddy Perry went to a ballgame, Jones stating that they both went in to a ball game, but not ed together. I correct/the affidavit in pen and ink and Ivey Jones then and there held up his hand, swore to it and signed it, using

my fountain pen. C. W. BURKE GROUND 16. I know nothing about any attempt being made to induce Helen Ferguson to leave the city, nor about any young man offering to marry the said Helen Ferguson. I never at any time met Helen Ferguson on any street corner of Atlanta with Jimmie Wrenn. I never at any time talked with said Helen Ferguson about the Frank case on the streets. It is true Jimmie Wremm brought Helen Ferggson to see me at the office of J. H.Porter located on the 7th floor of the Grant Building, but I did not at any time ask her to change her statement in the Frank case. I did ask her for an affidavit regarding Jim Conley-attacking herin the factory of the pencil company and said Helen Ferguson readily gave said affidavit. It is true that I asked Helen Ferguson if she had told the truth at the trial of Leo M. Frank and she insisted that she had. Helen Fergus on did make not remain in the office of J.H.Porter at the time above mentioned, exceeding thirty minutes.

GROUND 17.

DAN S. LEHON. Sworn for the Movant. I was present on the 10th day of April,1914 when Jesse E. Duffy swore to an affidavit before J.O. Knight. Notary Public. Said affidavit was read over to Duffy by said Knight and after it was read over to him said Duffy stated it was the truth. Said Knight then swore Duffy to the affidavit D uffy signing it.

B. WILDAUER. OTTO SCHWAB, A.L.GUTHMAN, ALBERT HAAS, ISAAC HAAS, HERMAN J. HAASM. H. REGENSTEIN, Sworn for the Movant. On Friday, May 1,1914, we met J.E. Duffy at the office of the Capital City Chair Company between 8 and 9;30 P.M. Herbert J. Haas was also present. The affidavit of J.E. Duffy executed April 10,1914, before J.O. Knight was shown and to Duffy and Duffy acknowledged the signature to the affidavit as his and that at the time of signing the affidavit, he was properly sworn by the notary J.O.Knight; that he received no money or premise of reward or position to make this affidavit by C.W.Burke or anyone else; that every statement contained in said affidavit is the truth. The affidavit was read to Duffy and he acknowledged each and every statement to be the truth, with the explanation only that the \$7.60 referred to in said affidavit was for two days time as witness and railroad fare, and that the same was paid to him by the County Commissioner's office upon the order of the solicitor General, who gave said order to Duffy and that with this explanation each and every statement therein is true.

C. W. BURKE. Sworn for the Movant. I know Jesse E. Duffy and knew him several years before the murder of Mary Phagan. I also knew L.P. Eubanks and Mell Arnold, all of whom were working for the Southern Railway at the time I was employed by the said company. Said Duffy and 32 other men were involved in car stealing charges with the Southern Railway, all of which cases were nolprossed, without any influence being exerted in that direction by me. Quite a number of these ass arrested were given their positions back, nd I was asked by Duffy and others to assist them to regain their positions, and I have done all in my power to have them reinstated, and did succeed in having M.Y.Arnold, and attempted to have Duffy, A.L.Jessee, B.C.Duffy and J.R.Miles reinstated. These efforts were began before the murder of Mary Bhagan and had nothing to do with matters growing out of this murder. I severed my connection with the Southern Railway on July 1,1913 and on July 20,1913, at the. instance of Mr.L.Z.Rosser I went to work investigating the Frank case Several months after the trial I met said Duffy at the home of L.P. Eubanks. In the presence of Eubanks and M.Y. Arnold, Duffy made an affidavit in the exact words of the affidavit dated April 10,1914, before J.O.KEIGHT, a notary public. At that time nothing

was paid to Duffy nor did I promise to give him a job at \$15. per week, nr any other job. to make the affidavit aforesaid. On the contrary, Duffy made it freely and voluntarily and he stated at the time that it was the truth. Dan S.Lehon was also present when the affidavit was taken by Knight. Knight read the affidavit over to Duffy and Duffy stated it was true, held up his right hand and swore to it and signed it before J.O. Knight, notary Public. H. 02 KNIGHT, Sworn for the Movent. I am a Notary Public for Fulton County and did swear Jesse E. Duffy to an affidavit dated April 10. 1914. I read the affidavit to Duffy and asked him if it was true. Duffy stated it was true, whereupon I had Duffy raise his right hand and swear to the affidavit and sign it. Duffy not only made no objection to it, but said it was the truth.

JNO. R. BYINGTON, M. ANGIER, E.A. ANGIER, D. I. MACINTYRE, W. R. MASSENGALE, Sworn for the Movant. We know H. O. Knight and know his general character for truth and veracity, and the same is good, and we would believe him on oath.

32D AMENDMENT

OTTO SCHWAB, ISAAC SCHOEN, ISAAC HAAS, B. WILDAUER, DAVID MARX, Sworn for the Movent. We met Mrs. Maud Bailey and Mrs. May Barrett on Thursday, April 23,1914, between the hours of 7 and 8 P.M. in the office of Messrs. Herbert J. and Leonard Haas. The affidavits of said Mrs May Barrett and Mrs Maud Bailey were exhibited and read over to said Mrs. May Barrett and Mrs. Maud Beiley, and the said affiants acknowledged their signatures, and stated that at the time of signing their respective affidavits they were properly sworn by a notary public; that they had received no money or promise of reward of any nature whatsoeverfor making said affidavit, nor had any threats, intimidations or coercion of any character been used in order to force them to make said affidavits. They further stated that the contens of their sign affidavits were true in every particular.

4TH and 5TH AMENDMENTS.

J. O. KNIGHT, ISAAC SCHORN, ISAAC HAAS, B. WILADUER, OTTO SCHWAB, DAVID MARK, Sworn for the Movenen Thursday, April 23,1914, we were 250 in the office of Messrs, Herbert J. and Leonard Haas in the 4th Mational Bank Building and were present when one Annie Maud Carter

made an affidavit, of date April 23,1914. Said affidavit was read aloud by said Annie Mand Carter and she thereupon signed it and was duly sworn by the notary J.O.Knight. Some of us questioned the said Annie Mand Carter and asked her whether she had received any money or promise of reward of any character and she answered that she had not, and that she had not been coerced or intimated in any way to make said affidavit. She further stated that every statement contained in said affidavit was true.

Movent also introduced the following testimony: GEORGE EPPS. Sworn for the Movant. I am at present at the Reformatory in Milladgeville, Ga. In August 1913, I was withens for the State in the case against Leo M. Frank. I was also a witness before the Coroner's inquest. Both at the Coroner's inquest and the trial of Leo M. Frank I swore falsely. I was persuaded to give the false testimony in both of the hearings by police detective The only statements in my testimony at either the John Black. coroner's inquest or at the trial that is of truth is that I knew Mary Phagen and that I rode on a street car with her on the 26th day of April, 1913. My home is in the neighborhood in which Maxy Phagan lived and most of the neighborhood knew her, and it was knownthat John Black was making inquiries there for boys or girls or neighbors that knew her. In May 1913, I was employed at the Hirsch & Spitz Spring Bed Factory, and one day I was told somebody wanted to see me. The man who wanted to see me said he was detective John Black, and that he understood I knew something about the Mary Phagen case. In reply to his further questions, I admitted that I knew Mary Phagan, and also told him that I rode down town on the same car with her on April 26,1913. I told him it was between ten and fifteen minutes to twelve when I boarded the car. I told him I had seen a clock in Mr. Bryant's store at the corner of Oliver and Bellwood Avenue. I do not know that this clock is not reliable as to time. Sometimes it den't run at all, but it is the only clock I saw. Being Saturday, I did not work at the factory on Saturdays but went down town and sold newspapers, and I generally left home to go down fown about 11;00 e'clock, and I was afraid Iwould be late

this day April 26th. I told Black that Mary Phagan sat in the front seat on the left hand side facing forward and I sat three seats behind her. I did not speak to Mary while on the car, but when we got off the car at Marietta dn Forsyth Streets, Mary got off ahead of me and I then said "Hellow Mary, where are you going." Mary answered "I am going to get my money and go to see the parade, this being Decoration day and a holiday. This was all of the womersation I had with Mary on that day. I last saw Mary as she was going over the viaduct south on Forsyth Street and I went under the viaduct to the Journal Building and immediately got my papers and went to Five Piints where I sold them ! To fix the time I must have arrived at the Journal Building, the "Extra" generally comes out about from 12:20 to 12:30. I told all of these circumstances to Black when I first met him. Black wanted me to say that I sat in the seat with Mary on the car, but I told him that this was not true, but Black said "that will be all right, you do as I tell you". Detective Black asked to come down to his office the next day to see him. He handed me a subpoens and told me how much I would have to pay or go to jail if I didn't come. I did show the subpoens to my boss and the next day went to Black's office at Police Headquarters, at about 2;30 He at once carried me to another room where he and I was were alone and again questioned me about coming down town on the car with Mary and told me that I got on the car at 10 minutes to 12 o'clock and that I sat on the seat with Mary and talked to her on the car and that we got off the car at about five to seven minutes after 12 at Marietta and Forsyth Streets. I again told him that was not true and he told me that was all right, "you go shead and tell it just like I tell you"/ I told him I didn't know anything about what time we got off the car and he said "Oh, you was raised in the country and could tell the time by the sum and it was aboutfive or seven minutes after twelve". He made me agree to tell it as he told me and said "you do as I tell you and I will give you some money and when this trial is over and you can leave town if you want to". He told me to say that I talked to Mary on the car coming down town and that I deviled Mary about her sweethearts and that she must have a sweetheart at the pencil factory, and that Mary said, she din't have any sweetheart, but Mr Frank down

there acted suspicious toward her; that he came out shead of her at nights when she would leave the factory and would look at her and wink at her and that she was "afraid of Frank" and asked me to come to the pencil factory every night to meet her. After this talk with Detective Black he gave me a nickel to buy a coca cola and told me to come back to the inquest, which was being held on the second floor in police h eadquarters building. I did as he told me and after a few minutes Mr. Donehoo and detective Black came out to where I was. Mr .Donehoos is blind and Blak told him who I was and that "he knows something about the Phagan case" and Mr. Donehoo said I would be the next witness. In a minute or two my name was called and I was sworn and took the witness stand and told the story that Detective Black had told me to tell, which I knew was mostly a lie. When I went out into the hall, detective Black saw me there and said "George you done all right, now stick to that story, there may be other men come out there to see you and question you, and you tell them that you don't know anything about it and that you have been told not to say anything about the Phagan case." One evening Detective Black came out to where I lived and told me to come to Solicitor Dorsey's office the next morning at 10;30. When I arrived there the next morning, after a few minutes, Black came out and called me in Mr. Dorsey's office. Mr. Dorsey said to me "George, we have got you down here to refresh you mind on the testimony that you have given at the coroner's inquest. He questioned me and I told him at Detective Black had directed me to say that I got on the car at 10 minutes to 12. I told him I sat three seats behind Mary Phagan. While I was being questioned by Mr.Dorsey he and Detective Black would have private conversations between them, and after one of them, Mr. Dorsey said, "You sat on the seat with her, and I told him "yes", that I had changed my seat and sat with her. He asked me about my conversation with Mary Phagan and I told him that it was just like I said at the coroner's inquest and he said "that is all right, George you stick to that". After this conversation, detective Black followed me out in the hall and told me to be sure about the time and to stick to it as about 7 minutes after 12 as at supported Jim-258 Conley's story as to time and he wanted my time to be the same as the time Conley had said it was, and to agree with it. I promised

Black that I would do as he told me to. The next day I went directly to the court house. In the court house I met detective Black and he told me to go shead and when I got on the stand to "do just as well as I had done up to his office". On the stand I was only allowed to tell about riding on the car with Mary at the time that I got on the car as instructed by Black and the time I got off the car. When I was cross examined by Mr.Rosser I was asked practically the same questions and I gave practically the same answers.

B. BERNARD, Sworn for the Movant. Until this affidavit is being made, I have never met Mr.C.W.Burke and never saw over five times before. I never saw George Epps until Last Saturday night when I was waiting for a street car to go home. He was sitting upon thecity hall steps. It has been eight years since I went through Birmingham and I was never in Birmingham with George Epps, Mr.Burke or Jimmie Wrenn and I would not Wrenn if he were to walk into the room. I went to Solicitor Dorsey's office and assured him that Epps was lying and urged him to investigate and see that I was not the man Epps was talking about.

Sworn for the Mowant. Sometime during the month of February or March, 1914, I went to Milledgeville, Georgia, for the purpose of exhibiting and reading to one George Epps an affidavit and asking him to sign the affidavit if its contents were true. I did not draw amid affidavit and do not know who did draw it, but same was handed to me by one of counsel for Mr Frank with the request that I go to Milledgeville and secure the signature of George Epps, if possible. I secured a letter of introduction to Mr. Lovyorn the superintendent of the institution where George Epps was confined. I called at the court house and asked Mr.J.Cleveland Cooper, the clerk to go with me to the reformatory. Mr. Cooper and I went to the reformatory and went into Mr.Lovvorn's room. Mr.Lovvorn was at the time sick in bed and the whole transaction took place in Mr. Lovvorn's room and in his presence. Before I said anything to George Epps, Mr. Levvorn asked George Epps whether he, Epps, had testified in the Frank case and asked if he told the truth or something which was not the truth, and Epps told Mr. Lovvorn that he had testified in the Frank case and that he had told an untruth at the trial. Mr. Lovvorn asked Epps if he now wanted to tell a different story, and Epps said that he did. I handed Epps the affidavit and from a copy of same I commenced reading same to Rpps. Mr. Cooper,

was reading the affidavit to Epps. One or twice there were small to mistakes/which Epps called my attention and Epps followed in the minutest manner the whole affidavit, which he afterwards signed.

The Clerk, Mr. Cooper then asked Epps if he was willing to swear to the contents of the paper which he had read. Epps then help up his right hand and swore in Mr. Cooper's presence the the affidavit was the truth and nothing but the truth, so help him God. Epps signed the affidavit in the presence of Mr. Lovvorn, Mr. Cooper and myself.

Mr. Cooper thereafter affixed his signature and upon returning to his office in the court house put his seal on the affidavit/

I am associated with the law firm of Rosser, Brandon. Slaton and Phillips, but am not a member of said firm. I have assisted Mr.Rosser and H.J. Haas from time to time in connection with matters in detail which have come up in the court of the Frank case. but have never been employed by Leo M. Frank or had any part whatever in the conduct of his case. I have read the affidavit of C.A. Isom, a witness for the State, and those parts which relate to my being in Chicago, Ill, in April 1914. Shortly before the date mention d.Mr.H.J.Haas asked me if I would go to Chicago for the purpose of examining certain affidavits which it was expected would be taken in Chicago. I did go to Chicago anddid meet and talk with C.W. Isom an the office of W.J.Burns in said place. Some operatives of Mr. Burns told me that they had talked with Aaron Allen, also a Mr. Jacobs, Isom talked with Allen and so did Mr. Burns and all stated to me that the statements made by allen had no bearing whatever on the extraordinary motion for new trial. I told Isom that since he andothers had talked with Allen and there was nothing to be gotten from Allen I saw no reason why I should talk to Allen and declined to see Allen or talk with him at all. The only affidavit taken in my presence was an affidavit of the negro Calhoun, and as the contents were of doubtful relevancy to the issue involved, this affidavit was not used by counsel for Frank. I have read that part of Isom't affidavit in which he stated that Burns used certain profane language, and if such language was used, it was not in my presence of hearing. Said Isom is mistaken in his recollection as to what Mr.Burns' son said about Allen desiring to speak to me.

Mr. Burns's son brought me no such message .Ha did tell Meximums manx however, that he Burns, desired me to talk to Allen. I told said Burns that it would be presumptious for me to attempt to talk with Allen after he Burns, several of his operatives , Jacobs, Isom had talked to Allen with identical results and for this reason I declined to talk to Allen. No affidavit was made by a Salvation Army man in my presence.

JOSEPH W. CONROY, Sworn for the Movant. I am a Notary Public in and for the County of Hamilton, State of Ohio. On February 5,1914 I met C.W.Burke of Atlanta, and went with said Burke to the home of the Good Shepherd, in Cinminnati, Ohio, and interviewed Dewey Hewell, an inmate of said home. She was brought to the visiting room of thehome by one of the Sisters in charge and left alone with us, and Mr.Burke explained that he had called on her for the purpose of getting her to tell the truth regarding her testimony at the trial of Leo M.Frank. Burke had only talked to Dewey a short time when she started crying and told Mr. Burke that she had not testified to the truth. Before affixing my signature hereto I have carefully read over the affidavit of February 25, 1914, to which I administered the oath to Dewey Hewell, and Dewey Hewell testified that the affidavit referred to was every word the truth and C.W.Burke did not hold out any inducements to Dewey or promise her reward of any kind for her affidavit, but that she gave her affidavit freely and of her own accord.

GEORGE WRENN. Swormfor the Movent. I never did tell the negros Fred Perkerson and Frank Reese that they had a good chance to make money, nor did I ever tell them they both could go into Conley's cell and then come out and say that Conley had confessed that he had killed the girl, that the Jews would pay them if they would do this, nor that they would get out of jail after fixing Christmas and would have no money and that this was their chance to get money, or that Conley was no relation of theirs. I never at any time was talking to Conley in the presence of eitherof these parties and told Conley that the thing for him to do was when he got his sent-256 ence was for him to take the murder on himself and in this way free Frank, or that he(Conley) would only get about six or twelve -

months sentence and that he never could be tried again. No such

conversation was had with Conley and hence Conley had no chance to decline it. I was in Conley's cell once or twice for the purpose of giving him medicine, but sometimes in there by myself. Neither of the parties aferesaid told me that I had as much opportunity to go into Conley's cell as they had and that I could swear that Conley had confessed to me as well as to them, nor did I say to either of them "You are a damm fool: I am not going to mix in it." I knew Annie Mand Carter while she was in jail, but never tried to induce her to get any confession from Conley, nor did I ever seek to bring Annie Mand Carter and Conley together. No one ever sought to have me influence Annie Mand Carter with reference to Conley, nor did I know anything about the statements that Annie Mand Carter is said to have made in this case until after it was made. As to this statement, I had nothing to do with it.

J. W. WRENN, Swom for the Movant. It is not true that I ever sought to have John Shields made an affidavit stating that Jim Conley had been down on him or that he had asked family xt him to let Conley go down on him, or that if he (Shields) would swear to this lie for me I would dress him up and send him to Cincinnati or anywhere else. I never said anything to Shields about Conley being a cock sucker, or that I said to Shields a few weeks ago "John, I am in a fiell of a fix; I have got to get something good; don't you know a negro woman I can get who will swear that Jim Conley went down on her". I never wanted Shields to hunt up a negro woman for me, and never had any such conversation with John Shields at any time. C. E. BURKE, Sworn for the Movant. I have read the affidavit of R.P.Barrett,dated April 28,1914. It is true that Jimmy Wrenn was working for me and that Jimmie Wrenn introduced R.P. Barrett to me under the assumed name of J.W.Kelly, and that I posed as a magazine syndicate press agent and endeavored to secure an affidavit from Barrett in a room in the Kimball Houseand that Parrett told me that he did not believe Lee M.Frank guilty, but did believe that Mary Phagan was killed onethe second floor of the pencil factory, and that he Barrett, was entitled to the reward for making the discovery. Barrett explained to me that he was preparing a history of the case and I offered to assist Barrett, and asked Barrett to bring his notes and all records he had accumulaged to me, but Barrett did not do so. W

I endemvored to get an affidavit from Barrett, but Barrett stated that he would sign no affidavit for any one. I impressed it upon Barrett that I only wanted the truth, and would be very glad to go with Barrett before Hugh Dersey, or Madison Bell, his(Barrett's) attorney before asking him to affix his signature thereto, but Barrett refused. I did not authorize Jimmie Wremm or any other person to secure railroad passes for the purpose of taking Barrett out of the city. I did tell Barrett that if I should put a lie of any kind in an affidavit and send it into the house I was representing that they would discharge me. I did not tell Barrett that I had a brother who was the master mechanic at the Southern Railroad shops or that I could secure Barrett a good job in Hutchinson, Kan. I did not offer Barrett a reward of any kind, or that he would be rewarded with enough money to buy a house and lot. I did tell me Remarkt that he could make enough money of the sale of his book to buy him a house and lot.

人地面

MELLIE WOOD, Movent introduced the following testimony of Nellie Wood, delivered bafore the Coroner's inquest, before Paul Donehoo,

Coroner:

Q.What is your name? A. Nellie Wood.
Q. Where do you live? A. No. 8 Corput Street.
Q. Do you know Mr.Frank? A/ I don't know him personally. I worked

Where do you live? A. No. 8 Corput Street.
Q. Do you know Mr.Frank? A/I don't know him personally. I worked for him two days is all that I know about him.
Q. Two days? A. Yes sir.
Q. Did you observe his conduct towards his employees? A. Yes sir.
Q. What was it? A. Well he employed me to be a forelady for him.
Q. Well, did you observe his conduct towards the girls? A. His conduct didn't suit me very much.
Q. Well, tell us what it was. A. Well, he would come around and put his hands on me when it was all uncalled for.
Q. Did you ever see him put his hands on any of the other girls?
Q. No, I never did.
Q. You say that he put his hands on you; is that all that he did?
A. Well, he asked me one evening - I didn't work but the first day, and he would bring the orders out to me and take hold of me, and that didn't suit me much, about him talking hold of me, and the next evening, he asked me to come to his office, that he wanted to talk business with me, and I went in and his subject was he wanted to know if I was going to say, he didn't care to take me and train me without I was going to say, he didn't care to take me and train me without I was going to say, and he wanted to close the doer and I asked him not to and he told me that I need not be afraid, there wasn't anybody coming in his office, and he got too familiar with me, and one thing I was I thought he got too close to me and I didn't like that.

Q. Now, you speak of his putting his hands on you, how did he put his heads on you have head to be a familiar with heads on you have head to be a familiar with heads on you have head to be a familiar with heads on you have head to head to be a familiar with heads on you have head to head to have head to head head to head to head to head to head to head to head

like that.

Q. Now, you speak of his putting his hands on you, how did he put his hands on you, how did he put his hands on you -- anywhere about your body? A. Yes sir.

Q. What part of your body? A. Well, as well as I remember I didn't let him complete what he started.

Q. Well, where did he put his hands, that is what I want to find out? A. Like that (illustrating), but I resisted him so he didn't overpower me in any way; just put his hands kind of, (illustrating), and he acted like it was a joke, still I was to a old for that.

Q. Did he put his hands on your breast at all? A. No. but he tried to, and I guess he would if I hadn't resented it.

Q. On your hips at all? A. No. Q. Well, did he make any effort at all to pick up your lower limbs? A. Yes sir.

Q. And your dress? A. Yess, sir, while I was sitting down talking

to him.
Q. How long age was that? A. It has been two years ago in March.
Q. What did you say after staying there? A. What did I say?
Q. Yes, A. Why, I just quit. I didn't go back any more until Saturday, and I went back for my money and I told him it didn't suit me, I thought he had the wrong girl.

me, I thought he had the wrong girl. Q. Have you everhad any experience in this work? A. No sir, he had

Q. What department did he make you forelady over? A. On the fourth floor, as well as I remember, but there was a foreman there - I don't remember his name, I didn't remember Mr.Frank's name until his picture came out in the paper, I didn't think about his name any more; it passed my mind.

JIMMI WRENN, Swom for the Movant. I know R.P.Barrett and worked with him at the National PencilsWactory. It is true that I met Barrett near the corner of Marietta and Forsyth Streets not long after the trial of Leo M. Frank and talked to him about the case and other matters. It is not true that I told Barrett that I was in a position to make a barrel of money if he would go to New Orleans and change his statement in the Brank case. At the time of the conversation Barrett complained that he was out of work and hard up and akked me to induce Burke to get his job back at the factory, and I told him that I would speak to Burke about it but did not think Burke would undertake to do many anything of that Rind. At the time thinking of of the conversation, I told Barrett that I was/going to New Orleans and that I would like Barrett to go with me, as I did not like to go by myself and Barrett stated he woul be glad to go as there was nothing to do in Atlanta. I made arrangements to meet Barrett at the Terminal Station within a day or two and Barrett met me there and told me that his wife would not permit him to leave the city. I again conversed with Barrett at a later date about going to New Orleans for the purpose of securing work, and we met at a certain appointed time, but Barrett again stated that it would be impossible for himmto leave the city at all, as hismfather and wife kirmatered objected. At a later date I met Barrett on the street and he told me that he was engaged in writing a history of the Frank case, but complained that his lack of education hampered him, and I told Barrett that I had a friend named Kelly writing up a history of the case, who would in all probability assist him. I told Burke of this, who rented a room at the Kimball House and I brought Barrett to Burke's room and introduced him as "Mr. Kelly" and I was present

during the entire conversation. I heard Barrett tell Burke that

heard

he believed Leo M.Frank innocent, but that he believed the murder had been committed on the second floor of the factory; that he also believed the hair taken from the lathe machine was that of Mary Phagan's, and that he was entitled to the reward which had been offered for the conviction of the murderer of Mary Phagan. Burke was training desirous of seeing the notes of Barrett in getting up the book that Barrett intended getting up on the case and promised to let Burke have them the next morning. Burke explained that it would be impossible for him to help Barrett, unless he could see the notes,or unless Barrett would make Burke an affidavit with reference to his information on the subject. At this point Burke produced a paper and starting taking an affid avit from Barrett, when Barrett stated that he would not make an affidavit of any kind. Burke told Barrett that to prove to him that he (Burke) was not trying to "trick" him he would be willing to go with Barrett before Hugh Dorsey, or Barrett's at torney with the affidavit, but Barrett refused. I never told Barrett not to tell any conversation that ever took place between me and Barrett ta or that Barrett should give him a few days to leave the city if he ever should tell. There were no reason for doing so as nothing wrong had taken place between us. I hever promised to secure passes to New Orleans for Barrett. I am not in the employ of the Railroad and have no way of securing passes. It is true I loaned Barrett \$1.00 because he said he was hungry and had no car fare and Barrett begged so hard that I let him have it to get rid of him. I heard the conversation between Burke and Barrett with reference to blood spots alleged to have been found by Barrett, but Burke passed lightly over this part of their conversation. Burke did not at any time mention reward for evidence with reference to any evidence of any kind.

C. W. BURKE, Sworn for the Movant. On two occasions I took affidavits from Miss Lillie Pettis, one on the 29th day of October, 1913, here to attached, marked Exhibit A and one on the 30th day of October to Miss Pettis and she signed the same voluntarily and that not one word was added therein that Miss Pettis did not state to be the truth. The exhibits here to attached were not entitled in

260

一大は いんいちょうとうかん

the case, and on April 9,1914, I, together with Dam S.Lehon and J.O. Knight, retook the affidavits, hereto attached, marked Exhibits C and D. When affidavit Exhibit D was being taken by J.O.Knight, a Notary Public, Miss Lillie Pettis declined to testify that she did not believe her sister was telling the truth about Mr.Frank winking at her, and that statement was stricken out of the affidavit

(The following are the exhibits attached to the above affidavit.)

"Georgia, Fulton. Personally appeared Mrs.Lillie May Pettis, of
No.42 Girrard Street, in the City of Atlanta, Fulton County, Georgia,
who upon oath, deposes and says that she worked at the National
Pencil Company's factory in this city for three years, off and on,
leaving the services of the factory about three weeks previous
to the murder of Mary Phagen.

Deponent says that she knows one Jim Conley, colored, who used
to be employed at the factory as a sweeper, alsomstating that she
is acquainted with Mr.Leo M. Frank.

Deponent states that never, during her employment at the
Pencil Factory, did she see Mr.Frank act in any way unbecoming
to a gentleman, and that, at no time during her employment at the
factory, did Mr.Frank ever say anything to her approaching familiarity, or of an insulting nature. Deponent further says that, through
the capacity in which she was employed at the pencil factory,
she was thrown in contact with Mr.Frank several times every day
and that she never saw him laughing and joking with any of the
girls and that his demeanor and actions at all times was business—
like in avery way.

Deponent further says that never, during her employment at the

Deponent further says that never during her employment at the factory, has any girl or woman ever remarked in her presence that Mr. Frank had been familiar with them, or had in any manner insult ed them, and she never heard any of the employees at the factory make any complaint regarding any attempts at familiarity on the part of Mr. Frank toward them.

part of Mr.Frank toward them.

Deponent further states that she and other girls have worked at the factory aslate as nine o'clock at night and that Mr.

Fra k was present with them; and, aside from certain directions that he was obliged to give them concerning the irabusiness and their work, he had nothing whatever to say to them, and that he never committed any acts that anyone could take exception to.

Deponent also says that she is a sister-in-law of Nellie Pettis, who, she says, has stated that Mr.Frank on one occasion while she was at the factory, winked at her and asked her the question "how about it". Deponent says that she does not believe her sister in law Nellie Pettis is telling the truth when she makes this statement; that she does not believe Mr.Frank ever winked at Nellie Pettis or made any of the remarks referred to by her.

this statement; that she does not believe Mr.Frank ever winked at Nellie Pettis or made any of the remarks referred to by her.

Deponent also says that on one accasion, before the murder of Mary Phagan, she, in company with one Catherine. Christian, another girl who was employed at the factory were entering the building from the street and met the negro sweeper, Jim Conley, standing at the foot of the size stairs near some old boxes, near the elevator and that he aweested them and said: "I understand you girls have quit your position", and they told him that he was mistaken, that they had not quit, and had no intention of doing so at that time. Then Conley said: "I am sure glad to hear that you are not going to leave, as I am going to buy both of you girls a Christmas present, because you are both such pretty girls."

Deponent says that, on another occasion, she was standing near the elevator on the second floor of the factory, and that Conley approached her and said: "Miss Lillie, you are sure a good looking girl" and I sure am sorry that I am not a white man."

Deponent further states that she was always afraid of Jim Conley, and that she made it a point never to place herself in such a position as she would be caught alone with Conley.

Deponent further says that once before the trial of Leo Ma

Frank, and three times during the trial, that detective Bass Rosser

and a very large fat man, whose name dshe does not know, called on her at her home, and that Bass Rosser tried in every way posible to make her state that Mr. Frank had been familiar with her at the factory and that she had seen him acting in a familiar way with other girls at the factory; that the said Bass Rosser coaxed her, plead and even got mad with her because she would not swear to these things for him. Deponent further states eac time that he called on her, she always stated that she had always found Mr. Frank to be a perfect gentleman and never knew him to commit any of the acts which Mr. Rosser was trying to make her swear that she had acts which Mr. Rosser was trying to make her swear that she had seen and heard.

}

Deponent makes this affidavit of her own free will and accord without any promises of reward whatsoever.

(Signed) Lillie Pettis

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 20th day of October,1913, C.W.Burke No tary Public, Fulton County, Ga."

(Exhibit D is an exact copy of the above affidavit, except that it is entitled in the case, is signed before J.O.Knight and has the following stricken: "Deponent also says that she is a sister-in-law of Nellie Pettis, who she says has stated that Mr. Fræk on one occasion while she was at the factory, winked at her a and asked her the question "How about it?" Deponent says that she does not believe her sister in law, Nellie Pettis is telling the truth when she makes this statement; that she does not believe Mr.Frænk ever winked at Nellie Pettis or made any of the remarks referred to by her.")

Exhibit B.

Georgia, Fulton County: Personally appeared Mrs.Lillie Mae Pettis of No.43
Girrard Street, Atlanta, Fulton County, Georgia, who, upon oath
deposes and says that on three occasions while she was employed
at the National Pencil factory, in this city, and for various
reasons, she sent her sister-in-law Miss Nellie Pettis, to the Pencil factory for her pay, each occasion on which she went her siter-in-law, Miss Pettis, to the factory being Saturday, which the was the regular factory pay-day.

Deponent says that on each occasion she sent Miss Pettis to

the factory for her pay, she gave Miss Pettis a written order for her salary, addressed to Miss Eula May Flowers, deponent's fore-

Deponent further says that, on each occasion when she sent Miss Nellie to the factory for her pay, deponent's place of residence was then at the home of Miss Nellie Pettis and her mother, which was No.9 Oliver Street, in this city.

Deponent also says that when Miss Nellie delivered her salary on the first two occasions that deponent had sent her after same, deponent asked Miss Nellie what Miss Flowers had said to her with

reference to deponent and her absence from the factory, and that Miss Nellie replied that Miss Flowers had not said anything what-

reference to deponent and her absence from the factory, and that Miss Nellie replied that Miss Flowers had not said anything what ever regarding deponent, but that Miss Flowers simply handed her deponent's money without remarks.

Deponent also says that on the third occasion when Miss Nellie went to the factory for deponent's pay, she accompanied Miss Nellie as far as Forsyth and Hunter Streets and waited there while Miss Nellie went in the factory after deponent's pay; and deponent says that Miss Nellie was not in the factory exceeding five minutes.

Deponent says that as seen as Miss Nellie got out of the factory with deponent's pay, she asked her 1f Miss Flowers had ake asked her any questions regarding deponent, and miss Nellie again replied that Miss Flowers did not ask her any questions, but had gone into the office, secured deponent's pay, and handed it to her.

Deponent says that Miss Nellie never said anything to her about meeting, or seeing, Mr. Frank, on any of the occasions she had been sent to the factory, and deponent further says that Miss Nellie was never at the factory but four times, three of these times being described in the above statement. The fourth occasion was more than a year ago, when Miss Nellie accompanied deponent to the factory for the purpose of seeking a position in the factory under Miss Bula Mae Flowers; that Miss Nellie asked Miss Flowers for a position, and that the application for this position took playe at about 7;10 asm, and before Mr. Frank had come to the factory or y, deponent being familiar with the fact that Mr. Frank did not

reach the factory until about 8 A.M. Deponent says that, after making application to Miss Flowers for a position, Miss Nellie immediately left the factory; and eponent is sure that Miss Nellie indid not at any time come in contast with Mr. Frank and is further sure that if she had seen him in the factory, she would not have known him, as deponent had never at any time pointed out Mr. Frank to her, and Miss Nellie had never told her that she knew Mr.Frank.

Deponent also says that Miss Nellie never stated to her that she had ever been insulted at the factory by Mr.Frank, or anyone else, and says, further, that the first time she ever heard Miss Nellie say that Mr.Frank had insulted her was on the occasion of the first visit of Detective Bass Risser at her home at No.9 Oliver Street, when Mr.Rosser had called upon deponent asking her to swear against Mr.Frank; and says, that during the conversation between deponent and Mr.Rosser, that Miss Nellie was present and interrupted the conversation by stating to Mr.Rosser that she could tell something against Mr.Frank. Deponent says that Mr. Rosser immediately dropped his conversation with her and applied his attention to Miss Nellie, Deponent says that the heard Miss Nellie tell Mr.Rosser that, on one occasion when she had been sent by deponent to the Pencil Factory to get deponent's salary, that Mr.Frank had winked at her and asked her: "How about it?" Deponent says that there was another man with Mr.Rosser, on the occasion of the conversation referred to; that she does not know who this man was, but that he took a statement from Miss Nellie, which was signed by Miss Nellie in deponent's presence. Deponent further says that after detective Bass Rosser and the man with him left their home, she said to Miss Nellie: "It is very peculiar that you never before mentioned about Mr.Frank, or anyone else, had insulted you while at the Pencil Factory." Depon nt says that Miss Nellie replied that she had decided to keep the matter to herself and admitted that she had decided to k

(Signed) Lillie Pettis

Sworn to and subscribed before me this Oth day of October,1913, Signed) C.W.Burke, Notary Public Fulton County Ga."

(Exhibit C is an exact copy of the above affidavit, except that it is entitled in the case and is signed before J.O. Knight, on April 9,1914.)

C. W. BURKE. Sworn for the Movant. I have read the affidavit of Carrie Smith, known as No.2 9410, dated April 20,1914, and sworn to before W.F. Young, Notary Public. Never at any time since I was engaged upon the case of Leo M.Frank have I promised any person or persons money, or a fee of any kind, for giving evidence or making affidavits of any nature whatever, or that at any time I have used assumed names. I never at any time used the assumed name of Maddox and while I have taken affidavits from Carrie Smith, I did so under my own name, and most positively did not promise her anything or offer her any reward for making the affidavits referred to. J. W. WREN, Sworn for the Movant. I have never been in the employ of Leo M. Frank or Frank's counsel, and never until today 263 have I ever been in the private office of L.Z.Rosser, or spoken to Mr. Rosser about the Frank case until this day, nor did either Mr. Rosser or Mr. Brandon know me by sight until this day. I have

done certain fork for G.W.Burke, and when he employed me to work in this case, he instructed me that nothing was to be done in this case, except to seek the truth, and I have never done anything except at Burke's direction, and I have never done anything except what was just and fair. I have never offered to pay anybody anything to testify in this case, nor ever offered to reward them in any way.

The hearing of said extraordinary motion for new trial came to an end on the 6th day of May, 1914, which was during the May Term of said Superior Court, and on said 6th day of May, 1914, the court rendered a judgment denying said motion for new trial and overruling the same. To this judgment and decision of the Court, movant, Leo M. Frank, then and there excepted, and here and now excepts, and assigns the same as error, and specifies as the error that the Court erred in not granting a new trial upon each and every ground contained in said extraordinary motion for new trial and in each and all of the amendments thereto, and hereby refers specifically to each ground in said extraordinary motion and its amendments, and says that each of said grounds demanded a new trial.

The above and foregoing contains a full and complete recital of all of the evidence adduced on said hearing and necessary to a clear understanding of the errors complained of.

As material to a clear understanding of the errors complained of, defendant, Leo M. Frank, specifies the following portions of the record:

- 1. The indictment.
- 2. The plea of not guilty. Let motion for new Trial
- 3. The brief of evidence approved by the Court on the 31st day of October, 1913, thereby made a part of the record of the case of the State vs. Leo M. Frank, and which were certified and sent to the Supreme Court as part of the record in the case of the State vs. Leo M. Frank, decided by the Supreme Court on February 17, 1914.

4. The extraordinary motion for new trial, together with the order and the entries thereon, filed on the 16th day of April, 1914.

5. The 1st amendment to the extraordinary motion for new trial, together with the order and entities thereon, filed on the 4th day of May, 1914.

6. The 3rd amendment to the extraordinary motion for new trial, together with the order and entries thereon, filed on the 4th day of May, 1914.

7. The 4th amendment to the extraordinary motion for new trial, together with the order and entries thereon, filed on the 28th day of April, 1914.

8. The 5th amendment to the extraordinary motion for new trial, together with the order and entries thereon, filed on the 8th day of May, 1914.

9. The 6th amendment to the extraordinary motion for new trial, together with the order and entries thereon, filed on the 9th day of May, 1914.

The order of correct starking the second 10. The 7th emergement to the extraordingly motion for new trial, stogether with the order and entries thereon, filed on the lated april 28-1914.

11. The response of the State to the extraordinary motion for new trial, filed April 23, 1914.

12. The response of the State to mmendments 1, 2, 3, and 4, filed May 1, 1914.

13. The response of the State to the 5th amendment, filed May 8th, 1914.

14. The judgment of the Court overruling said extraordinary motion for new trial, dated the 6th day of May, 1914.

And now within the time provided by law and within twenty days of the entry of the judgment everruling said motion for new trial, comes the defendant and tender this his Bill of Exceptions, and prays that the same may be certified as provided by law in order that the errors complained of may be considered

and corrected by the Supreme Court of Georgia.

Renhen R. arnold Herhert Hass Leonard Hass Attorneys for Leo M. Frank.

Post Office Address: Atlanta, Georgia

I do certify that the foregoing bill of exceptions is true, and contains and specifies all of the evidence, and contains and specifies all of the record material to a clear understanding of the errors complained of; and the Clerk of the Superior Court of Fulton County is hereby ordered to make out a complete copy of such parts of the record in said case as are in this bill of exceptions specified, and certify the same as such, and cause the same to be transmitted to the Supreme Court of Georgia at such time and in such manner as the law regulating bills of exceptions in cases of this character requires, that the errors complained of may be considered and corrected.

This 26 = day of May, 1914.

Beng H Hill ..

Due and legal service of the within bill of exceptions is hereby acknowledged. This 30 day of May, 1914.

Hughing ar Doy